

# HOUSE BILL REPORT

## 5ESSB 5857

---

**As Reported by House Committee On:**  
Health Care & Wellness

**Title:** An act relating to registration and regulation of pharmacy benefit managers.

**Brief Description:** Addressing registration and regulation of pharmacy benefit managers.

**Sponsors:** Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senators Parlette, Conway, Becker and Pearson).

**Brief History:**

**Committee Activity:**

Health Care & Wellness: 3/24/15, 3/26/15 [DPA], 2/23/16, 2/26/16 [DPA].

**Brief Summary of Fifth Engrossed Substitute Bill  
(As Amended by Committee)**

- Transfers regulatory authority over pharmacy benefit managers from the Department of Revenue to the Office of the Insurance Commissioner.
- Changes requirements relating to maximum allowable cost lists maintained by pharmacy benefit managers.
- Changes the appeals process between pharmacies and pharmacy benefit managers and allows pharmacies to appeal adverse decisions in appeals to the Office of the Insurance Commissioner.
- Requires the Office of the Insurance Commissioner to make recommendations regarding the use of independent review organizations of disputes between pharmacies and pharmacy benefit managers.

---

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH CARE & WELLNESS

**Majority Report:** Do pass as amended. Signed by 15 members: Representatives Cody, Chair; Riccelli, Vice Chair; Schmick, Ranking Minority Member; Harris, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Caldier, Clibborn, DeBolt, Jinkins, Johnson, Moeller, Robinson, Rodne, Short, Tharinger and Van De Wege.

**Staff:** Jim Morishima (786-7191).

---

*This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.*

## **Background:**

A pharmacy benefit manager (PBM) acts as an intermediary between the entities with which it contracts and pharmaceutical manufacturers to administer the drug benefit portion of a health plan. A PBM processes and pays prescription drug claims, develops and maintains the formulary, contracts with pharmacies, and negotiates discounts and rebates with manufacturers.

### I. Regulation of Pharmacy Benefit Managers.

A PBM doing business in Washington must register with the Department of Revenue's Business Licensing Program. To register, a PBM must submit an application and a registration fee of \$200.

### II. Maximum Allowable Cost List.

Maximum allowable cost (MAC) is the maximum amount that a PBM will reimburse a pharmacy for the cost of a drug. Most PBMs develop lists of drugs that have MACs. A PBM may not place a drug on its MAC list unless there are at least two therapeutically equivalent drugs available from at least two manufacturers or at least one generic drug from one manufacturer. The PBM must ensure that all the drugs on the MAC list are generally available for purchase by pharmacies in Washington from national wholesalers.

### III. Appeals.

Each PBM must establish a process through which a network pharmacy may appeal reimbursements for drugs on the MAC list. A pharmacy may appeal a MAC if the reimbursement for the drug is less than the net amount that the pharmacy paid to the supplier of the drug. If the appeal is upheld, the PBM must make an adjustment for the pharmacy and all similarly situated network pharmacies in Washington. If the appeal is denied, the PBM must provide the reason for denial and the national drug code of a drug that may be purchased by similarly situated pharmacies at a price that is less than or equal to the MAC. An appeal must be completed within 30 days of the pharmacy making the claim. A final response to an appeal of a MAC must be provided within seven days.

### IV. Independent Review Organizations.

An Independent Review Organization (IRO) is an entity that handles disputes between an enrollee and a health carrier. An enrollee may seek review by an IRO if: (1) a health carrier denies, modifies, reduces, or terminates coverage of, or payment for, a health care service; and (2) the enrollee has exhausted the carrier's grievance process or the carrier has exceeded timelines for grievances. The Office of the Insurance Commissioner (OIC) maintains a rotational registry system for assigning IROs and the Department of Health (DOH) certifies IROs.

---

## **Summary of Amended Bill:**

## I. Regulation of Pharmacy Benefit Managers.

To conduct business in Washington, a PBM must register with the OIC, instead of the Department of Revenue. Registration and renewal fees for PBMs must be set by the OIC in rule and must allow the OIC's PBM registration and oversight activities to be self-supporting.

