S-0629.1			
9-116/9 1			
0 0047.1			

SENATE BILL 5406

State of Washington

63rd Legislature

2013 Regular Session

By Senator Ericksen

Read first time 01/29/13. Referred to Committee on Governmental Operations.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to local government compliance with the growth
- 2 management act; and amending RCW 36.135.030, 36.70A.130, 36.70A.295,
- 3 36.70A.330, 36.70A.340, 36.70A.345, 36.70A.500, 43.17.250, 43.155.070,
- 4 70.146.070, and 82.02.050.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.135.030 and 2009 c 45 s 3 are each amended to read 7 as follows:
- 8 (1) Counties, in consultation with cities and towns within the
- 9 county, may make loans to local governments from funds established
- 10 under RCW 36.135.020 for the purpose of assisting local governments in
- 11 funding public works projects. Counties may require terms and
- conditions and may charge rates of interest on its loans as they deem necessary or convenient to carry out the purposes of this chapter.
- 14 Counties may not pledge any amount greater than the sum of money in
- their local public works assistance fund plus money to be received from
- 16 the payment of the debt service on loans made from that fund. Money
- 17 received from local governments in repayment of loans made under this
- 18 chapter must be paid into the fund of the lending county for uses
- 19 consistent with this chapter.

p. 1 SB 5406

1 (2) Prior to receiving moneys from a fund established under RCW 36.135.020, a local government applying for financial assistance under this chapter must demonstrate to the lending county:

- (a) Utilization of all local revenue sources that are reasonably available for funding public works projects;
- (b) Compliance or a showing of working toward compliance with applicable requirements of chapter 36.70A RCW; and
- 8 (c) Consistency between the proposed project and applicable capital 9 facilities plans.
- 10 (3) Counties may not make loans under this chapter prior to completing the initial collaboration and prioritization requirements of 12 RCW 36.135.040(1).
- **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.70A.130 and 2012 c 191 s 1 are each amended to read 14 as follows:
 - (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.
 - (b) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons therefor.
- 33 (c) The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall 34 include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area 35 ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the 36 population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-year 37 population forecast by the office of financial management.

(d) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.

- (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year, except that, until December 31, 2015, the program shall provide for consideration of amendments of an urban growth area in accordance with RCW 36.70A.1301 once every year. "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section or in accordance with the provisions of subsection (6) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
 - (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan. Subarea plans adopted under this subsection (2)(a)(i) must clarify, supplement, or implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW;
 - (ii) The development of an initial subarea plan for economic development located outside of the one hundred year floodplain in a county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;
 - (iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;
 - (iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget; or
 - (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.031(2), provided that amendments are considered in accordance with the public participation program established by the county or city under this subsection (2)(a) and all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.

p. 3 SB 5406

(b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management hearings board or with the court.

- (3)(a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, according to the schedules established in subsection (5) of this section, its designated urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.
- (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- (4) Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- (a) On or before December 1, 2004, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
- (b) On or before December 1, 2005, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within those counties;
- 36 (c) On or before December 1, 2006, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, 37 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within 38 those counties; and

(d) On or before December 1, 2007, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

- (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- (a) On or before June 30, 2015, and every eight years thereafter, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities within those counties;
- (b) On or before June 30, 2016, and every eight years thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
- (c) On or before June 30, 2017, and every eight years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
- (d) On or before June 30, 2018, and every eight years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
- (6)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before the deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.
- (b) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.

p. 5 SB 5406

(c) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.

