SENATE BILL REPORT SB 5084

As Reported by Senate Committee On: Health Care, February 19, 2015

Title: An act relating to clarifying the all payer claims database to improve health care quality and cost transparency by changing certain definitions regarding data, reporting and pricing of products, responsibility of the office and lead organization, and parameters for release of information.

Brief Description: Clarifying the all payer claims database to improve health care quality and cost transparency by changing certain definitions regarding data, reporting and pricing of products, responsibility of the office and lead organization, and parameters for release of information. [**Revised for 1st Substitute:** Modifying the all payer claims database to improve health care quality and cost transparency by changing provisions related to definitions regarding data, reporting and pricing of products, responsibilities of the office of financial management and the lead organization, submission to the database, and parameters for release of information.]

Sponsors: Senators Becker, Frockt, Conway, Keiser and Mullet; by request of Governor Inslee.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Health Care: 2/10/15, 2/19/15 [DPS, DNP, w/oRec].

SENATE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH CARE

Majority Report: That Substitute Senate Bill No. 5084 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass.

Signed by Senators Becker, Chair; Frockt, Ranking Minority Member; Angel, Bailey, Cleveland, Conway, Jayapal and Keiser.

Minority Report: Do not pass.

Signed by Senators Dammeier, Vice Chair; Brown.

Minority Report: That it be referred without recommendation.

Signed by Senator Parlette.

Staff: Mich'l Needham (786-7442)

Background: The 2014 Legislature passed E2SHB 2572 which directed the Office of Financial Management (OFM) to establish a statewide all-payer health care claims database

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Senate Bill Report - 1 - SB 5084

to support transparent public reporting of health care information. OFM must select a lead organization to coordinate and manage the database. The lead organization is responsible for collecting claims data, designing data collection mechanisms, ensuring protection of the data, providing reports from the database, developing protocols and policies, developing a plan for financial sustainability and charge fees not to exceed \$5,000 for reports and data files, and convening advisory committees. OFM initiated rulemaking but delayed selection of a lead organization.

Claims data includes the claims data related to health care coverage for Medicaid and the Public Employees Benefits Board program, and other voluntarily provided data that may be provided by insurance carriers and self-funded employers. The claims data provided to the database, the database itself, and any raw data received from the database are not public records and are exempt from public disclosure.

Extensive stakeholder discussions were held over the 2014 interim to identify modifications for submission of claims data, protection of proprietary financial data, and additional parameters for the release of data and reports.

Summary of Bill: The bill as referred to committee not considered.

Summary of Bill (Recommended Substitute): OFM must initiate a competitive procurement process to select a lead organization for the initial contract term. All documents must be posted on the OFM website. The proposal must include criteria to be applied in the scoring evaluation. Extra points must be awarded to a lead organization that has experience in setting up an all-payer claims database in at least four states. The organization must demonstrate internal control and affiliations with separate organizations to ensure safe data collection, security of the data with state-of-the-art encryption methods, actuarial support, and data review for quality and accuracy. Data must be housed on secure servers that are not accessible through the Internet and access to the data strictly controlled. The successful entity must endeavor to have the Washington all-payer claims database certified as a qualified entity by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services by December 31, 2016.

The following data suppliers must provide claims data: the Medicaid program, the Public Employees Benefits Board program, all health insurance carriers operating in this state, all third-party administrators paying claims on behalf of health plans in this state, and the state Labor and Industries program. The Director of OFM may expand this requirement to include other types of insurance policies, such as Long-Term Care policies and Medicare supplemental coverage. Employer-sponsored self-funded health plans and Taft-Hartley trusts may voluntarily provide claims data.

Proprietary financial information is defined to mean claims data or reports that disclose or would allow the determination of specific terms of contracts, discounts, or fixed reimbursement arrangements or other specific reimbursement arrangements between an individual health care facility or provider, and a specific payer, or an internal fee schedule or other internal pricing mechanism of integrated delivery systems owned by a carrier.

Responsibilities of the lead organization are modified to ensure the protection of proprietary financial information, allow the development of protocols for pre-release peer review by data

suppliers, and to ensure the patient-specific information is de-identified with an up-to-date industry standard encryption algorithm.

The \$5,000 cap on the fees the lead organization may charge is removed. Any fees must be approved by OFM and should be comparable, accounting for relevant differences across data requests and uses. Fees must not be applied to providers or data suppliers other than the fees directly related to requested reports.

The advisory committees the lead organization must convene are modified to include in-state representation from key provider organizations, hospitals, public health, health maintenance organizations, large and small private purchasers, consumer organizations, and the two largest carriers supplying claims data.

