П	ī ī	\cap	2	Q	Λ	1
п	_	U	/	\sim	4	- 1

HOUSE BILL 1194

State of Washington 67th Legislature 2021 Regular Session

By Representatives Ortiz-Self, Senn, Young, Santos, Callan, Morgan, Davis, and Harris-Talley

Read first time 01/14/21. Referred to Committee on Children, Youth & Families.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to strengthening parent-child visitation during
- 2 child welfare proceedings; amending RCW 13.34.067, 13.34.136,
- 3 13.34.138, and 13.34.065; and adding a new section to chapter 13.34
- 4 RCW.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 13.34
 RCW to read as follows:
- 8 (1) If a child is placed out of the home of a parent, guardian,
 9 or legal custodian following a shelter care hearing, the court shall
- order the petitioner to provide regular visitation with the parent, quardian, or legal custodian, and siblings. Early, consistent, and
- 12 frequent visitation is crucial for maintaining parent-child
- 13 relationships and allowing family reunification. The court shall
- 14 order a visitation plan individualized to the needs of the family
- 14 Order a visitation plan individualized to the needs of the family
- 15 with a goal of providing the maximum parent, child, and sibling
- 16 contact possible.
- 17 (2) Visitation under this section shall not be limited as a 18 sanction for a parent's failure to comply with recommended services 19 during shelter care.
- 20 (3) Visitation under this section may only be limited where 21 necessary to ensure the health, safety, or welfare of the child.

p. 1 HB 1194

(4) The first visit under this section must take place within 72 hours of removal, unless the court finds that extraordinary circumstances require delay.

- (5) The court shall advise the petitioner that the failure to provide court-ordered visitation may result in a finding at the dispositional hearing that the petitioner failed to make reasonable efforts to finalize the permanency plan. The lack of sufficient contracted visitation providers will not excuse the failure to provide court-ordered visitation.
- **Sec. 2.** RCW 13.34.067 and 2018 c 284 s 5 are each amended to 11 read as follows:
 - (1) (a) Following shelter care and no later than thirty days prior to fact-finding, the department shall convene a case conference as required in the shelter care order to develop and specify in a written service agreement the expectations of both the department and the parent regarding voluntary services for the parent.
 - (b) The case conference shall include the parent, counsel for the parent, caseworker, counsel for the state, guardian ad litem, counsel for the child, and any other person agreed upon by the parties. Once the shelter care order is entered, the department is not required to provide additional notice of the case conference to any participants in the case conference.
 - (c) The written service agreement expectations must correlate with the court's findings at the shelter care hearing. The written service agreement must set forth specific services to be provided to the parent.
 - (d) The case conference agreement must be agreed to and signed by the parties. The court shall not consider the content of the discussions at the case conference at the time of the fact-finding hearing for the purposes of establishing that the child is a dependent child, and the court shall not consider any documents or written materials presented at the case conference but not incorporated into the case conference agreement, unless the documents or written materials were prepared for purposes other than or as a result of the case conference and are otherwise admissible under the rules of evidence.
 - (e) If the court previously ordered that visitation between a parent and child be supervised or monitored, there shall be a presumption that such supervision will no longer be necessary

p. 2 HB 1194

- following a case conference under this section. To overcome this
 presumption, the department must provide evidence during the case
 conference establishing that removing visit supervision or monitoring
 would create a risk to the child's safety.
 - (2) At any other stage in a dependency proceeding, the department, upon the parent's request, shall convene a case conference.
 - (3) If a case conference is convened pursuant to subsection (1) or (2) of this section and the parent is unable to participate in person due to incarceration, the parent must have the option to participate through the use of a teleconference or videoconference.
- **Sec. 3.** RCW 13.34.136 and 2020 c 312 s 117 are each amended to 13 read as follows:
 - (1) Whenever a child is ordered to be removed from the home, a permanency plan shall be developed no later than ((sixty)) 60 days from the time the department assumes responsibility for providing services, including placing the child, or at the time of a hearing under RCW 13.34.130, whichever occurs first. The permanency planning process continues until a permanency planning goal is achieved or dependency is dismissed. The planning process shall include reasonable efforts to return the child to the parent's home.
 - (2) The department shall submit a written permanency plan to all parties and the court not less than ((fourteen)) 14 days prior to the scheduled hearing. Responsive reports of parties not in agreement with the department's proposed permanency plan must be provided to the department, all other parties, and the court at least seven days prior to the hearing.

