

SENATE BILL REPORT

SB 5328

As Reported by Senate Committee On:
Law & Justice, February 7, 2019
Transportation, February 21, 2019

Title: An act relating to driver's license suspensions and revocations.

Brief Description: Concerning driver's license suspensions and revocations.

Sponsors: Senators Salomon, Kuderer, Hunt, Hasegawa, Palumbo, Nguyen, Saldaña, Lias, Frockt and Keiser.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Law & Justice: 1/31/19, 2/07/19 [DP-TRAN, w/oRec, DNP].
Transportation: 2/20/19, 2/21/19 [DPS-WM, DNP, w/oRec].

Brief Summary of First Substitute Bill

- Allows suspension of a person's driver's license for failure to respond or comply with a traffic infraction for a moving violation only if the person has received one or more other traffic infractions for moving violations that remain outstanding at the time of suspension.
- Requires a court to dismiss a citation for driving while license suspended in the third degree, if the underlying reason for the suspension was for a failure to appear or pay a traffic infraction for a moving violation, and the person provides evidence that he or she has paid the underlying infraction since the citation was issued.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LAW & JUSTICE

Majority Report: Do pass and be referred to Committee on Transportation.
Signed by Senators Pedersen, Chair; Dhingra, Vice Chair; Kuderer and Salomon.

Minority Report: That it be referred without recommendation.
Signed by Senators Padden, Ranking Member; Wilson, L..

Minority Report: Do not pass.
Signed by Senator Holy.

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Staff: Shani Bauer (786-7468)

SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

Majority Report: That Substitute Senate Bill No. 5328 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass and be referred to Committee on Ways & Means.

Signed by Senators Hobbs, Chair; Saldaña, Vice Chair; King, Ranking Member; Sheldon, Assistant Ranking Member; Cleveland, Padden, Takko and Zeiger.

Minority Report: Do not pass.

Signed by Senators Das, Nguyen, Randall and Wilson, C..

Minority Report: That it be referred without recommendation.

Signed by Senators Fortunato, Lovelett and O'Ban.

Staff: Kim Johnson (786-7472)

Background: It is a crime for a person to drive a motor vehicle in this state while that person's privilege to drive is suspended or revoked. There are three degrees of the crime of driving while license suspended or revoked (DWLS), which are dependent on the reason the person's license was suspended or revoked.

DWLS 3 is a misdemeanor offense and generally involves driving after a license is suspended or revoked for secondary reasons where there is no set suspension period. More specifically, those reasons include the person:

- failed to furnish proof of satisfactory progress in a required alcoholism or drug treatment program;
- failed to furnish proof of financial responsibility for the future;
- failed to comply with the provisions relating to uninsured accidents;
- failed to respond to a notice of traffic infraction for a moving violation, failed to appear at a requested hearing, violated a written promise to appear in court, or failed to comply with the terms of a notice of traffic infraction or citation;
- committed an offense in another state that, if committed in this state, would not be grounds for the suspension or revocation of the person's driver's license;
- has been suspended or revoked by reason of one or more of the items listed in the DWLS in the second degree offense, but was eligible to reinstate the person's driver's license or driving privilege at the time of the violation;
- has received traffic citations or notices of traffic infraction relating to intermediate driver's licenses that resulted in a suspension; or
- is not in compliance with a child support order.

At various times, the Legislature has attempted to establish avenues to avoid suspension or facilitate return of a person's license when the license is revoked for secondary reasons. In 2009, the Legislature adopted a relicensing diversion program for persons who commit DWLS 3 and whose license was suspended or revoked for failing to respond, appear, or comply with a notice of traffic infraction. In 2011, the Legislature authorized the prosecutor to direct DWLS 3 cases resulting from a traffic infraction to the prosecutor's office for

consideration of filing an information or entry into a pre-charge diversion program rather than filing charges.

To reinstate a driver's license after it has been suspended or revoked, a person must clear the underlying reason for the suspension, which usually entails paying money, proving financial responsibility, and paying a reinstatement fee of \$75 for non-alcohol related suspensions, or \$150 for alcohol related suspensions.

Summary of Bill (First Substitute): Failure to respond or failure to comply with a notice of traffic infraction for a moving violation will only result in suspension of the person's driving privileges when the person has received one or more other traffic infractions for moving violations that remain outstanding at the time of suspension.

If a person fails to meet the obligations of a payment plan entered into as a result of a traffic infraction for a moving violation, the person's license shall be suspended only if the statutory requirements for initial suspension are met.

If a person who failed to respond, failed to appear, violated a written promise to appear in court, or failed to comply with the terms of a notice of traffic infraction, appears in person before a court or submits by mail, written evidence that since the citation was issued, they have paid the underlying citation that led to the suspension, then the citation for driving with a suspended or revoked license must be dismissed upon payment to the court of \$43 administrative fee.

Creates a new account called the Driver's Licensing Technology Support Account within the Highway Safety Fund. The account must be used only to support information technology systems used by the Department of Licensing (DOL) to communicate with the judicial information system, manage driving records and implement court orders.

Reallocates \$4 of the \$20 legislative assessment on traffic infractions to the New Driver's Licensing Technology Support Account.

Creates a new \$2 assessment on traffic infractions similar to the Judicial Information Systems Assessment and deposits it into the New Driver's Licensing Technology Support Account.

Creates a new \$1 fee on all applications for an original or renewal for driver's licenses and identicards and deposits it into the Highway Safety Fund.