- (d) A county or city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(d) of this section and that meets the criteria established in (b) or (c) of this subsection may comply with the requirements of subsection (4)(d) of this section at any time within the thirty-six months after the extension provided in (b) or (c) of this subsection.
- (e) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
- (f) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
- (g) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, comprehensive plans, and development regulations.
- (7)(a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the

terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial quarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70.146 RCW:

- (i) Complying or a showing of working toward complying with the deadlines in this section;
- (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas; or
- 9 (iii) Complying with the extension provisions of subsection (6)(b), 10 (c), or (d) of this section.
 - (b) A county or city that is fewer than twelve months out of compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial progress towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in compliance or showing that they are working toward compliance with the schedules in this section may receive preference for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.
 - (8)(a) Except as otherwise provided in (c) of this subsection, if a participating watershed is achieving benchmarks and goals for the protection of critical areas functions and values, the county is not required to update development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in that watershed.
 - (b) A county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) may only adopt or amend development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed if:
 - (i) A work plan has been approved for that watershed in accordance with RCW 36.70A.725;
 - (ii) The local watershed group for that watershed has requested the county to adopt or amend development regulations as part of a work plan developed under RCW 36.70A.720;
 - (iii) The adoption or amendment of the development regulations is necessary to enable the county to respond to an order of the growth management hearings board or court;
- 35 (iv) The adoption or amendment of development regulations is 36 necessary to address a threat to human health or safety; or
 - (v) Three or more years have elapsed since the receipt of funding.

p. 7 SB 5406

(c) Beginning ten years from the date of receipt of funding, a county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) must review and, if necessary, revise development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed in accordance with the review and revision requirements and timeline in subsection (5) of this section. This subsection (8)(c) does not apply to a participating watershed that has determined under RCW 36.70A.720(2)(c)(ii) that the watershed's goals and benchmarks for protection have been met.

Sec. 3. RCW 36.70A.295 and 2010 c 211 s 9 are each amended to read as follows:

- (1) The superior court may directly review a petition for review filed under RCW 36.70A.290 if all parties to the proceeding before the board have agreed to direct review in the superior court. The agreement of the parties shall be in writing and signed by all of the parties to the proceeding or their designated representatives. The agreement shall include the parties' agreement to proper venue as provided in RCW 36.70A.300(5). The parties shall file their agreement with the board within ten days after the date the petition is filed, or if multiple petitions have been filed and the board has consolidated the petitions pursuant to RCW 36.70A.300, within ten days after the board serves its order of consolidation.
- (2) Within ten days of receiving the timely and complete agreement of the parties, the board shall file a certificate of agreement with the designated superior court and shall serve the parties with copies of the certificate. The superior court shall obtain exclusive jurisdiction over a petition when it receives the certificate of agreement. With the certificate of agreement the board shall also file the petition for review, any orders entered by the board, all other documents in the board's files regarding the action, and the written agreement of the parties.
- (3) For purposes of a petition that is subject to direct review, the superior court's subject matter jurisdiction shall be equivalent to that of the board. Consistent with the requirements of the superior court civil rules, the superior court may consolidate a petition subject to direct review under this section with a separate action filed in the superior court.

- (4)(a) Except as otherwise provided in (b) and (c) of this subsection, the provisions of RCW 36.70A.280 through 36.70A.330, which specify the nature and extent of board review, shall apply to the superior court's review.
 - (b) The superior court:

- (i) Shall not have jurisdiction to directly review or modify an office of financial management population projection;
- (ii) Except as otherwise provided in RCW 36.70A.300(2)(b), shall render its decision on the petition within one hundred eighty days of receiving the certification of agreement; and
- (iii) Shall give a compliance hearing under RCW 36.70A.330(2) the highest priority of all civil matters before the court.
- (c) An aggrieved party may secure appellate review of a final judgment of the superior court under this section by the supreme court or the court of appeals. The review shall be secured in the manner provided by law for review of superior court decisions in other civil cases.
- (5) If, following a compliance hearing, the court finds that the state agency, county, or city is not in compliance with the court's prior order, the court may use its remedial and contempt powers to enforce compliance.
- (6) The superior court shall transmit a copy of its decision and order on direct review to the board, the department, and the governor. If the court has determined that a county or city is not in compliance and the county or city cannot show that it is working toward compliance with the provisions of this chapter, the governor may impose sanctions against the county or city in the same manner as if the board had recommended the imposition of sanctions as provided in RCW 36.70A.330.
- (7) After the court has assumed jurisdiction over a petition for review under this section, the superior court civil rules shall govern a request for intervention and all other procedural matters not specifically provided for in this section.
- **Sec. 4.** RCW 36.70A.330 and 1997 c 429 s 21 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) After the time set for complying with the requirements of this chapter under RCW 36.70A.300(3)(b) has expired, or at an earlier time upon the motion of a county or city subject to a determination of

p. 9 SB 5406

invalidity under RCW 36.70A.300, the board shall set a hearing for the purpose of determining whether the state agency, county, or city is in compliance with the requirements of this chapter.