The permanency plan shall include:

(a) A permanency plan of care that shall identify one of the following outcomes as a primary goal and may identify additional outcomes as alternative goals: Return of the child to the home of the child's parent, guardian, or legal custodian; adoption, including a tribal customary adoption as defined in RCW 13.38.040; guardianship pursuant to chapter 13.36 RCW; guardianship of a minor pursuant to RCW 11.130.215; long-term relative or foster care, if the child is between ages ((sixteen)) 16 and ((eighteen)) 18, with a written agreement between the parties and the care provider; successful completion of a responsible living skills program; or independent living, if appropriate and if the child is age ((sixteen)) 16 or

p. 3 HB 1194

older. Although a permanency plan of care may only identify long-term relative or foster care for children between ages ((sixteen)) 16 and ((eighteen)) 18, children under ((sixteen)) 16 may remain placed with relatives or in foster care. The department shall not discharge a child to an independent living situation before the child is ((eighteen)) 18 years of age unless the child becomes emancipated pursuant to chapter 13.64 RCW;

- (b) Unless the court has ordered, pursuant to RCW 13.34.130(8), that a termination petition be filed, a specific plan as to where the child will be placed, what steps will be taken to return the child home, what steps the department will take to promote existing appropriate sibling relationships and/or facilitate placement together or contact in accordance with the best interests of each child, and what actions the department will take to maintain parent-child ties. All aspects of the plan shall include the goal of achieving permanence for the child.
- (i) The department's plan shall specify what services the parents will be offered to enable them to resume custody, what requirements the parents must meet to resume custody, and a time limit for each service plan and parental requirement.
- (A) If the parent is incarcerated, the plan must address how the parent will participate in the case conference and permanency planning meetings and, where possible, must include treatment that reflects the resources available at the facility where the parent is confined. The plan must provide for visitation opportunities, unless visitation is not in the best interests of the child.
- (B) If a parent has a developmental disability according to the definition provided in RCW 71A.10.020, and that individual is eligible for services provided by the department of social and health services developmental disabilities administration, the department shall make reasonable efforts to consult with the department of social and health services developmental disabilities administration to create an appropriate plan for services. For individuals who meet the definition of developmental disability provided in RCW 71A.10.020 and who are eligible for services through the developmental disabilities administration, the plan for services must be tailored to correct the parental deficiency taking into consideration the parent's disability and the department shall also determine an appropriate method to offer those services based on the parent's disability.

p. 4 HB 1194

(ii) (A) Visitation is the right of the family, including the child and the parent, in cases in which visitation is in the best interest of the child. Early, consistent, and frequent visitation is crucial for maintaining parent-child relationships and making it possible for parents and children to safely reunify. The department shall encourage the maximum parent and child and sibling contact possible, when it is in the best interest of the child, including regular visitation and participation by the parents in the care of the child while the child is in placement.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

3536

37

3839

- (B) Visitation shall not be limited as a sanction for a parent's failure to comply with court orders or services where the health, safety, or welfare of the child is not at risk as a result of the visitation.
- (C) Visitation may be limited or denied only if the court determines that such limitation or denial is necessary to protect the child's health, safety, or welfare. <u>Visitation must occur in the</u> least restrictive setting and be unsupervised unless the presence of threats or danger to the child requires the constant presence of an adult to ensure the safety of the child. When a parent or sibling has been identified as a suspect in an active criminal investigation for a violent crime that, if the allegations are true, would impact the safety of the child, the department shall make a concerted effort to consult with the assigned law enforcement officer in the criminal case before recommending any changes in parent/child or child/sibling contact. In the event that the law enforcement officer has information pertaining to the criminal case that may have serious implications for child safety or well-being, the law enforcement officer shall provide this information to the department during the consultation. The department may only use the information provided by law enforcement during the consultation to inform family visitation plans and may not share or otherwise distribute the information to any person or entity. Any information provided to the department by law enforcement during the consultation is considered investigative information and is exempt from public inspection pursuant to RCW 42.56.240. The results of the consultation shall be communicated to the court.
- (D) The court and the department should rely upon community resources, relatives, foster parents, and other appropriate persons to provide transportation and supervision for visitation to the

p. 5 HB 1194

extent that such resources are available, and appropriate, and the child's safety would not be compromised.

