HOUSE BILL 1506

State of Washington 67th Legislature 2021 Regular Session

By Representatives Chase, Sutherland, Young, Walsh, and Eslick

Read first time 02/08/21. Referred to Committee on State Government & Tribal Relations.

- AN ACT Relating to ballot integrity; amending RCW 29A.60.185;
- 2 creating new sections; and declaring an emergency.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. It is the intent of the legislature to
- 5 assure all voters in the state that only legitimate votes are counted
- 6 in Washington state elections.
- 7 **Sec. 2.** RCW 29A.60.185 and 2018 c 218 s 2 are each amended to
- 8 read as follows:
- 9 (1) ((Prior to)) <u>As used in this section, "company" means an</u>
- 10 impartial, independent company selected by the secretary of state to
- 11 perform the audit described in this section.
- 12 <u>(2) Immediately after</u> certification of the election as required
- 13 by RCW 29A.60.190, the ((county auditor)) company shall conduct an
- 14 audit of duplicated ballots in accordance with subsection $((\frac{(2)}{(2)}))$ (3)
- 15 of this section, and an audit using ((at minimum one of)) the
- 16 following methods:
- 17 (a) An audit of results of votes cast on the direct recording
- 18 electronic voting devices, or other in-person ballot marking systems,
- 19 used in the county if there are races or issues with more than ten
- 20 votes cast on all direct recording electronic voting devices or other

p. 1 HB 1506

1 in-person ballot marking systems in the county. This audit must be conducted by randomly selecting by lot up to four percent of the 2 3 direct recording electronic voting devices or other in-person ballot marking systems, or one direct recording electronic voting device or 4 other in-person ballot marking system, whichever is greater, and, for 5 6 each device or system, comparing the results recorded electronically 7 with the results recorded on paper. For purposes of this audit, the results recorded on paper must be tabulated as follows: On one-fourth 8 of the devices or systems selected for audit, the paper records must 9 be tabulated manually; on the remaining devices or systems, the paper 10 11 records may be tabulated by a mechanical device determined by the 12 secretary of state to be capable of accurately reading the votes cast and printed thereon and qualified for use in the state under 13 applicable state and federal laws. Three races or issues, randomly 14 15 selected by lot, must be audited on each device or system. This audit 16 procedure must be subject to observation by political party 17 representatives if representatives have been appointed and are present at the time of the audit. As used in this subsection, "in-18 person ballot marking system" or "system" means an in-person ballot 19 marking system that retains or produces an electronic voting record 20 21 of each vote cast using the system;

22 (b) A random check of the ballot counting equipment consistent 23 with RCW 29A.60.170(3);

24

2526

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

- (c) A risk-limiting audit. A "risk-limiting audit" means an audit protocol that makes use of statistical principles and methods and is designed to limit the risk of certifying an incorrect election outcome. The secretary of state shall:
- (i) Set the risk limit. A "risk limit" means the largest statistical probability that an incorrect reported tabulation outcome is not detected in a risk-limiting audit;
- (ii) Randomly select for audit at least one statewide contest, and for each county at least one ballot contest other than the selected statewide contest. The ((county auditor)) company shall randomly select a ballot contest for audit if in any particular election there is no statewide contest; and
- (iii) Establish procedures for implementation of risk-limiting audits, including random selection of the audit sample, determination of audit size, and procedures for a comparison risk-limiting audit and ballot polling risk-limiting audit as defined in (c)(iii)(A) and (B) of this subsection.

p. 2 HB 1506

- (A) In a comparison risk-limiting audit, the ((county auditor)) company compares the voter markings on randomly selected ballots to the ballot-level cast vote record produced by the ballot counting equipment.
 - (B) In a ballot polling risk-limiting audit((, the county auditor , ef)) for a county using ballot counting equipment that does not produce ballot-level cast vote records, the company reports the voter markings on randomly selected ballots until the prespecified risk limit is met; (, er)) and
 - (d) An independent electronic audit of the original ballot counting equipment used in the county. The ((county auditor)) company may either conduct an audit of all ballots cast, or limit the audit to three precincts or six batches pursuant to procedures adopted under RCW 29A.60.170(3). This audit must be conducted using an independent electronic audit system that is, at minimum:
 - (i) Approved by the secretary of state;

- 17 (ii) Completely independent from all voting systems, including 18 ballot counting equipment, that is used in the county;
 - (iii) Distributed or manufactured by a vendor different from the vendor that distributed or manufactured the original ballot counting equipment; and
- (iv) Capable of demonstrating that it can verify and confirm the accuracy of the original ballot counting equipment's reported results.
 - (((2) Prior to)) (3) Following certification of the election, the ((county auditor)) <u>company</u> must conduct an audit of ballots duplicated under RCW 29A.60.125. The audit of duplicated ballots must involve a comparison of the duplicated ballot to the original ballot. The ((county canvassing board)) <u>company</u> must establish procedures for the auditing of duplicated ballots.
 - ((+3+)) (4) For each audit method, the secretary of state must adopt procedures for expanding the audit to include additional ballots when an audit results in a discrepancy. The procedure must specify under what circumstances a discrepancy will lead to an audit of additional ballots, and the method to determine how many additional ballots will be selected. The secretary of state shall adopt procedures to investigate the cause of any discrepancy found during an audit.
- $((\frac{4}{1}))$ (5) The secretary of state must establish rules by January 1, 2019, to implement and administer the auditing methods in

p. 3 HB 1506

- this section, including facilitating public observation and reporting requirements.
- 3 (6) By December 31st in the same year as the election, the 4 company must submit a report to the legislature containing the
- 5 findings of the audit and any recommendations to improve ballot
- 6 integrity in future elections.
- 7 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** Within 30 days of the effective date of
- 8 this section, the company, as defined in section 2 of this act, must
- 9 perform an audit of the 2020 general election.
- 10 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 4.** This act is necessary for the immediate
- 11 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of
- 12 the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes
- 13 effect immediately.

--- END ---

p. 4 HB 1506