- (2) The board shall conduct a hearing and issue a finding of compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of this chapter and with any compliance schedule established by the board in its final order. A person with standing to challenge the legislation enacted in response to the board's final order may participate in the hearing along with the petitioner and the state agency, county, or city. A hearing under this subsection shall be given the highest priority of business to be conducted by the board, and a finding shall be issued within forty-five days of the filing of the motion under subsection (1) of this section with the board. The board shall issue any order necessary to make adjustments to the compliance schedule and set additional hearings as provided in subsection (5) of this section.
- (3) If the board after a compliance hearing finds that the state agency, county, or city is not in compliance, the board shall transmit its finding to the governor. The board may recommend to the governor that the sanctions authorized by this chapter be imposed. The board shall take into consideration the county's or city's efforts to meet its compliance schedule in making the decision to recommend sanctions to the governor. The governor may only impose sanctions against the county or city if the county or city cannot show that it is working toward compliance with the provisions of this chapter.
- (4) In a compliance hearing upon petition of a party, the board shall also reconsider its final order and decide, if no determination of invalidity has been made, whether one now should be made under RCW 36.70A.302.
- 29 (5) The board shall schedule additional hearings as appropriate 30 pursuant to subsections (1) and (2) of this section.
- **Sec. 5.** RCW 36.70A.340 and 2011 c 120 s 2 are each amended to read 32 as follows:
- 33 Upon receipt from the board of a finding that a state agency, 34 county, or city is in noncompliance under RCW 36.70A.330, or as a 35 result of failure to meet the requirements of RCW 36.70A.210, the 36 governor may, if the county or city cannot show that it is working 37 toward compliance with RCW 36.70A.330 and 36.70A.210, either:

1 (1) Notify and direct the director of the office of financial 2 management to revise allotments in appropriation levels;

- (2) Notify and direct the state treasurer to withhold the portion of revenues to which the county or city is entitled under one or more of the following: The motor vehicle fuel tax, as provided in chapter 82.36 RCW; the transportation improvement account, as provided in RCW 47.26.084; the rural arterial trust account, as provided in RCW 36.79.150; the sales and use tax, as provided in chapter 82.14 RCW; the liquor profit tax, as provided in RCW 66.08.190; and the liquor excise tax, as provided in RCW 82.08.170; or
- 11 (3) File a notice of noncompliance with the secretary of state and 12 the county or city, which shall temporarily rescind the county or 13 city's authority to collect the real estate excise tax under RCW 14 82.46.030 until the governor files a notice rescinding the notice of 15 noncompliance.
- **Sec. 6.** RCW 36.70A.345 and 2010 c 211 s 13 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The governor may impose a sanction or sanctions specified under RCW 36.70A.340 on: $(((\frac{1}{1})))$ (a) A county or city that fails to designate critical areas, agricultural lands, forest lands, or mineral resource lands under RCW 36.70A.170 by the date such action was required to have been taken; $(((\frac{2}{1})))$ (b) a county or city that fails to adopt development regulations under RCW 36.70A.060 protecting critical areas or conserving agricultural lands, forest lands, or mineral resource lands by the date such action was required to have been taken; $((\frac{3}{1}))$ (c) a county that fails to designate urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 by the date such action was required to have been taken; and $((\frac{4}{1}))$ (d) a county or city that fails to adopt its comprehensive plan or development regulations when such actions are required to be taken.
 - (2) Imposition of a sanction or sanctions under this section shall be preceded by written findings by the governor, that either the county or city is not proceeding in good faith to meet the requirements of the act; or that the county or city has unreasonably delayed taking the required action. The governor shall consult with and communicate his or her findings to the growth management hearings board prior to imposing the sanction or sanctions. For those counties or cities that