- (E) If the court previously ordered that visitation between a parent and child be supervised or monitored, there shall be a presumption that such supervision will no longer be necessary when the permanency plan is entered. To overcome this presumption, the department must provide a report to the court including sufficient evidence establishing that removing visit supervision or monitoring would create a risk to the child's safety.
- (iii) (A) The department, court, or caregiver in the out-of-home placement may not limit visitation or contact between a child and sibling as a sanction for a child's behavior or as an incentive to the child to change his or her behavior.
- (B) Any exceptions, limitation, or denial of contacts or visitation must be approved by the supervisor of the department caseworker and documented. The child, parent, department, guardian ad litem, or court-appointed special advocate may challenge the denial of visits in court.
- 19 (iv) A child shall be placed as close to the child's home as 20 possible, preferably in the child's own neighborhood, unless the 21 court finds that placement at a greater distance is necessary to 22 promote the child's or parents' well-being.
 - (v) The plan shall state whether both in-state and, where appropriate, out-of-state placement options have been considered by the department.
 - (vi) Unless it is not in the best interests of the child, whenever practical, the plan should ensure the child remains enrolled in the school the child was attending at the time the child entered foster care.
 - (vii) The department shall provide all reasonable services that are available within the department, or within the community, or those services which the department has existing contracts to purchase. It shall report to the court if it is unable to provide such services; and
 - (c) If the court has ordered, pursuant to RCW 13.34.130(9), that a termination petition be filed, a specific plan as to where the child will be placed, what steps will be taken to achieve permanency for the child, services to be offered or provided to the child, and, if visitation would be in the best interests of the child, a recommendation to the court regarding visitation between parent and

p. 6 HB 1194

child pending a fact-finding hearing on the termination petition. The department shall not be required to develop a plan of services for the parents or provide services to the parents if the court orders a termination petition be filed. However, reasonable efforts to ensure visitation and contact between siblings shall be made unless there is reasonable cause to believe the best interests of the child or siblings would be jeopardized.

- (3) Permanency planning goals should be achieved at the earliest possible date. If the child has been in out-of-home care for ((fifteen)) 15 of the most recent ((twenty-two)) 22 months, and the court has not made a good cause exception, the court shall require the department to file a petition seeking termination of parental rights in accordance with RCW 13.34.145(4)(b)(vi). In cases where parental rights have been terminated, the child is legally free for adoption, and adoption has been identified as the primary permanency planning goal, it shall be a goal to complete the adoption within six months following entry of the termination order.
- (4) If the court determines that the continuation of reasonable efforts to prevent or eliminate the need to remove the child from his or her home or to safely return the child home should not be part of the permanency plan of care for the child, reasonable efforts shall be made to place the child in a timely manner and to complete whatever steps are necessary to finalize the permanent placement of the child.
- (5) The identified outcomes and goals of the permanency plan may change over time based upon the circumstances of the particular case.
- (6) The court shall consider the child's relationships with the child's siblings in accordance with RCW 13.34.130(7). Whenever the permanency plan for a child is adoption, the court shall encourage the prospective adoptive parents, birth parents, foster parents, kinship caregivers, and the department or other agency to seriously consider the long-term benefits to the child adoptee and his or her siblings of providing for and facilitating continuing postadoption contact between the siblings. To the extent that it is feasible, and when it is in the best interests of the child adoptee and his or her siblings, contact between the siblings should be frequent and of a similar nature as that which existed prior to the adoption. If the child adoptee or his or her siblings are represented by an attorney or guardian ad litem in a proceeding under this chapter or in any other child custody proceeding, the court shall inquire of each

p. 7 HB 1194

attorney and guardian ad litem regarding the potential benefits of continuing contact between the siblings and the potential detriments of severing contact. This section does not require the department or other agency to agree to any specific provisions in an open adoption agreement and does not create a new obligation for the department to provide supervision or transportation for visits between siblings separated by adoption from foster care.

