

SENATE BILL REPORT

SB 5624

As Reported by Senate Committee On:
Ways & Means, February 12, 2015

Title: An act relating to financing essential public infrastructure.

Brief Description: Concerning financing essential public infrastructure.

Sponsors: Senators Keiser, Honeyford and Conway.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Ways & Means: 2/02/15, 2/12/15 [DP, DNP, w/oRec].

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS & MEANS

Majority Report: Do pass.

Signed by Senators Hill, Chair; Braun, Vice Chair; Dammeier, Vice Chair; Honeyford, Vice Chair, Capital Budget Chair; Hargrove, Ranking Member; Keiser, Assistant Ranking Member on the Capital Budget; Bailey, Billig, Brown, Conway, Fraser, Hatfield, Hewitt, Kohl-Welles, O'Ban, Parlette, Rolfes, Schoesler and Warnick.

Minority Report: Do not pass.

Signed by Senator Padden.

Minority Report: That it be referred without recommendation.

Signed by Senator Hasegawa.

Staff: Brian Sims (786-7431)

Background: Debt Limit. The state Constitution limits state debt through a formula that prohibits the State Treasurer from issuing any general obligation (G.O.) bonds if the resulting debt service payments for those bonds would cause total debt service payments for G.O. bonds to exceed a certain percentage of average general state revenue in the prior six years. That certain percentage is currently 8.5 percent and is scheduled to phase down to 8.0 percent on July 1, 2034.

G.O. bonds are issued with a promise to bond holders that the full faith and credit of the state will be used to pay what is owed to the bond holders. There are a number of exemptions to the debt limit on bonds backed by the full faith and credit of the state, including state G.O.

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

bonds for transportation projects that are first reimbursed by the gas tax, and bonds issued by local school districts for school construction projects.

State Support for Local Government Infrastructure. The state uses state tax revenue to support local government infrastructure. The three largest programs historically have been as follows:

- the Public Works Board subsidized loan program, capitalized with state taxes;
- the Clean Water State Revolving Fund, capitalized with 75 percent federal money and 25 percent state funds; and
- the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, capitalized with 75 percent federal money and 25 percent state funds.

The two federally capitalized programs must operate within federal law and regulations. The programs provide low-interest loans and some grants for projects that improve water quality and provide safe drinking water.

The Public Works Board program provides low-interest loans for sewer, drinking water, stormwater, road and bridge improvements, and solid waste facility projects. About three-quarters of the loans have been for water and sewer projects.

The interest subsidy provided through these programs produces a greater benefit for smaller jurisdictions with poor access to the competitive, investment-rated, municipal bond market.

In the past three biennia – including 2013-15, \$771 million has been diverted from the Public Works Assistance Account (PWAA) to support the operating budget after backfilling the account with bonds. In the three biennia prior to the recession, appropriations for the three major infrastructure assistance programs listed above totaled \$1.6 billion, 46 percent from the federally capitalized programs. In the three biennia since the start of the recession the appropriations totaled \$1.7 billion, 69 percent from the federally capitalized programs. After accounting for construction inflation, appropriations in the post-recession period are 86 percent of the pre-recession period.

Summary of Bill: Local government may seek two kinds of state help in financing their essential public infrastructure projects:

- a certificate that provides a state full faith and credit guarantee to pay bond holders for debt issued for the project; and
- along with such a certificate, the State Treasurer may aggregate and issue bonds for the benefits of such projects.

Local government applicants must first apply for this assistance to the Public Works Board. The board must adopt specific criteria to determine which jurisdictions are eligible and what projects are necessary. The board must work with the State Treasurer's Office to determine timing and amounts of total financial assistance that can be provided annually. The board must prioritize and approve essential public works projects within the estimated annual amount of assistance in order to assist jurisdictions that can most benefit from the assistance for projects that achieve the greatest community benefit.

The State Treasurer's Office, under policies adopted by the State Finance Committee, must review projects approved by the Public Works Board to determine eligibility and credit worthiness of the jurisdiction and the project.

The State Treasurer is granted authority to enforce collection of the debt from the local government through several avenues.

Money in the PWAA may be used for the administration of the program and to defer fees the State Treasurer is authorized to charge jurisdictions in the case of financial hardship.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

Effective Date: The bill takes effect only after voters ratify the proposed constitutional amendment in SJR 8204

Staff Summary of Public Testimony: PRO: This will provide an additional financing tool for local government infrastructure with possible interest rate reductions of 0.75 percent. This may produce a savings of \$7.5 to \$10 million in annual debt service payments after issuance of \$1 billion in debt through this program. This is a marginal improvement in programs to help local government, but is not a panacea. The bill would be improved if it was expanded to include industrial development projects.

OTHER: This program should not be seen as a replacement to the traditional Public Works Board program. It should be an added financing tool. Counties do not have a major access problem to the credit markets, they have revenue restrictions to service debt.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Alison Hellberg, Assn. of WA Cities; Eric Johnson, WA Ports Assn.; Stan Finkelstein, Chair, Public Works Board.

OTHER: Scott Merriman, Office of the State Treasurer; Blair Burroughs, WA Assn. of Sewer and Water Districts; Jim Blumenthal, Martin Nelson and Company; Bill Clarke, WA PUD Assn.; Josh Weiss, WA State Assn. of Counties.