p. 11 SB 5406

- 1 are not required to plan or have not opted in, the governor in imposing
- 2 sanctions shall consider the size of the jurisdiction relative to the
- 3 requirements of this chapter and the degree of technical and financial
- 4 assistance provided. The governor may only impose sanctions against
- 5 the county or city if the county or city cannot show that it is working
- 6 toward compliance with the provisions of this chapter.

9

1011

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

2829

30

- 7 Sec. 7. RCW 36.70A.500 and 2012 1st sp.s. c 1 s 310 are each 8 amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The department of commerce shall provide management services for the growth management planning and environmental review fund created by RCW 36.70A.490. The department shall establish procedures for fund management. The department shall encourage participation in the grant or loan program by other public agencies. The department shall develop the grant or loan criteria, monitor the grant or loan program, and select grant or loan recipients in consultation with state agencies participating in the grant or loan program through the provision of grant or loan funds or technical assistance.
 - (2) A grant or loan may be awarded to a county or city that is required to or has chosen to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 and that is qualified pursuant to this section. The grant or loan shall be provided to assist a county or city in paying for the cost of preparing an environmental analysis under chapter 43.21C RCW, that is integrated with a comprehensive plan, subarea plan, plan element, countywide planning policy, development regulation, monitoring program, or other planning activity adopted under or implementing this chapter that:
 - (a) Improves the process for project permit review while maintaining environmental quality; or
 - (b) Encourages use of plans and information developed for purposes of complying with this chapter to satisfy requirements of other state programs.
- 31 (3) In order to qualify for a grant or loan, a county or city 32 shall:
- 33 (a) Demonstrate that it will prepare an environmental analysis 34 pursuant to chapter 43.21C RCW and subsection (2) of this section that 35 is integrated with a comprehensive plan, subarea plan, plan element, 36 countywide planning policy, development regulations, monitoring

program, or other planning activity adopted under or implementing this chapter;

- (b) Address environmental impacts and consequences, alternatives, and mitigation measures in sufficient detail to allow the analysis to be adopted in whole or in part by applicants for development permits within the geographic area analyzed in the plan;
- (c) Demonstrate that procedures for review of development permit applications will be based on the integrated plans and environmental analysis;
- (d) Include mechanisms to monitor the consequences of growth as it occurs in the plan area and to use the resulting data to update the plan, policy, or implementing mechanisms and associated environmental analysis;
- (e) Demonstrate substantial progress towards compliance with the requirements of this chapter. A county or city that is more than six months out of compliance with a requirement of this chapter is deemed not to be making substantial progress towards compliance <u>unless the county or city can show that it is working toward compliance with the requirements of this chapter; and</u>
- 20 (f) Provide local funding, which may include financial participation by the private sector.
 - (4) In awarding grants or loans, the department shall give preference to proposals that include one or more of the following elements:
 - (a) Financial participation by the private sector, or a public/private partnering approach;
 - (b) Identification and monitoring of system capacities for elements of the built environment, and to the extent appropriate, of the natural environment;
- 30 (c) Coordination with state, federal, and tribal governments in 31 project review;
 - (d) Furtherance of important state objectives related to economic development, protection of areas of statewide significance, and siting of essential public facilities;
- 35 (e) Programs to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the 36 permitting process by greater reliance on integrated plans and 37 prospective environmental analysis;

p. 13 SB 5406

(f) Programs for effective citizen and neighborhood involvement that contribute to greater likelihood that planning decisions can be implemented with community support;