- (7) For purposes related to permanency planning, "guardianship" means a guardianship pursuant to chapter 13.36 RCW or a guardianship of a minor pursuant to RCW 11.130.215, or equivalent laws of another state or a federally recognized Indian tribe.
- **Sec. 4.** RCW 13.34.138 and 2019 c 172 s 13 are each amended to 13 read as follows:
 - (1) The status of all children found to be dependent shall be reviewed by the court at least every six months from the beginning date of the placement episode or the date dependency is established, whichever is first. The purpose of the hearing shall be to review the progress of the parties and determine whether court supervision should continue.
 - (a) The initial review hearing shall be an in-court review and shall be set six months from the beginning date of the placement episode or no more than ((ninety)) 90 days from the entry of the disposition order, whichever comes first. The requirements for the initial review hearing, including the in-court review requirement, shall be accomplished within existing resources.
 - (b) The initial review hearing may be a permanency planning hearing when necessary to meet the time frames set forth in RCW 13.34.145(1)(a) or 13.34.134.
 - (2) (a) A child shall not be returned home at the review hearing unless the court finds that a reason for removal as set forth in RCW 13.34.130 no longer exists. The parents, guardian, or legal custodian shall report to the court the efforts they have made to correct the conditions which led to removal. If a child is returned, casework supervision by the department shall continue for a period of six months, at which time there shall be a hearing on the need for continued intervention.
- 37 (b) Prior to the child returning home, the department must 38 complete the following:

p. 8 HB 1194

(i) Identify all adults residing in the home and conduct background checks on those persons;

- (ii) Identify any persons who may act as a caregiver for the child in addition to the parent with whom the child is being placed and determine whether such persons are in need of any services in order to ensure the safety of the child, regardless of whether such persons are a party to the dependency. The department may recommend to the court and the court may order that placement of the child in the parent's home be contingent on or delayed based on the need for such persons to engage in or complete services to ensure the safety of the child prior to placement. If services are recommended for the caregiver, and the caregiver fails to engage in or follow through with the recommended services, the department must promptly notify the court; and
- (iii) Notify the parent with whom the child is being placed that he or she has an ongoing duty to notify the department of all persons who reside in the home or who may act as a caregiver for the child both prior to the placement of the child in the home and subsequent to the placement of the child in the home as long as the court retains jurisdiction of the dependency proceeding or the department is providing or monitoring either remedial services to the parent or services to ensure the safety of the child to any caregivers.

Caregivers may be required to engage in services under this subsection solely for the purpose of ensuring the present and future safety of a child who is a ward of the court. This subsection does not grant party status to any individual not already a party to the dependency proceeding, create an entitlement to services or a duty on the part of the department to provide services, or create judicial authority to order the provision of services to any person other than for the express purposes of this section or RCW 13.34.025 or if the services are unavailable or unsuitable or the person is not eligible for such services.

- (c) If the child is not returned home, the court shall establish in writing:
- (i) Whether the department is making reasonable efforts to provide services to the family and eliminate the need for placement of the child. If additional services, including housing assistance, are needed to facilitate the return of the child to the child's parents, the court shall order that reasonable services be offered specifying such services;

p. 9 HB 1194

- 1 (ii) Whether there has been compliance with the case plan by the child, the child's parents, and the agency supervising the placement;
 - (iii) Whether progress has been made toward correcting the problems that necessitated the child's placement in out-of-home care;
 - (iv) Whether the services set forth in the case plan and the responsibilities of the parties need to be clarified or modified due to the availability of additional information or changed circumstances;
 - (v) Whether there is a continuing need for placement;

- (vi) Within ((sixty)) 60 days of the placement of a child in a qualified residential treatment program as defined in this chapter, and at each review hearing thereafter if the child remains in such a program, the following:
- (A) Whether ongoing assessment of the child's strengths and needs continues to support the determination that the child's needs cannot be met through placement in a foster family home;
- (B) Whether the child's placement provides the most effective and appropriate level of care in the least restrictive environment;
- (C) Whether the placement is consistent with the child's permanency plan;
- (D) What specific treatment or service needs will be met in the placement, and how long the child is expected to need the treatment or services; and
- (E) What efforts the department has made to prepare the child to return home or be placed with a fit and willing relative as defined in RCW 13.34.030, a Title 13 RCW legal guardian, an adoptive parent, or in a foster family home.
- (vii) Whether a parent's homelessness or lack of suitable housing is a significant factor delaying permanency for the child by preventing the return of the child to the home of the child's parent and whether housing assistance should be provided by the department;
- (viii) Whether the child is in an appropriate placement which adequately meets all physical, emotional, and educational needs;
- (ix) Whether preference has been given to placement with the child's relatives if such placement is in the child's best interests;
- 36 (x) Whether both in-state and, where appropriate, out-of-state placements have been considered;
- 38 (xi) Whether the parents have visited the child and any reasons 39 why visitation has not occurred or has been infrequent;

p. 10 HB 1194

(xii) Whether terms of visitation need to be modified. If the court previously ordered that visitation between a parent and child must be supervised, there shall be a presumption that such supervision will no longer be necessary after the review hearing. To overcome this presumption, the department must submit to the court sufficient evidence establishing that removing visit supervision would create a risk to the child's safety;

