

SENATE BILL REPORT

SHB 2160

As of March 20, 2015

Title: An act relating to the distribution of intimate images.

Brief Description: Concerning the distribution of intimate images.

Sponsors: House Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Wylie, Orwall, Klippert and Buys).

Brief History: Passed House: 3/02/15, 97-0.

Committee Activity: Law & Justice: 3/16/15.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LAW & JUSTICE

Staff: Aldo Melchiori (786-7439)

Background: Washington recognizes a cause of action for the public disclosure of private facts. Someone invades another's privacy when that person gives publicity to a matter concerning the private life of another. A person who invades another's privacy is subject to liability to the other person if the matter publicized is of a kind that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person and is not of legitimate concern to the public.

Publicity contemplates a matter made public by communication to the public at large or to so many people that it is certain to reach the public. In deciding whether the disclosure is a matter of legitimate concern to the public, the court considers the social value of the disclosure, the extent of the intrusion into the plaintiff's privacy, and whether the plaintiff consented to the disclosure explicitly or by voluntarily seeking public attention.

The tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress, also known as the tort of outrage, exists when a defendant engages in extreme and outrageous conduct to intentionally or recklessly inflict emotional distress on a plaintiff and the plaintiff actually suffers severe emotional distress as a result.

Summary of Bill: A person is liable for distributing an intimate image of another if that person intentionally and without consent distributes, transmits, or makes available an intimate image that:

- was entrusted to that person by another person, and the distribution of the intimate image intentionally or recklessly causes emotional distress to the other person; or

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

- was knowingly obtained by the person without authorization or by exceeding authorized access from the other person's property, accounts, messages, files, or resources.

Anyone who distributes an intimate image of another is liable for up to \$10,000 or actual damages, whichever is greater, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs. The court also may award injunctive relief as it deems necessary. However, an interactive computer service, as defined by federal law, cannot be held liable for content provided by another person.

Entrusted means that the image was obtained under circumstances where both parties should reasonably understand that the image was to remain private. To determine whether an intimate image was entrusted, the court looks at the nature of the relationship between the parties, the circumstances under which the intimate image was taken and distributed, as well as any other relevant factors.

An intimate image is any image or recording that is taken in a private setting, is not a matter of public concern, and depicts:

- a person's intimate apparel;
- a person's intimate body parts, whether nude or visible through less-than opaque clothing; or
- touching of any person's intimate body parts done for the purpose of gratifying sexual desire.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony: PRO: Intimate images are being used to extort money from victims and are also being used commercially. The addresses of the victims are also sometimes posted. Pictures posted online live forever. Pictures taken during the course of an intimate relationship can be posted online or sent to friends and family and this can cause damages for years.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Representative Wylie, prime sponsor; David Ward, Legal Voice.

Persons Signed in to Testify But Not Testifying: No one.