The OIC has enforcement authority over PBMs and may render a binding decision in any dispute between a PBM or third-party administrator of prescription drug benefits and a pharmacy arising out of an appeal regarding drug pricing and reimbursement. A person, corporation, third-party administrator of prescription drug benefits, PBM, or business entity that violates laws relating to PBMs is subject to a civil penalty of \$1,000 per violation or \$5,000 per violation if the violation was knowing and willful.

## II. Maximum Allowable Cost List.

Any list for which predetermined reimbursement costs have been established, including a MAC list, must include the basis of the methodology and sources used to determine multi-source generic drug reimbursement amounts. All drugs on the list must be readily (instead of generally) available for purchase by network pharmacies from wholesalers that serve pharmacies in Washington.

"Multi-source generic drug" is defined to mean a drug for which there is at least one other drug product that is rated therapeutically equivalent under the United States Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) most recent publication of *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations*, is pharmaceutically equivalent or bio-equivalent as determined by the FDA, and is sold or marketed in Washington.

The requirements relating to PBM price lists apply only to a pharmacy that has fewer than 10 pharmacies, located in Washington, under its corporate umbrella.

## III. Appeals.

A PBM must uphold an appeal if the pharmacy can demonstrate that it is unable to purchase a therapeutically equivalent interchangeable product from a supplier doing business in Washington at the PBM's price. If an appeal is upheld, the PBM must make a reasonable adjustment. The requirement that the PBM make an adjustment for similarly situated pharmacies is eliminated.

If an appeal is denied, the PBM must provide the pharmacy with the national drug code of a drug that has been purchased by another network pharmacy in Washington at a price less than or equal to the predetermined reimbursement cost.

Appeals must be completed within 30 days of the submission of the appeal. If after 30 days the pharmacy has not received the decision on the appeal from the PBM, the appeal is considered denied.

If the appeal is denied or the pharmacy is unsatisfied with the outcome, the pharmacy may appeal the decision to the OIC within 30 days. All relevant information from the parties must

be presented to the OIC, and the OIC may enter an order directing the PBM to make an adjustment, deny the pharmacy appeal, or take other actions deemed fair and equitable. Upon resolution of the dispute, the OIC must provide a copy of the decision to both parties within seven calendar days.

The requirements relating to appeals apply only to a pharmacy that has fewer than 10 pharmacies, located in Washington, under its corporate umbrella.

#### IV. Independent Review Organizations.

The OIC must review the potential to use IROs as an alternative to the appeal process for pharmacy-PBM disputes. The OIC must submit recommendations to the Legislature by December 1, 2016.

#### **Amended Bill Compared to Fifth Engrossed Substitute Bill:**

The amended bill:

- removes the requirement for PBMs to use the most up-to-date pricing data to calculate reimbursements for multi-source generic drugs;
- removes the requirement that at least one product with a current national drug code be available for drugs on a list;
- removes the definition of "acquisition cost;"
- changes references to "maximum allowable cost" to "predetermined reimbursement costs for multi-source generic drugs;"
- restores the current law requirement allowing pharmacies to bring an appeal if a pharmacy's reimbursement is less than the net amount the pharmacy paid for the drug (the underlying bill allows such appeals if the reimbursement is less than the amount the pharmacy paid for the drug);
- removes the ability for a pharmacy's contracting agent to bring an appeal to a PBM;
- changes the amount of time a PBM has to complete an appeal from 10 days to 30 days;
- provides that an appeal is deemed denied if not completed within 30 days;
- requires a PBM to uphold an appeal if the pharmacy can demonstrate that it is unable to purchase a therapeutically equivalent interchangeable product from a supplier doing business in Washington at the PBM's list price;
- requires, upon a successful appeal, the PBM to make a reasonable adjustment;
- removes the requirement that the PBM provide, upon an unsuccessful appeal, the name of a Washington wholesaler at which the drug can be acquired by the challenging pharmacy;
- removes the start date of January 1, 2017, for appeals to the OIC;
- removes the requirement that the OIC appeals be conducted under the Administrative Procedures Act;
- removes the ability for the OIC to delegate the appeals to the Office of Administrative Hearings;
- applies the provisions relating to PBM price lists and appeals only to pharmacies with fewer than 10 pharmacies, located in Washington, under their corporate umbrellas;
- requires the OIC to collaborate with the DOH when reviewing the use of IROs in appeals;

- removes the requirement that the OIC review the use of IROs for other disputes between providers and insurance carriers;
  - removes the requirement that PBMs make disclosures on pricing to plan sponsors; and
  - removes the recodification of provisions relating to PBMs and pharmacy audits.
- 

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Available.