- 8 (xiii) Whether the court-approved long-term permanent plan for 9 the child remains the best plan for the child;
- 10 (xiv) Whether any additional court orders need to be made to move 11 the case toward permanency; and
 - (xv) The projected date by which the child will be returned home or other permanent plan of care will be implemented.
 - (d) The court at the review hearing may order that a petition seeking termination of the parent and child relationship be filed.
 - (3) (a) In any case in which the court orders that a dependent child may be returned to or remain in the child's home, the in-home placement shall be contingent upon the following:
 - (i) The compliance of the parents with court orders related to the care and supervision of the child, including compliance with the department's case plan; and
 - (ii) The continued participation of the parents, if applicable, in available substance abuse or mental health treatment if substance abuse or mental illness was a contributing factor to the removal of the child.
 - (b) The following may be grounds for removal of the child from the home, subject to review by the court:
 - (i) Noncompliance by the parents with the department's case plan or court order;
 - (ii) The parent's inability, unwillingness, or failure to participate in available services or treatment for themselves or the child, including substance abuse treatment if a parent's substance abuse was a contributing factor to the abuse or neglect; or
 - (iii) The failure of the parents to successfully and substantially complete available services or treatment for themselves or the child, including substance abuse treatment if a parent's substance abuse was a contributing factor to the abuse or neglect.
 - (c) In a pending dependency case in which the court orders that a dependent child may be returned home and that child is later removed from the home, the court shall hold a review hearing within thirty

p. 11 HB 1194

days from the date of removal to determine whether the permanency plan should be changed, a termination petition should be filed, or other action is warranted. The best interests of the child shall be the court's primary consideration in the review hearing.

- (4) The court's authority to order housing assistance under this chapter is: (a) Limited to cases in which a parent's homelessness or lack of suitable housing is a significant factor delaying permanency for the child and housing assistance would aid the parent in providing an appropriate home for the child; and (b) subject to the availability of funds appropriated for this specific purpose. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to create an entitlement to housing assistance nor to create judicial authority to order the provision of such assistance to any person or family if the assistance or funding are unavailable or the child or family are not eligible for such assistance.
- 16 (5) The court shall consider the child's relationship with siblings in accordance with RCW 13.34.130($(\frac{(6)}{(6)})$) $\frac{(7)}{(7)}$.
- **Sec. 5.** RCW 13.34.065 and 2019 c 172 s 11 are each amended to 19 read as follows:
 - (1) (a) When a child is taken into custody, the court shall hold a shelter care hearing within ((seventy-two)) 72 hours, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. The primary purpose of the shelter care hearing is to determine whether the child can be immediately and safely returned home while the adjudication of the dependency is pending.
 - (b) Any parent, guardian, or legal custodian who for good cause is unable to attend the shelter care hearing may request that a subsequent shelter care hearing be scheduled. The request shall be made to the clerk of the court where the petition is filed prior to the initial shelter care hearing. Upon the request of the parent, the court shall schedule the hearing within ((seventy-two)) 72 hours of the request, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. The clerk shall notify all other parties of the hearing by any reasonable means.
 - (2)(a) If it is likely that the child will remain in shelter care longer than ((seventy-two)) 72 hours, the department shall submit a recommendation to the court as to the further need for shelter care in all cases in which the child will remain in shelter care longer than the ((seventy-two)) 72 hour period. In all other cases, the

p. 12 HB 1194

recommendation shall be submitted by the juvenile court probation counselor.

