

SENATE BILL REPORT

SB 5255

As of March 1, 2017

Title: An act relating to seizure and forfeiture reporting.

Brief Description: Concerning seizure and forfeiture reporting.

Sponsors: Senators Padden and Hasegawa.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Law & Justice: 1/25/17 [DP-WM].

Ways & Means: 2/08/17.

Brief Summary of Bill

- Requires seizing agencies to keep detailed records about property seized and forfeited under state law and any agreement with any federal agency for each forfeiture.
- Establishes requirements for agencies to submit quarterly reports to the State Treasurer and to publish records on a public website.
- Requires the seizing agency to keep detailed records of expenditures from the net proceeds of forfeitures.
- Requires an annual report of expenditures for the State Treasurer and publishes records on a public website.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LAW & JUSTICE

Majority Report: Do pass and be referred to Committee on Ways & Means.

Signed by Senators Padden, Chair; O'Ban, Vice Chair; Pedersen, Ranking Minority Member; Angel, Darneille, Frockt and Wilson.

Staff: Aldo Melchiori (786-7439)

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS & MEANS

Staff: Daniel Masterson (786-7454)

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Background: The civil forfeiture provisions in drug cases provide that no property right exists in the controlled substances themselves, in the equipment or materials used to manufacture the substances, or in the money and property intended to be used as payment or proceeds traceable to the sale or exchange of controlled substances. Conveyances used or intended for use to facilitate the sale, delivery, or receipt of controlled substances are subject to forfeiture, unless used without the knowledge or consent of the true owner.

Real property that is being used with the knowledge of the owner for manufacturing, compounding, processing, delivery, importing, or exporting of any controlled substance, or which has been acquired in whole or in part with proceeds, is subject to forfeiture. If the forfeited real property is encumbered by a security interest, it is subject to the interest of the secured party if the secured party had neither the knowledge nor the consent of the prohibited act. The community property interest in real property may not be forfeited if the person did not participate in the violation.

Real or personal property subject to forfeiture may be seized by any State Board of Pharmacy inspector or law enforcement officer upon process. Real property may not be transferred or conveyed by the law enforcement agency until 90 days after the seizure, or until a judgment of forfeiture is entered. If no person notifies the seizing law enforcement agency in writing of the person's claim of ownership or right to possession within 45 days of the seizure in the case of personal property and 90 days in the case of real property, the property is deemed forfeited. If any person notifies the seizing law enforcement agency in writing of the person's claim of ownership or right to possession within the time period, the person or persons is afforded an opportunity to be heard as to the claim or right.

If property is forfeited, the board or seizing law enforcement agency may: (1) retain it for official use; (2) sell that which is not required to be destroyed by law and not harmful to the public; (3) request the appropriate sheriff or director of public safety to take custody of the property and remove it for disposition; or (4) forward it to the federal Drug Enforcement Administration for disposition. A report including a copy of the records of forfeited property is filed with the State Treasurer each calendar quarter.

By January 31st of each year, each seizing agency remits to the State Treasurer an amount equal to 10 percent of the net proceeds of any property forfeited during the preceding calendar year. Money remitted is deposited in the state general fund. Forfeited property and net proceeds not required to be paid to the State Treasurer are retained by the seizing law enforcement agency exclusively for the expansion and improvement of controlled substances related law enforcement activity.

Summary of Bill: Agencies who seize and forfeiture property in drug cases must keep records about the property seized and forfeited. The records must include the following:

- the name of the seizing agency;
- date of seizure;
- type of property seized;
- description of the property including the make, model, year, and serial number;
- the street address or description of the location where the seizure occurred;
- if the seizure was adopted by the federal government, part of a joint taskforce, or through other arrangements with the federal government;

- the crime for which the suspect was charged with;
- if the suspect was convicted of a crime;
- if the forfeiture was contested by a suspect, innocent owner claimant, joint-owner, or another property owner;
- disposition of the property through the forfeiture process, such as being returned to the suspect, a joint owner or third-party owner, sold, destroyed or retained by law enforcement;
- date of disposition of the property;
- basis for disposition of property in (x), such as suspect found not guilty, plea agreement, criminal forfeiture or civil forfeiture;
- value of the property forfeited; and
- the net proceeds retained by the law enforcement agency that seized the property.

Each calendar quarter when property is forfeited, the seizing agency must file a report, with all records, to the State Treasurer. The State Treasurer must establish and maintain a searchable public website that includes all of the records. The quarterly report does not need to include a record of forfeited property that is still being held for use as evidence during an investigation or prosecution of a case or during an appeal from a conviction.

The seizing agency must also keep a record of expenditures from the net proceeds of seized and forfeited property in each of the following categories:

- abuse, crime and gang prevention programs;
- witness protection, informant fees, and controlled buys;
- salaries, overtime and benefits;
- professional outside services including auditing, court reporting, expert witness, outside attorney fees, and membership fees paid to trade associations;
- travel, meals, entertainment, conferences, training and continuing education seminars;
- other operating expenses including office supplies, postage and printing;
- capital expenditures including vehicles, firearms, equipment, computers and furniture;
- other expenditures of forfeiture proceeds; and
- the total value of forfeited property held by the agency at the end of the reporting period.

By March 1st each year, the seizing agency must file a report that includes all of these records to the State Treasurer.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Creates Committee/Commission/Task Force that includes Legislative members: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Draft Bill (Law & Justice): PRO: It is in everyone's best interest to have this information available. The system is set up to take people's property away. We should not wait for evidence of abuses to make the needed

reform. Presently, the only way to get this information is through a public records request. This will save time and money for people who want this information.

CON: Transparency in civil forfeiture actions can be increased without this bill.

OTHER: It is difficult to directly tie proceeds with expenditures in a specific case because the money from all the drug forfeitures is deposited in one account.

Persons Testifying (Law & Justice): PRO: Tom McBride, Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys; Wesley Hottot, Attorney, Institute for Justice.

CON: Candice Bock, Association of Washington Cities.

OTHER: James McMahan, Policy Director, Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (Law & Justice): No one.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Original Bill (Ways & Means): *The committee recommended a different version of the bill than what was heard.* None.

Persons Testifying (Ways & Means): No one.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (Ways & Means): No one.