

SENATE BILL REPORT

SB 5815

As of March 15, 2017

Title: An act relating to the hospital safety net assessment.

Brief Description: Concerning the hospital safety net assessment.

Sponsors: Senators Rivers, Cleveland, Becker and Ranker.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Ways & Means: 3/14/17.

Brief Summary of Bill

- Extends the Hospital Safety Net Assessment program through July 1, 2021.
- Increases payments to hospitals to approximately \$1 billion per fiscal biennia in state and federal funds.
- Extends funds per biennium to be used in lieu of State General Fund payments for Medicaid hospital services through the 2019-2021 biennium.
- Continues funding for integrated evidence-based psychiatry and family residency programs through fiscal year 2019.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS & MEANS

Staff: Sandy Stith (786-7710)

Background: Health care provider-related charges, such as assessments, fees, or taxes, have been used in some states to help fund the costs of the Medicaid program. Under federal rules, these provider-related charges include any mandatory payment where at least 85 percent of the burden falls on health care providers. States collect funds from health care providers and pay them back as Medicaid payments. States use these provider-related payments to claim federal matching funds.

To conform to federal laws, health care provider-related assessments, fees, and taxes must be broad based, uniform, and in compliance with hold harmless provisions. To be broad based and uniform, respectively, they must be applied to all providers of the same class and be

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

imposed at the same rate to each provider in that class. If a provider-related assessment, fee, or tax is not broad based or uniform, these provisions may be waived if the assessment, fee, or tax is generally redistributive. The hold harmless provision may not be waived. Additionally, Medicaid payments for these services cannot exceed Medicare reimbursement levels.

The Legislature created a Hospital Safety Net Assessment (HSNA) program pursuant to E2SHB 2956 - hospital safety net assessment in 2010; EHB 2069 - hospital payments/safety net in 2011; ESSB 5913 - hospital payments/quality incentive in 2013; and EHB 2151 - extending the hospital safety net assessment in 2015. An assessment on non-Medicare inpatient days is imposed on most hospitals, and proceeds from the assessments are deposited into the HSNA Fund (Fund).

Money in the Fund may be used for various increases in hospital payments. In 2010, inpatient and outpatient payment rates were restored to levels in place on June 30, 2009. Beyond that restoration, most hospitals received additional payment rate increases for inpatient and outpatient services. In 2013, the way in which the increases were addressed was changed from a specific percentage of inpatient and outpatient rate increases to an overall level of increase. The overall level of increase was split between fee for service and managed care payments.

The sum of \$199.8 million in the 2013-15 biennium may be expended from the Fund in lieu of State General Fund payments to hospitals. An additional sum of \$1 million per biennium may be disbursed from the Fund for payment of administrative expenses incurred by the Health Care Authority (HCA) related to the assessment program.

The HSNA program was to originally expire on July 1, 2013. Under the 2013 legislation, the program was to expire on July 1, 2017. Upon expiration of the program, hospital rates would either return to the levels in place on June 30, 2009, or to a rate structure specified in the 2013-15 operating budget.

Additionally, under the 2013 legislation, the HSNA program would phase down in equal increments over four years beginning in 2016. The phase down applied to both payments to hospitals and the amounts used in lieu of state General Fund payments to hospitals and would phase to zero by the end of fiscal year 2019.

As a condition of these changes under the 2013 legislation, HCA was required to offer to contract with a hospital required to pay the assessment for two-year periods each fiscal biennium. HCA was required to agree to maintain the levels of the assessment, reimbursement rates, and increased payments during that period. In exchange, the hospitals were required to agree not to challenge, administratively or in court, the adequacy of the reduced reimbursement rates in place after the rate restorations. Increases from the current HSNA program are removed.

The 2015 legislation eliminated the phase down of the program and extended the HSNA program until July 1, 2019. Upon expiration, rates will return to the level they were on July 1, 2015. This legislation also increased the amount that may be expended from the Fund in

lieu of state General Fund payments to hospitals from \$199.8 million per biennium to \$242 million beginning in 2015-2017.

Additionally, funding was provided for increased payments for hospital services and grants to Certified Public Expenditure and Critical Access Hospitals. New funding was provided for family and integrated, evidence-based psychiatry residencies through the University of Washington.

Provisions for contracting between hospitals and HCA were changed to allow extension of existing contracts and to disallow for reductions in aggregate payments based on variations based on budget-neutral rebasing of payment rates.