- (g) Programs to identify environmental impacts and establish mitigation measures that provide effective means to satisfy concurrency requirements and establish project consistency with the plans; or
- (h) Environmental review that addresses the impacts of increased density or intensity of comprehensive plans, subarea plans, or receiving areas designated by a city or town under the regional transfer of development rights program in chapter 43.362 RCW.
- (5) If the local funding includes funding provided by other state functional planning programs, including open space planning and watershed or basin planning, the functional plan shall be integrated into and be consistent with the comprehensive plan.
- 15 (6) State agencies shall work with grant or loan recipients to 16 facilitate state and local project review processes that will implement 17 the projects receiving grants or loans under this section.
- **Sec. 8.** RCW 43.17.250 and 1999 c 164 s 601 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Whenever a state agency is considering awarding grants or loans for a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 to finance public facilities, it shall consider whether the county, city, or town requesting the grant or loan has adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations as required by RCW 36.70A.040.
 - (2) When reviewing competing requests from counties, cities, or towns planning under RCW 36.70A.040, a state agency considering awarding grants or loans for public facilities shall accord additional preference to those counties, cities, or towns that have adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations as required by RCW 36.70A.040. For the purposes of the preference accorded in this section, a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 is deemed to have satisfied the requirements for adopting a comprehensive plan and development regulations specified in RCW 36.70A.040 if the county, city, or town:
- 35 (a) Adopts or has adopted a comprehensive plan and development 36 regulations within the time periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040;

(b) Adopts or has adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations before submitting a request for a grant or loan if the county, city, or town failed to adopt a comprehensive plan and/or development regulations within the time periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040; or

- (c) Demonstrates substantial progress toward adopting a comprehensive plan or development regulations within the time periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040. A county, city, or town that is more than six months out of compliance with the time periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040 or that cannot show that it is working toward compliance with the time periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040 shall not be deemed to demonstrate substantial progress for purposes of this section.
- (3) The preference specified in subsection (2) of this section applies only to competing requests for grants or loans from counties, cities, or towns planning under RCW 36.70A.040. A request from a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall be accorded no additional preference based on subsection (2) of this section over a request from a county, city, or town not planning under RCW 36.70A.040.
- (4) Whenever a state agency is considering awarding grants or loans for public facilities to a special district requesting funding for a proposed facility located in a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040, it shall consider whether the county, city, or town in whose planning jurisdiction the proposed facility is located has adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations as required by RCW 36.70A.040 and shall apply the preference specified in subsection (2) of this section and restricted in subsection (3) of this section.
- **Sec. 9.** RCW 43.155.070 and 2012 c 196 s 9 are each amended to read 29 as follows:
- 30 (1) To qualify for loans or pledges under this chapter the board 31 must determine that a local government meets all of the following 32 conditions:
- 33 (a) The city or county must be imposing a tax under chapter 82.46 34 RCW at a rate of at least one-quarter of one percent;
- 35 (b) The local government must have developed a capital facility 36 plan; and

p. 15 SB 5406

(c) The local government must be using all local revenue sources which are reasonably available for funding public works, taking into consideration local employment and economic factors.

1

2

26

27

2829

30

3132

33

3435

36

37

- 4 (2) Except where necessary to address a public health need or 5 substantial environmental degradation, a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 must have adopted a comprehensive plan, including 6 7 a capital facilities plan element, and development regulations as 8 required by RCW 36.70A.040. A county, city, or town that has adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations as required in RCW 9 36.70A.040 may request a grant or loan for public works projects. This 10 subsection does not require any county, city, or town planning under 11 12 RCW 36.70A.040 to adopt a comprehensive plan or development regulations 13 before requesting ((or receiving)) a loan or loan guarantee under this 14 chapter ((if such request is made before the expiration of the time 15 periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040)). A county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 ((which)) that has not adopted a 16 comprehensive plan and development regulations within the time periods 17 18 specified in RCW 36.70A.040 is not prohibited from receiving a loan or 19 loan guarantee under this chapter if the comprehensive plan and 20 development regulations are adopted as required by RCW 36.70A.040 21 before ((submitting a request for a loan or loan guarantee)) the board 22 disburses the funds or guarantees the loan, or if the county, city, or town can show that it is working toward compliance with adopting 23 24 comprehensive plan and development regulations as required in RCW 36.70A.040. 25
 - (3) In considering awarding loans for public facilities to special districts requesting funding for a proposed facility located in a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040, the board must consider whether the county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 in whose planning jurisdiction the proposed facility is located has adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations as required by RCW 36.70A.040.
 - (4) The board must develop a priority process for public works projects as provided in this section. The intent of the priority process is to maximize the value of public works projects accomplished with assistance under this chapter. The board must attempt to assure a geographical balance in assigning priorities to projects. The board