- (b) All parties have the right to present testimony to the court regarding the need or lack of need for shelter care.
- (c) Hearsay evidence before the court regarding the need or lack of need for shelter care must be supported by sworn testimony, affidavit, or declaration of the person offering such evidence.
- 8 (3)(a) At the commencement of the hearing, the court shall notify 9 the parent, guardian, or custodian of the following:
 - (i) The parent, guardian, or custodian has the right to a shelter care hearing;
 - (ii) The nature of the shelter care hearing, the rights of the parents, and the proceedings that will follow; and
 - (iii) If the parent, guardian, or custodian is not represented by counsel, the right to be represented. If the parent, guardian, or custodian is indigent, the court shall appoint counsel as provided in RCW 13.34.090; and
 - (b) If a parent, guardian, or legal custodian desires to waive the shelter care hearing, the court shall determine, on the record and with the parties present, whether such waiver is knowing and voluntary. A parent may not waive his or her right to the shelter care hearing unless he or she appears in court and the court determines that the waiver is knowing and voluntary. Regardless of whether the court accepts the parental waiver of the shelter care hearing, the court must provide notice to the parents of their rights required under (a) of this subsection and make the finding required under subsection (4) of this section.
 - (4) At the shelter care hearing the court shall examine the need for shelter care and inquire into the status of the case. The paramount consideration for the court shall be the health, welfare, and safety of the child. At a minimum, the court shall inquire into the following:
 - (a) Whether the notice required under RCW 13.34.062 was given to all known parents, guardians, or legal custodians of the child. The court shall make an express finding as to whether the notice required under RCW 13.34.062 was given to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian. If actual notice was not given to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian and the whereabouts of such person is known or can be ascertained, the court shall order the department to make reasonable efforts to advise the parent, guardian, or legal custodian of the

p. 13 HB 1194

status of the case, including the date and time of any subsequent hearings, and their rights under RCW 13.34.090;

- (b) Whether the child can be safely returned home while the adjudication of the dependency is pending;
- (c) What efforts have been made to place the child with a relative. The court shall ask the parents whether the department discussed with them the placement of the child with a relative or other suitable person described in RCW 13.34.130(1)(b) and shall determine what efforts have been made toward such a placement;
- (d) What services were provided to the family to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child from the child's home. If the dependency petition or other information before the court alleges that homelessness or the lack of suitable housing was a significant factor contributing to the removal of the child, the court shall inquire as to whether housing assistance was provided to the family to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child or children;
- (e) Is the placement proposed by the department the least disruptive and most family-like setting that meets the needs of the child;
- (f) Whether it is in the best interest of the child to remain enrolled in the school, developmental program, or child care the child was in prior to placement and what efforts have been made to maintain the child in the school, program, or child care if it would be in the best interest of the child to remain in the same school, program, or child care;
 - (g) Appointment of a guardian ad litem or attorney;
- (h) Whether the child is or may be an Indian child as defined in RCW 13.38.040, whether the provisions of the federal Indian child welfare act or chapter 13.38 RCW apply, and whether there is compliance with the federal Indian child welfare act and chapter 13.38 RCW, including notice to the child's tribe;
- (i) Whether, as provided in RCW 26.44.063, restraining orders, or orders expelling an allegedly abusive household member from the home of a nonabusive parent, guardian, or legal custodian, will allow the child to safely remain in the home;
- (j) Whether any orders for examinations, evaluations, or immediate services are needed. The court may not order a parent to undergo examinations, evaluation, or services at the shelter care

p. 14 HB 1194

- 1 hearing unless the parent agrees to the examination, evaluation, or 2 service;
- 3 (k) The terms and conditions for parental, sibling, and family visitation consistent with section 1 of this act.
- 5 (5)(a) The court shall release a child alleged to be dependent to 6 the care, custody, and control of the child's parent, guardian, or 7 legal custodian unless the court finds there is reasonable cause to 8 believe that:
- 9 (i) After consideration of the specific services that have been 10 provided, reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate 11 the need for removal of the child from the child's home and to make 12 it possible for the child to return home; and
- 13 (ii) (A) The child has no parent, guardian, or legal custodian to 14 provide supervision and care for such child; or

1516

17

1819

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

- (B) The release of such child would present a serious threat of substantial harm to such child, notwithstanding an order entered pursuant to RCW 26.44.063; or
- (C) The parent, guardian, or custodian to whom the child could be released has been charged with violating RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.
- (b) If the court does not release the child to his or her parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the court shall order placement with a relative or other suitable person as described in RCW 13.34.130(1)(b), unless there is reasonable cause to believe the health, safety, or welfare of the child would be jeopardized or that the efforts to reunite the parent and child will be hindered. If such relative or other suitable person appears otherwise suitable and competent to provide care and treatment, the fingerprint-based background check need not be completed before placement, but as soon as possible after placement. The court must also determine whether placement with the relative or other suitable person is in the child's best interests. The relative or other suitable person must be willing and available to:
- 33 (i) Care for the child and be able to meet any special needs of the child;
- 35 (ii) Facilitate the child's visitation with siblings, if such 36 visitation is part of the department's plan or is ordered by the 37 court; and
- 38 (iii) Cooperate with the department in providing necessary 39 background checks and home studies.