Summary of Bill: The HSNA program is extended.

The act specifies that the intent of the Legislature is to:

- increase payments to hospitals to approximately \$1 billion per fiscal biennia in state and federal funds to pay for Medicaid hospital services and grants to Certified Public Expenditure (CPE) and Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs);
- extend funds per biennium to be used in lieu of State General Fund payments for Medicaid hospital services through the 2019-2021 biennium; and
- continue funding for integrated evidence-based psychiatry and family residency programs through fiscal year 2019.

Hospitals are assessed based on their non-Medicare inpatient bed days. Assessments are billed on a quarterly basis. The amount of annual assessments per non-Medicare bed day paid by hospitals are revised to the following amounts:

- Prospective Payment System (PPS) hospitals must be no more than \$380—up to a maximum of 54,000 bed days per year.

All other assessment amounts remain unchanged.

Hospitals receive payments through the HSNA program under both fee-for-service and managed care. Fee-for-service payments are made quarterly, before the end of each quarter. Managed care payments are made through the managed care plans. Payments to hospitals are specifically changed to the following annual levels.

Fee-for-service changes are as follows:

- University of Washington Medical Center:
 - a. \$4,100,000 for family residency in 2018 and 2019 only; and
 - b. \$2,000,000 for integrated, evidence-based psychiatry residency in 2018 and 2019 only;
- all other CPE hospitals—\$6,345,000 in 2018 and 2019 only;
- CAHs that do not receive Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) payments—\$2,038,000; and
- CAHs that do receive DSH payments—\$0.

Managed care change is as follows:

- at least \$360 million, including federal matching funds.

Some payment amounts remain unchanged.

Provisions for contracting between hospitals and HCA are changed to require HCA to offer to contract with hospitals not previously party to a contract, but subject to the assessment or whose contract had expired.

HCA is directed to equalize the net financial benefit between the state and hospitals if the net financial benefit to the hospitals is anticipated to fall below \$130 million in any given fiscal year.

The expiration of the chapter is extended from July 1, 2019, to July 1, 2021. Upon expiration, rates return to a rate structure as though the July 1, 2009 inpatient and outpatient 4 percent rate reductions did not occur.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Creates Committee/Commission/Task Force that includes Legislative members: No.

Effective Date: The bill contains an emergency clause and takes effect immediately.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony: PRO: The Hospital Safety Net Assessment started in 2010. It is an assessment on hospitals that's used to bring in more federal funds. This is split between hospitals based on their Medicaid volume and the state General Fund. These payments are vital. Hospitals have not had a rate increase in over a decade. Hospital costs have increased significantly over that time period. Rates have been rebased during that time, but this rebasing did not cover cost increases. Inpatient rates cover about 90 percent of costs and outpatient rates cover about 45 percent of costs. These percentages have probably decreased. The increases under this program have helped compensate for losses for seeing Medicaid patients. Competition among hospitals means they cannot count on additional payments from commercial payers to offset these losses. Without these increases through this program, these hospitals will be at an extreme disadvantage in negotiating with commercial payers. This is why we are in support of this bill. This bill raises the assessment to the maximum level under federal rules, which we understand is a risk. We are counting on the state not to divert funds to the state General Fund. We support this being continued for the next four years. There are some changes needed; we will work with staff on those changes. The changes that have occurred in this bill are a change from \$340 to \$375 in the per inpatient bed day rate. Funding is available for residencies for the next two years only. We feel this is worthwhile, but would like a sunset and review to see where these are residencies are sited. We need this program to be functional even if changes are made to Medicaid by the federal government under repeal and replace legislation. This program assumes enhanced federal match. With repeal and replace, there is an overall benefit drop and drop in federal matching percentage. We want to make sure the overall benefit of the program is shared proportionately between the state and hospitals. We have been at this long enough that this feels routine. In the beginning, we had to convince hospital CEOs to go at risk. It is part of the process that some hospitals have to lose, in other words, pay more than

they get back. However, under this program, over four years, this program results in \$584 million to the General Fund. That helps the outlook. Now, given the federal uncertainty, it is a challenge, especially if the federal match declines significantly, to convince CEOs to take this risk. We understand there are concerns about delegating to Health Care Authority some of the fiscal responsibilities. We would be comfortable with delegating to Office of Financial Management. We will work with staff on amendments.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Claudia Sanders, Washington State Hospital Association; Len McComb, Washington State Hospital Association.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying: No one.