6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

HOUSE BILL 1821

State of Washington 66th Legislature 2019 Regular Session

By Representatives Appleton, Hudgins, Dolan, and Pellicciotti

Read first time 01/31/19. Referred to Committee on State Government & Tribal Relations.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to requiring postelection audit reports; and 2 amending RCW 29A.60.185 and 29A.60.190.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 29A.60.185 and 2018 c 218 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Prior to certification of the election as required by RCW 29A.60.190, the county auditor shall conduct an audit of duplicated ballots in accordance with subsection (2) of this section, and an audit using at minimum one of the following methods:
 - (a) An audit of results of votes cast on the direct recording electronic voting devices, or other in-person ballot marking systems, used in the county if there are races or issues with more than ten votes cast on all direct recording electronic voting devices or other in-person ballot marking systems in the county. This audit must be conducted by randomly selecting by lot up to four percent of the direct recording electronic voting devices or other in-person ballot marking systems, or one direct recording electronic voting device or other in-person ballot marking system, whichever is greater, and, for each device or system, comparing the results recorded electronically with the results recorded on paper. For purposes of this audit, the results recorded on paper must be tabulated as follows: On one-fourth

p. 1 HB 1821

of the devices or systems selected for audit, the paper records must 1 be tabulated manually; on the remaining devices or systems, the paper 2 records may be tabulated by a mechanical device determined by the 3 secretary of state to be capable of accurately reading the votes cast 4 and printed thereon and qualified for use in the state under 5 6 applicable state and federal laws. Three races or issues, randomly selected by lot, must be audited on each device or system. This audit 7 procedure must be subject to observation by political party 8 representatives if representatives have been appointed and are 9 present at the time of the audit. As used in this subsection, "in-10 11 person ballot marking system" or "system" means an in-person ballot 12 marking system that retains or produces an electronic voting record of each vote cast using the system; 13

14 (b) A random check of the ballot counting equipment consistent 15 with RCW 29A.60.170(3);

1617

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

3435

36

- (c) A risk-limiting audit. A "risk-limiting audit" means an audit protocol that makes use of statistical principles and methods and is designed to limit the risk of certifying an incorrect election outcome. The secretary of state shall:
- (i) Set the risk limit. A "risk limit" means the largest statistical probability that an incorrect reported tabulation outcome is not detected in a risk-limiting audit;
- (ii) Randomly select for audit at least one statewide contest, and for each county at least one ballot contest other than the selected statewide contest. The county auditor shall randomly select a ballot contest for audit if in any particular election there is no statewide contest; and
- (iii) Establish procedures for implementation of risk-limiting audits, including random selection of the audit sample, determination of audit size, and procedures for a comparison risk-limiting audit and ballot polling risk-limiting audit as defined in (c) (iii) (A) and (B) of this subsection.
- (A) In a comparison risk-limiting audit, the county auditor compares the voter markings on randomly selected ballots to the ballot-level cast vote record produced by the ballot counting equipment.
- 37 (B) In a ballot polling risk-limiting audit, the county auditor 38 of a county using ballot counting equipment that does not produce 39 ballot-level cast vote records reports the voter markings on randomly 40 selected ballots until the prespecified risk limit is met; or

p. 2 HB 1821

- (d) An independent electronic audit of the original ballot counting equipment used in the county. The county auditor may either conduct an audit of all ballots cast, or limit the audit to three precincts or six batches pursuant to procedures adopted under RCW 29A.60.170(3). This audit must be conducted using an independent electronic audit system that is, at minimum:
 - (i) Approved by the secretary of state;