p. 15 HB 1194

(c) If the child was not initially placed with a relative or other suitable person, and the court does not release the child to his or her parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the department shall make reasonable efforts to locate a relative or other suitable person pursuant to RCW 13.34.060(1). In determining placement, the court shall weigh the child's length of stay and attachment to the current provider in determining what is in the best interest of the child.

- (d) If a relative or other suitable person is not available, the court shall order continued shelter care and shall set forth its reasons for the order. If the court orders placement of the child with a person not related to the child and not licensed to provide foster care, the placement is subject to all terms and conditions of this section that apply to relative placements.
- (e) Any placement with a relative, or other suitable person approved by the court pursuant to this section, shall be contingent upon cooperation with the department's or agency's case plan and compliance with court orders related to the care and supervision of the child including, but not limited to, court orders regarding parent-child contacts, sibling contacts, and any other conditions imposed by the court. Noncompliance with the case plan or court order is grounds for removal of the child from the home of the relative or other suitable person, subject to review by the court.
- (f) If the child is placed in a qualified residential treatment program as defined in this chapter, the court shall, within ((sixty)) 60 days of placement, hold a hearing to:
- (i) Consider the assessment required under RCW 13.34.420 and submitted as part of the department's social study, and any related documentation;
- (ii) Determine whether placement in foster care can meet the child's needs or if placement in another available placement setting best meets the child's needs in the least restrictive environment; and
- (iii) Approve or disapprove the child's placement in the qualified residential treatment program.
- (g) Uncertainty by a parent, guardian, legal custodian, relative, or other suitable person that the alleged abuser has in fact abused the child shall not, alone, be the basis upon which a child is removed from the care of a parent, guardian, or legal custodian under (a) of this subsection, nor shall it be a basis, alone, to preclude

p. 16 HB 1194

placement with a relative or other suitable person under (b) of this subsection.

- (6) (a) A shelter care order issued pursuant to this section shall include the requirement for a case conference as provided in RCW 13.34.067. However, if the parent is not present at the shelter care hearing, or does not agree to the case conference, the court shall not include the requirement for the case conference in the shelter care order.
- (b) If the court orders a case conference, the shelter care order shall include notice to all parties and establish the date, time, and location of the case conference which shall be no later than ((thirty)) 30 days before the fact-finding hearing.
- (c) The court may order another conference, case staffing, or hearing as an alternative to the case conference required under RCW 13.34.067 so long as the conference, case staffing, or hearing ordered by the court meets all requirements under RCW 13.34.067, including the requirement of a written agreement specifying the services to be provided to the parent.
- (7) (a) (i) A shelter care order issued pursuant to this section may be amended at any time with notice and hearing thereon. The shelter care decision of placement shall be modified only upon a showing of change in circumstances. No child may be placed in shelter care for longer than thirty days without an order, signed by the judge, authorizing continued shelter care.
- (ii) If the court previously ordered that visitation between a parent and child be supervised or monitored, there shall be a presumption that such supervision will no longer be necessary following a continued shelter care order under (a)(i) of this subsection. To overcome this presumption, the department must submit evidence to the court establishing that removing visit supervision or monitoring would create a risk to the child's safety.
- (b) (i) An order releasing the child on any conditions specified in this section may at any time be amended, with notice and hearing thereon, so as to return the child to shelter care for failure of the parties to conform to the conditions originally imposed.
- (ii) The court shall consider whether nonconformance with any conditions resulted from circumstances beyond the control of the parent, guardian, or legal custodian and give weight to that fact before ordering return of the child to shelter care.

p. 17 HB 1194

(8) (a) If a child is returned home from shelter care a second time in the case, or if the supervisor of the caseworker deems it necessary, the multidisciplinary team may be reconvened.

1

2

3

4

5

(b) If a child is returned home from shelter care a second time in the case a law enforcement officer must be present and file a report to the department.

--- END ---

p. 18 HB 1194