1 must consider at least the following factors in assigning a priority to 2 a project:

- (a) Whether the local government receiving assistance has experienced severe fiscal distress resulting from natural disaster or emergency public works needs;
- (b) Except as otherwise conditioned by RCW 43.155.110, whether the entity receiving assistance is a Puget Sound partner, as defined in RCW 90.71.010;
- (c) Whether the project is referenced in the action agenda developed by the Puget Sound partnership under RCW 90.71.310;
- (d) Whether the project is critical in nature and would affect the health and safety of a great number of citizens;
- (e) Whether the applicant's permitting process has been certified as streamlined by the office of regulatory assistance;
- (f) Whether the applicant has developed and adhered to guidelines regarding its permitting process for those applying for development permits consistent with section 1(2), chapter 231, Laws of 2007;
- (g) The cost of the project compared to the size of the local government and amount of loan money available;
 - (h) The number of communities served by or funding the project;
- (i) Whether the project is located in an area of high unemployment, compared to the average state unemployment;
- (j) Whether the project is the acquisition, expansion, improvement, or renovation by a local government of a public water system that is in violation of health and safety standards, including the cost of extending existing service to such a system;
- (k) Except as otherwise conditioned by RCW 43.155.120, and effective one calendar year following the development of model evergreen community management plans and ordinances under RCW 35.105.050, whether the entity receiving assistance has been recognized, and what gradation of recognition was received, in the evergreen community recognition program created in RCW 35.105.030;
- (1) The relative benefit of the project to the community, considering the present level of economic activity in the community and the existing local capacity to increase local economic activity in communities that have low economic growth; and
 - (m) Other criteria that the board considers advisable.

p. 17 SB 5406

(5) Existing debt or financial obligations of local governments may not be refinanced under this chapter. Each local government applicant must provide documentation of attempts to secure additional local or other sources of funding for each public works project for which financial assistance is sought under this chapter.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

- (6) Before November 1st of each even-numbered year, the board must develop and submit to the appropriate fiscal committees of the senate and house of representatives a description of the loans made under RCW 43.155.065, 43.155.068, and subsection (9) of this section during the preceding fiscal year and a prioritized list of projects which are recommended for funding by the legislature, including one copy to the staff of each of the committees. The list must include, but not be limited to, a description of each project and recommended financing, the terms and conditions of the loan or financial guarantee, the local government jurisdiction and unemployment rate, demonstration of the jurisdiction's critical need for the project and documentation of local funds being used to finance the public works project. also include measures of fiscal capacity for each jurisdiction recommended for financial assistance, compared to authorized limits and state averages, including local government sales taxes; real estate excise taxes; property taxes; and charges for or taxes on sewerage, water, garbage, and other utilities.
- (7) The board may not sign contracts or otherwise financially obligate funds from the public works assistance account before the legislature has appropriated funds for a specific list of public works projects. The legislature may remove projects from the list recommended by the board. The legislature may not change the order of the priorities recommended for funding by the board.
- (8) Subsection (7) of this section does not apply to loans made under RCW 43.155.065, 43.155.068, and subsection (9) of this section.
- (9) Loans made for the purpose of capital facilities plans are exempted from subsection (7) of this section.
- (10) To qualify for loans or pledges for solid waste or recycling facilities under this chapter, a city or county must demonstrate that the solid waste or recycling facility is consistent with and necessary to implement the comprehensive solid waste management plan adopted by the city or county under chapter 70.95 RCW.