Requests for claims data must include the following: identity of any entities that will analyze the data; stated purpose of the request; description of the proposed methodology; specific variable requested; how the requester will ensure all data is handled to ensure privacy and confidentiality protection; method for storing, destroying, or returning the data to the lead organization; and protections that will ensure the data is not used for any purposes not authorized by the approved application. Any entity that receives claims or other data must maintain confidentiality and may only release data if it does not contain proprietary financial information or direct or indirect patient identifiers; and the release is described and approved as part of the request.

The lead organization and OFM must create a process to govern access to the data, with different scrutiny applied to data that includes proprietary financial information or indirect patient identifiers, and claims data that do not contain proprietary financial information or any patient identifiers. Patient information must be de-identified with encryption and direct patient identifying information must not be available.

Reports may not contain proprietary financial information, or direct or indirect patient identifiers. Reports issued by the lead organization may utilize proprietary financial information to calculate aggregate cost data. OFM must develop in rule a format for the calculation and display of aggregate cost data. OFM must solicit feedback from stakeholders and must consider data presented as proportions, ranges, averages, and medians, as well as the differences in types of data.

By October 31 of each year, the lead organization must submit a list to OFM of reports they anticipate producing during the following calendar year. OFM may establish a public comment period not to exceed 30 days and must submit the list and any comments to the appropriate committees of the Legislature for review. The lead organization may not publish any reports that disclose a carrier's proprietary financial information, or compare performance that includes any provider with fewer than four providers rather than five. The lead organization may not release a report that compares providers, hospitals, or data suppliers unless it allows verification of the data and comment on the reasonableness of conclusions reached. The requirement to limit reports where one data supplier comprises more than 25 percent of the claims data is removed. The lead organization must distinguish in advance when it is operating as the lead organization and when it is operating in its

Senate Bill Report - 3 - SB 5084

capacity as a private entity and therefore subject to the rules applied to all other entities seeking access to data.

By December 1, 2016 and 2017, OFM must report to the Legislature on the development of the database including, but not limited to, budget and cost detail, technical progress, and work plan metrics. Two years after the first report is issued, OFM must report to the Legislature every two years regarding the cost, performance, and effectiveness of the database and the performance of the lead organization. Using independent economic expertise, subject to appropriation, the report must evaluate whether the database has advanced the goals established for the database, as well as the performance of the lead organization. The report must make recommendations on how the database can be improved, whether the contract for the lead organization should be modified, renewed, or terminated, and the impact the database has had on competition between and among providers, purchasers, and payers.

The act contains a severability clause in the event any portion of the act is determined to be invalid.

EFFECT OF CHANGES MADE BY HEALTH CARE COMMITTEE (Recommended Substitute):

- Changes data suppliers to include third-party administrators of health plans and Labor and Industries;
- Adds general introductory language;
- Changes the RFP: changes date to after session; removes the list of Alliance participants as a required relationship for any entity; adds scoring criteria for selection including extra points for experience running databases in four states; requires organizations to demonstrate there are separate organizations for safe data collection, security with state-of-the-art encryption, actuarial support, and quality assurance; requires data is housed in secure servers not accessible to the Internet with strict controls for access; and removes the requirement to issue a request for information prior to the RFP;
- Requires all patient data to be de-identified with an up-to-date industry standard encryption algorithm;
- Modifies data agreements in reference to all patient data being encrypted;
- Modifies the definition of direct patient identifier to include a data variable that identifies or can readily be associated with the identity of an individual;
- Modifies indirect patient identifier to mean a variable that allows association of data with a specific patient, or which, by itself or in combination with other identifiers, identifies a small set of potential patients;
- No fees should be charged to providers or data suppliers other than those for requested reports;
- Requires OFM to report twice yearly to the Legislature on any additional or extended grants; and
- Adds a severability clause.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Proposed Substitute as Heard in Committee: PRO: Last year's bill was limited but it gave us time to review issues more closely including areas that protect patient information. Eleven states have a mandatory all-payer claims database. Last year's bill established the framework of the all-payer claims database. Capturing information on price is critical, as is protecting the patient information. This bill provides enhanced protection while building transparency with health care cost data. The Alliance has had a voluntary database for quality information for ten years with no breaches of data. The state has earned an "A" grade for quality transparency reporting. The addition of pricing information can help the state move from an "F" grade on price transparency reports cards to an improved rating. The start-up and development work is funded with federal grants. The medical association introduced a database in 2011 and we are thrilled to support this version. Our concerns on privacy have been addressed in this version. The consumers are a big part of the equation. This can provide an effective tool to help consumers compare quality and cost information and make informed choices that will lower their costs. The all-payer claims database is an investment for all the people in the state.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Senator Becker, prime sponsor; Bob Crittenden, Governor's Office; Nancy Giunto, WA Health Alliance; Sydney Zvara, Assn. of WA Healthcare Plans; Katie Kolan, WA State Medical Assn.; Yanling Yu, WA Advocates for Patient Safety.

Senate Bill Report - 5 - SB 5084