19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

30

3132

## SSB 5273 - H AMD TO RDAN COMM AMD (H-1281.1/21) 454 By Representative Fitzgibbon

## ADOPTED 03/28/2021

Beginning on page 1, line 3, after "Sec.1." strike all material 1 2 through "project." on page 2, line 32 and insert "The legislature 3 finds that the state of Washington will continue to be negatively impacted by the effects of climate change, including reduced winter 4 increased frequencies of forest 5 snowpack, drought, acidifying oceans that disrupt marine ecosystem viability. In the 6 7 nearshore environment, climate change contributes to the rise in 8 average sea-surface temperatures and rising sea levels. Hardened shoreline structures are not always well-suited for their intended 9 purpose and may have unintended consequences in the nearshore 10 11 environment. Soft shorelines or natural shorelines may protect and 12 restore shoreline ecosystems through the use of natural plants and 13 materials, and the legislature finds that landowners must consider alternatives to hardening shorelines to restore ecosystem function 14 15 and recover threatened and endangered species to help address the impacts of climate change in the nearshore environment. 16

- 17 **Sec. 2.** RCW 77.55.231 and 2012 1st sp.s. c 1 s 106 are each 18 amended to read as follows:
  - (1) (a) Conditions imposed upon a permit must be reasonably related to the project. The permit conditions must ensure that the project provides proper protection for fish life, but the department may not impose conditions that attempt to optimize conditions for fish life that are out of proportion to the impact of the proposed project.
  - (b) In the event that any person desires to replace residential marine shoreline stabilization or armoring, a person must use the least impacting technically feasible bank protection alternative for the protection of fish life. Unless the department provides an exemption depending on the scale and nature of the project, a person that desires to replace residential marine shoreline stabilization or armoring must conduct a site assessment to consider the least impactful alternatives. A person should propose a hard armor

- 1 technique only after considering site characteristics such as the
- 2 threat to major improvements, wave energy, and other factors in an
- 3 <u>analysis</u> of alternatives. The common alternatives identified in
- 4 (b)(i) through (vii) of this subsection are in order from most
- 5 preferred to least preferred:

6

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

- (i) Remove the structure and restore the beach;
- 7 (ii) Remove the structure and install native vegetation;
- 8 (iii) Remove the structure and control upland drainage;
- 9 <u>(iv) Remove the structure and replace it with a soft structure</u> 10 <u>constructed of natural materials, including bioengineering;</u>
- 11 <u>(v) Remove the hard structure and construct upland retaining</u>
  12 walls;
- 13 <u>(vi) Remove the hard structure and replace it with a hard</u>
  14 <u>structure located landward of the existing structure, preferably at</u>
  15 or above the ordinary high water line; or
- 16 <u>(vii) Remove the hard structure and replace it with hard</u>
  17 <u>shoreline structure in the same footprint as the existing structure.</u>
- 18 <u>(c) For the purposes of this subsection, "feasible" means</u>
  19 <u>available and capable of being done after taking into consideration</u>
  20 <u>cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project</u>
  21 <u>purposes.</u>
  - (2) The permit must contain provisions allowing for minor modifications to the plans and specifications without requiring reissuance of the permit.
  - (3) The permit must contain provisions that allow for minor modifications to the required work timing without requiring the reissuance of the permit. "Minor modifications to the required work timing" means a minor deviation from the timing window set forth in the permit when there are no spawning or incubating fish present within the vicinity of the project.""

EFFECT: Requires a person wishing to replace residential marine shoreline stabilization or armoring to use the least impacting, technically feasible bank protection alternative for the protection of fish life.

Requires a person to conduct a site assessment before replacing marine residential shoreline stabilization or armoring, unless granted an exemption by the Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Requires a person to consider certain site characteristics before proposing a hard armor technique.

Establishes a hierarchy of marine residential shoreline stabilization techniques.

Defines "feasible," with regard to the replacement of residential marine shoreline stabilization or armoring, to mean available and

capable of being done after taking into consideration cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project purposes.

--- END ---