EFFECT OF CHANGES MADE BY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (First Substitute):

- Strikes the bill in its entirety.
- Provides that the failure to respond or failure to comply with a notice of traffic infraction for a moving violation will only result in suspension of the person's driving privileges when the person has received one or more other traffic infractions for moving violations that remain outstanding at the time of suspension.
- Modifies driving while license suspended in the third degree to require a court to dismiss the citation if a person meets certain conditions.

- Creates a new account that can only be used to support information technology systems used by DOL.
- Reallocates \$4 of the legislative assessment on traffic infractions and creates a new \$2 assessment on traffic infractions deposited into to the new account.
- Adds a \$1 fee on original and renewal driver's license applications.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Creates Committee/Commission/Task Force that includes Legislative members: No.

Effective Date: The bill takes effect on September 1, 2019.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony (Law & Justice): PRO: Many DWLS offenses result from low income individuals who do not have the ability to pay the money to get their license reinstated. Public safety concerns are still addressed by this bill as offenses like DUI are still a reason for license suspension and would still result in a crime if the person drives without a license.

This bill will help keep drivers licensed, insured, and out of the criminal system. When a person's license gets suspended, they still have to drive to work, pick up kids, go to the doctor. These are trips out of necessity and result in the person being charged with DWLS3. DWLS3 was not an offense until 1993. It has dramatically increased costs to the system, which conservatively costs over \$1.3 billion. Public defender caseloads are stretched to the breaking point. We need to focus scarce resources in helping people avoid the revolving door of the criminal justice system.

This law locks people up because they do not have the means to pay for a ticket. DWLS3 is part of the larger criminal justice system that suffers from racial disproportionality. Criminality of this particular offense pushes families into poverty.

This is a pro-public safety bill and should increase the probability of people driving with a license and insurance. People have an incentive to pay any debt—whether that be a credit card bill or any other bill. Driver's license suspension should not be used as a tool for debt collection. A person is not a more dangerous driver because they have failed to pay a traffic infraction.

CON: When license suspension was invalidated as a result of *Redmond v. Moore*, collection revenue decreased by \$31 million, until the Legislature fixed the issue in HB 1854. This will have an equally significant impact on collections. What is the difference between one infraction and ten if there is no recourse for collection?

The narrative that people are on their way to work is untrue and violators do not typically get put in jail. The occupational restricted license is available, but almost no one uses it because the driver must show proof of insurance.

Drivers who get traffic infractions are more dangerous. A study in 2015 found that speeding drivers were involved in a crash every 15 minutes. A person with a suspended license is three times more likely to kill someone driving.

Other suggestions for improvement:

- Do not call the new infraction DWLS4. This is confusing for the public.
- Should escalate to DWLS 3 after two priors in ten years rather than three priors in four years.
- Infraction amount should be equal to the lowest other traffic infraction.

Persons Testifying (Law & Justice): PRO: Senator Jesse Salomon, Prime Sponsor; Mark Cooke, American Civil Liberties Union of Washington; Virla Spencer, Center for Justice; Paul Benz, Faith Action Network; John Schochet, City of Seattle; Alex Hur, Washington Defender Association.

CON: James McMahan, Washington Association Sheriffs and Police Chiefs; Kelsi Hamilton, Washington Collectors Association; Chester Baldwin, Washington Collectors Association; Tammy Sund, Aberdeen Court Administrator; Mark McClain, Pacific County Prosecutor.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (Law & Justice): No one.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Original Bill (Transportation): *The committee recommended a different version of the bill than what was heard.* PRO: This does not affect suspensions for DUI. There is nothing in my mind to link up dangerous driving with some unpaid tickets. We tried cracking down in Seattle by impounding people's cars and I do not think that solved the problem. The proposed substitute that has been shared, stops the bleeding so to speak, and provides a bona fide attempt at figuring out how to accomplish the reinstatement of persons whose licenses are already suspended.

We have been working to help figure out how to fund. We appreciate the work group approach to figuring out how to accomplish the reinstatement of the driver's license. This bill has been a priority for our office of a number of years. We are trying to find a fair system for dealing with driver's license suspensions under state law.

CON: *Redmond v. Moore* led to a revenue drop of 37 percent after DOL was unable to suspend driver's licenses for a short period of time. People call in every day just looking to pay the ticket that led to their suspension. If we remove the requirement that they get a license suspension for not paying, people will not pay. This is a public safety issue too, because why change your driving behavior if nothing happens to you if you do not pay. The attorney general bill in the House will help to address the issue with people who have a number of unpaid tickets. This is a bad idea. These people do not have insurance because the insurance companies are not going to stop determining your rate based on the number of tickets you get. If people do not have the money to pay for their tickets, they do not have the money to pay insurance premiums either. A study done in California found that people who drive with a suspended license are more than three times more likely to be cause a fatal crash.

From a public safety perspective, we issue tickets to people as an incentive to not drive that way anymore. We do not issue tickets because it is a revenue source. We want to ensure that

there is an incentive to obey the rules of the road and to make sure that they are safe, but others that share the road with them are safe too.

Persons Testifying (Transportation): PRO: Senator Jesse Salomon, Prime Sponsor; John Schochet, City Attorney's Office—City of Seattle; Eric Gonzalez, ACLU.

CON: Kelsi Hamilton, Washington Collectors Association; Chester Baldwin, Washington Collectors Association; James McMahan, Washington Association Sheriffs and Police Chiefs.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (Transportation): No one.