**Effective Date of Amended Bill:** The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of the session in which the bill is passed.

**Staff Summary of Public Testimony:**

(In support) The purpose of this bill is to establish an effective appeals process. Pharmacies are often reimbursed much less than their acquisition costs for prescription drugs. This leads to tremendous losses, especially for smaller pharmacies. Pharmacies incur more costs than are reflected in the invoice price—reimbursing a pharmacy the invoice price does not make them whole, but is a good start. These pharmacies are often the only pharmacies available for miles. Large pharmacies face the same challenges as small pharmacies. It is imperative to have the ability to appeal to a third party, which will not be an onerous process. This policy was put in place in 2014, but regulatory oversight of that policy is necessary. The OIC has the institutional experience to fill this role. Other agencies either contract with PBMs themselves or do not have the institutional knowledge. It is important to preserve local community access to pharmacy services. Pharmacies have the incentive to be prudent purchasers. The PBMs and insurers have the incentive to make reimbursements as low as possible. This problem needs to be solved this year.

(Opposed) The provisions and costs of this bill have not been thoroughly vetted by stakeholders. This bill will lead to results that may be difficult to unwind. Pharmacy claims are about 14 percent of processed claims—anything that adds to this cost should be carefully considered. Generic drug pricing is complicated. This issue should be carefully studied from the ground up. This bill was originally focused on rural pharmacies, but is now wide-sweeping enough to include big box stores, which are some of the most profitable companies. This bill should be limited to critical access pharmacies. Prescription drugs are a major health care cost driver for businesses and government—the one thing customers are asking for is controls on escalating drug costs. The appeals process in this bill will increase costs, which will end up being passed down to the consumers. This bill will also increase costs for the state and is a silent McCleary. There is no clinical or quality benefit from this, only higher costs. This bill expands the OIC's authority to include noninsurers and Medicaid plans, which will lead to dual regulation. This bill will lead to a slippery slope for additional asks from other types of providers. Pharmacy benefit managers make drugs safer and more affordable. The prices set by PBMs are often higher than a pharmacy's acquisition costs and are set in a manner that will lead to a net positive for the pharmacy. Drug prices are volatile and are skyrocketing. The MAC list is the benchmark for generic drugs and is set by the

federal government and adopted by the private sector. The MAC lists for big chains are lower than for independent pharmacies, which have less buying power. A pharmacy's acquisition costs are often lower than the actual cost due to discounts, rebates, etc. There is a lot of energy on this issue, but no energy on how much consumers are paying for the drugs or what pharmaceutical companies are charging for the drugs. This bill will increase costs for Taft-Hartley trusts, which are already experiencing higher drug costs.

(Other) Legislation will not necessarily resolve this issue. There is no understanding of the unintended consequences of this bill. This bill creates a confusing and costly appeals process and expands the regulatory authority of the OIC. This bill will shift costs to small businesses. The expansion of the IRO study to include other providers is beyond the scope of the title of the bill.

**Persons Testifying:** (In support) Senator Parlette, prime sponsor; Holly Chisa, Northwest Grocery Association; Carolyn Logue, Washington Food Industry Association; and Kari VanderHouwen.

(Opposed) Sydney Smith Zvara, Association of Washington Health Care Plans; Jason Parrish, Express Scripts; Mel Sorenson, America's Health Insurance Plans, Cigna, and Washington Association of Health Underwriters; Maral Farsi, CVS Health; Bill Staulhatcher, Coordinated Care; Len Sorrin, Premera Blue Cross; Chris Bandoli, Regence BlueShield; Randy Scott, Washington State Pipe Trades Association; and Tom Kweiciak, Building Industry Association of Washington.

(Other) Sheri Nelson, Association of Washington Business.

**Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying:** None.