- (ii) Completely independent from all voting systems, including ballot counting equipment, that is used in the county;
- (iii) Distributed or manufactured by a vendor different from the vendor that distributed or manufactured the original ballot counting equipment; and
- (iv) Capable of demonstrating that it can verify and confirm the accuracy of the original ballot counting equipment's reported results.
- (2) Prior to certification of the election, the county auditor must conduct an audit of ballots duplicated under RCW 29A.60.125. The audit of duplicated ballots must involve a comparison of the duplicated ballot to the original ballot. The county canvassing board must establish procedures for the auditing of duplicated ballots.
- (3) For each audit method, the secretary of state must adopt procedures for expanding the audit to include additional ballots when an audit results in a discrepancy. The procedure must specify under what circumstances a discrepancy will lead to an audit of additional ballots, and the method to determine how many additional ballots will be selected. The secretary of state shall adopt procedures to investigate the cause of any discrepancy found during an audit.
- (4) ((The secretary of state must establish rules by January 1, 2019, to implement and administer the auditing methods in this section, including facilitating public observation and reporting requirements.)) Each county auditor shall create an audit report for each special election, primary, and general election. The secretary of state shall develop, and all county auditors shall use, a standard reporting format for the audit report. At the time of certification of the election, the county auditor shall post the report on the county elections web site, or its equivalent. The report must include the following information:
 - (a) Each type of postelection audit performed;
 - (b) The total number of ballots audited;

p. 3 HB 1821

- 1 (c) The make, model, and serial number of voting systems that
 2 were audited, and the total number of ballots counted using each of
 3 the audited voting systems;
 - (d) The ballot races or issues included in the audit;
 - (e) For each audit sample:

4

5

8

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

3132

33

34

3536

37

3839

- 6 <u>(i) The count of the specific ballot races or issues as</u>
 7 originally tabulated for that audit sample;
 - (ii) The count as determined by the audit; and
- 9 <u>(iii) An explanation of discrepancies found between the counts</u>
 10 reported under (e) (i) and (ii) of this subsection, if any;
- 11 (f) The number of ballots duplicated and any discrepancies found 12 during the audit of the duplicated ballots;
- 13 (g) Any other discrepancies found during each audit, and the 14 findings of any investigation conducted under subsection (3) of this 15 section;
- 16 (h) The signature, election administration certification number 17 if applicable, and date of last election administration training of 18 the county canvassing board members and designated election official 19 who observed or conducted the audit; and
- 20 <u>(i) Any other information that the secretary of state deems</u>
 21 <u>necessary.</u>
 - (5) (a) Each county auditor shall submit the audit report required under subsection (4) of this section to the secretary of state no later than one day prior to the certification of the primary, special election, or general election. Once submitted, the report must be made available for public inspection and copying.
 - (b) The secretary of state shall review the report and, after review, may direct the county auditor to conduct additional audits. The secretary of state may instruct the county canvassing board to delay certification, by no more than ten days beyond the timeline set forth under RCW 29A.60.190, for the county auditor to complete any additional audit directed by the secretary of state.
 - (6) (a) The secretary of state shall compile the audit reports from each county and prepare a statewide audit report for each primary, special election, and general election. The secretary of state shall publish the statewide report on the secretary of state's web site no later than one month after the last county's election results have been certified.
 - (b) The report must include:

p. 4 HB 1821

- 1 <u>(i) Data from each county's audit report and other quantifiable</u> 2 <u>data that can be compared to measure performance and trends;</u>
- 3 (ii) Any recommendations for improving election administration
 4 and policy; and
- 5 <u>(iii) Any other information the secretary of state deems useful</u> 6 <u>to develop a better understanding of election administration and</u> 7 policy.
- 8 **Sec. 2.** RCW 29A.60.190 and 2015 c 146 s 4 are each amended to 9 read as follows:
- 10 Ten days after a special election held in February or April, fourteen days after a primary, or twenty-one days after a general 11 election, the county canvassing board shall complete the canvass and 12 certify the results, except as provided under RCW 29A.60.185. Each 13 ballot that was returned before 8:00 p.m. on the day of the special 14 15 election, general election, or primary, and each ballot bearing a 16 postmark on or before the date of the special election, general election, or primary and received no later than the day before 17 18 certification, must be included in the canvass report.

--- END ---

p. 5 HB 1821