SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5719

State of Washington 69th Legislature 2025 Regular Session

 $\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{y}$ Senate Local Government (originally sponsored by Senators Salomon and Cortes)

READ FIRST TIME 02/21/25.

1 AN ACT Relating to local government hearing examiners; and 2 amending RCW 36.70.970, 35.63.130, 35A.63.170, and 58.17.330.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 Sec. 1. RCW 36.70.970 and 1995 c 347 s 425 are each amended to 5 read as follows:

6 (1)As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter 7 relating to powers or duties of the planning commission to hear and 8 recommendations applications for plat approval issue on and 9 applications for amendments to the zoning ordinance, the county 10 legislative authority ((may)) shall adopt a hearing examiner system 11 under which a hearing examiner or hearing examiners ((may)) hear and 12 issue decisions on proposals for plat approval and for ((amendments)) quasi-judicial development permit applications subject to the zoning 13 14 ordinance ((when the amendment which is applied for is not of general 15 applicability)). In addition, the legislative authority may vest in a 16 hearing examiner the power to hear and decide those issues it 17 believes should be reviewed and decided by a hearing examiner, 18 including but not limited to:

19 (a) ((Applications for conditional uses, variances, shoreline 20 permits, or any other class of applications for or pertaining to 21 development of land or land use;

1	(b)))	Appeals		of administrative			e dec	decisions		determinations		tions
2	provided	that	for	appea	ls	of ad	minist	<u>rative</u>	peri	mit	decis	ions,
3	<u>substantia</u>	al we	ight	must	be	give	<u>n to</u>	the	expe	rtise	of	the
4	<u>administra</u>	ative d	decisi	lon mak	<u>er</u> ;	and						

5 (((c))) <u>(b)</u> Appeals of administrative decisions or determinations 6 pursuant to chapter 43.21C RCW.

7 ((The legislative authority shall prescribe procedures to be 8 followed by a hearing examiner.))

9 <u>(2) The decision of the hearing examiner constitutes the final</u> 10 <u>decision, subject to appeal under chapter 36.70C RCW.</u>

11 <u>(3) The legislative body shall adopt procedures to be followed by</u> 12 <u>a hearing examiner ensuring all decisions are consistent with the</u> 13 <u>future land use map of adopted comprehensive plans and comply with</u> 14 <u>clear and objective development regulations.</u>

15 <u>(4)</u> Any county which vests in a hearing examiner the authority to 16 hear and decide conditional uses and variances shall not be required 17 to have a zoning adjuster or board of adjustment.

18 (((2) Each county legislative authority electing to use a hearing 19 examiner pursuant to this section shall by ordinance specify the 20 legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. Such legal effect 21 may vary for the different classes of applications decided by the 22 examiner but shall include one of the following:

23 (a) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to 24 the legislative authority;

25 (b) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative 26 decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative 27 authority; or

28 (c) Except in the case of a rezone, the decision may be given the 29 effect of a final decision of the legislative authority.

30 (3))) (5) Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in 31 writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the record, to support the decision. Such findings and conclusions shall 32 also set forth the manner in which the decision ((would carry out and 33 34 conform to the county's comprehensive plan and the county's)) is consistent with the future land use map of adopted comprehensive 35 plans and complies with clear and objective development regulations. 36 Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a longer period is 37 mutually agreed to in writing by the applicant and the hearing 38 shall be rendered within ten working days following 39 examiner, 40 conclusion of all testimony and hearings.

1 Sec. 2. RCW 35.63.130 and 1995 c 347 s 423 are each amended to 2 read as follows:

(1) As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter 3 relating to powers or duties of the planning commission to hear and 4 report on any proposal to amend a zoning ordinance, the legislative 5 6 body of a city or county ((may)) shall adopt a hearing examiner system under which a hearing examiner or hearing examiners ((may)) 7 hear and decide applications for ((amending)) plat approval and for 8 quasi-judicial development permit applications subject to the zoning 9 10 ordinance ((when the amendment which is applied for is not of general applicability)). In addition, the legislative body may vest in a 11 hearing examiner the power to hear and decide those issues it 12 believes should be reviewed and decided by a hearing examiner, 13 including but not limited to: 14

15 (a) ((Applications for conditional uses, variances, subdivisions, 16 shoreline permits, or any other class of applications for or 17 pertaining to development of land or land use;

18 (b)) Appeals of administrative decisions or determinations 19 provided that for appeals of administrative permit decisions, 20 substantial weight must be given to the expertise of the 21 administrative decision maker; and

22 (((c))) <u>(b)</u> Appeals of administrative decisions or determinations 23 pursuant to chapter 43.21C RCW.

24 (2) The decision of the hearing examiner constitutes the final
 25 decision, subject to appeal under chapter 36.70C RCW.

26 <u>(3) The legislative body shall adopt procedures to be followed by</u>
27 <u>a hearing examiner ensuring all decisions are consistent with the</u>
28 <u>future land use map of adopted comprehensive plans and comply with</u>
29 <u>clear and objective development regulations</u>.

30 <u>(4)</u> The legislative body shall prescribe procedures to be 31 followed by the hearing examiner.

32 (((2) Each city or county legislative body electing to use a 33 hearing examiner pursuant to this section shall by ordinance specify 34 the legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. The legal 35 effect of such decisions may vary for the different classes of 36 applications decided by the examiner but shall include one of the 37 following:

38 (a) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to 39 the legislative body; 1 (b) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative 2 decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative 3 body; or

4 (c) Except in the case of a rezone, the decision may be given the 5 effect of a final decision of the legislative body.

6 (3))) (5) Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the 7 record, to support the decision. Such findings and conclusions shall 8 also set forth the manner in which the decision would ((carry out and 9 10 conform to the city's or county's comprehensive plan and the city's or county's)) be consistent with the future land use map of adopted 11 12 comprehensive plans and complies with clear and objective development regulations. Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a 13 longer period is mutually agreed to in writing by the applicant and 14 the hearing examiner, shall be rendered within ten working days 15 following conclusion of all testimony and hearings. 16

17 Sec. 3. RCW 35A.63.170 and 1995 c 347 s 424 are each amended to 18 read as follows:

(1) As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter 19 relating to powers or duties of the planning commission to hear and 20 report on any proposal to amend a zoning ordinance, the legislative 21 22 body of a city ((may)) shall adopt a hearing examiner system under which a hearing examiner or hearing examiners ((may)) hear and decide 23 24 applications for ((amending)) plat approval and for quasi-judicial development permit applications subject to the zoning ordinance 25 ((when the amendment which is applied for is not of general 26 applicability)). In addition, the legislative body may vest in a 27 hearing examiner the power to hear and decide those issues it 28 29 believes should be reviewed and decided by a hearing examiner, 30 including but not limited to:

31 (a) ((Applications for conditional uses, variances, subdivisions, 32 shoreline permits, or any other class of applications for or 33 pertaining to development of land or land use;

34 (b)) Appeals of administrative decisions or determinations 35 provided that for appeals of administrative permit decisions, 36 substantial weight must be given to the expertise of the 37 administrative decision maker; and

38 (((c))) <u>(b)</u> Appeals of administrative decisions or determinations 39 pursuant to chapter 43.21C RCW. 1 (2) The decision of the hearing examiner constitutes the final 2 decision, subject to appeal under chapter 36.70C RCW.

3 <u>(3) The legislative body shall adopt procedures to be followed by</u> 4 <u>a hearing examiner ensuring all decisions are consistent with the</u> 5 <u>future land use map of adopted comprehensive plans and comply with</u> 6 <u>clear and objective development regulations.</u>

7 <u>(4)</u> The legislative body shall prescribe procedures to be 8 followed by a hearing examiner. If the legislative authority vests in 9 a hearing examiner the authority to hear and decide variances, then 10 the provisions of RCW 35A.63.110 shall not apply to the city.

11 (((2) Each city legislative body electing to use a hearing 12 examiner pursuant to this section shall by ordinance specify the 13 legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. The legal effect 14 of such decisions may vary for the different classes of applications 15 decided by the examiner but shall include one of the following:

16 (a) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to 17 the legislative body;

18 (b) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative 19 decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative 20 body; or

21 (c) Except in the case of a rezone, the decision may be given the 22 effect of a final decision of the legislative body.

23 (3))) (5) Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the 24 25 record, to support the decision. Such findings and conclusions shall also set forth the manner in which the decision would ((carry out and 26 27 conform to)) be consistent with the future land use map of the city's 28 comprehensive plan and the city's <u>clear and objective</u> development regulations. Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a 29 longer period is mutually agreed to in writing by the applicant and 30 31 the hearing examiner, shall be rendered within ten working days following conclusion of all testimony and hearings. 32

33 Sec. 4. RCW 58.17.330 and 1995 c 347 s 429 are each amended to 34 read as follows:

(1) As an alternative to those provisions of this chapter requiring a planning commission to hear and issue recommendations for plat approval, the county or city legislative body ((may)) shall adopt a hearing examiner system ((and shall specify by ordinance the

p. 5

1 legal effect of the decisions made by the examiner. The legal effect
2 of such decisions shall include one of the following:

3 (a) The decision may be given the effect of a recommendation to
4 the legislative body;

5 (b) The decision may be given the effect of an administrative 6 decision appealable within a specified time limit to the legislative 7 body; or

8 (c) The decision may be given the effect of a final decision of 9 the legislative body.)) for all quasi-judicial land use decisions 10 including, but not limited to, preliminary plats, planned unit 11 developments, variances, and conditional use approvals.

12 (2) The decision of the hearing examiner constitutes the final 13 decision on all quasi-judicial permit applications including, but not 14 limited to, preliminary plat, planned unit development, variance, and 15 conditional use applications, subject to appeal under chapter 36.70C 16 <u>RCW.</u>

17 <u>(3) The legislative body shall adopt procedures to be followed by</u> 18 <u>a hearing examiner ensuring all decisions are consistent with the</u> 19 <u>future land use map of adopted comprehensive plans and comply with</u> 20 <u>clear and objective development regulations.</u>

21 <u>(4)</u> The legislative authority shall prescribe procedures to be 22 followed by a hearing examiner.

(((2))) <u>(5)</u> Each final decision of a hearing examiner shall be in writing and shall include findings and conclusions, based on the record, to support the decision. Each final decision of a hearing examiner, unless a longer period is mutually agreed to by the applicant and the hearing examiner, shall be rendered within ten working days following conclusion of all testimony and hearings.

--- END ---