- (11) After January 1, 2010, any project designed to address the effects of storm water or wastewater on Puget Sound may be funded under this section only if the project is not in conflict with the action agenda developed by the Puget Sound partnership under RCW 90.71.310.
- 5 **Sec. 10.** RCW 70.146.070 and 2008 c 299 s 26 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
 - (1) When making grants or loans for water pollution control facilities, the department shall consider the following:
 - (a) The protection of water quality and public health;

7

8

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2829

3031

32

- 10 (b) The cost to residential ratepayers if they had to finance water pollution control facilities without state assistance;
- 12 (c) Actions required under federal and state permits and compliance 13 orders;
- 14 (d) The level of local fiscal effort by residential ratepayers 15 since 1972 in financing water pollution control facilities;
 - (e) Except as otherwise conditioned by RCW 70.146.110, whether the entity receiving assistance is a Puget Sound partner, as defined in RCW 90.71.010;
 - (f) Whether the project is referenced in the action agenda developed by the Puget Sound partnership under RCW 90.71.310;
 - (g) Except as otherwise provided in RCW 70.146.120, and effective one calendar year following the development and statewide availability of model evergreen community management plans and ordinances under RCW 35.105.050, whether the project is sponsored by an entity that has been recognized, and what gradation of recognition was received, in the evergreen community recognition program created in RCW 35.105.030;
 - (h) The extent to which the applicant county or city, or if the applicant is another public body, the extent to which the county or city in which the applicant public body is located, has established programs to mitigate nonpoint pollution of the surface or subterranean water sought to be protected by the water pollution control facility named in the application for state assistance; and
- 33 (i) The recommendations of the Puget Sound partnership, created in 34 RCW 90.71.210, and any other board, council, commission, or group 35 established by the legislature or a state agency to study water 36 pollution control issues in the state.

p. 19 SB 5406

- (2) Except where necessary to address a public health need or 1 2 substantial environmental degradation, a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 may not receive a grant or loan for water 3 4 pollution control facilities unless it has adopted a comprehensive plan, including a capital facilities plan element, and development 5 regulations as required by RCW 36.70A.040. A county, city, or town 6 7 that has adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations as required in RCW 36.70A.040 may request a grant or loan for water 8 pollution control facilities. This subsection does not require any 9 county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 to adopt a 10 11 comprehensive plan or development regulations before requesting ((or 12 receiving)) a grant or loan under this chapter if such request is made 13 before the expiration of the time periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040. A county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 ((which)) that 14 has not adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations within 15 the time periods specified in RCW 36.70A.040 is not prohibited from 16 17 receiving a grant or loan under this chapter if the comprehensive plan 18 and development regulations are adopted as required by RCW 36.70A.040 19 before ((submitting a request for a)) the department disburses funds 20 for the grant or loan, or if the county, city, or town can show that it 21 is working toward compliance with adopting comprehensive plan and 22 development regulations as required in RCW 36.70A.040.
 - (3) Whenever the department is considering awarding grants or loans for public facilities to special districts requesting funding for a proposed facility located in a county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040, it shall consider whether the county, city, or town planning under RCW 36.70A.040 in whose planning jurisdiction the proposed facility is located has adopted a comprehensive plan and development regulations as required by RCW 36.70A.040.
 - (4) After January 1, 2010, any project designed to address the effects of water pollution on Puget Sound may be funded under this chapter only if the project is not in conflict with the action agenda developed by the Puget Sound partnership under RCW 90.71.310.
- 34 **Sec. 11.** RCW 82.02.050 and 1994 c 257 s 24 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) It is the intent of the legislature:

SB 5406 p. 20

23

24

2526

27

28

2930

3132

33

36

- (a) To ensure that adequate facilities are available to serve new growth and development;
 - (b) To promote orderly growth and development by establishing standards by which counties, cities, and towns may require, by ordinance, that new growth and development pay a proportionate share of the cost of new facilities needed to serve new growth and development; and
 - (c) To ensure that impact fees are imposed through established procedures and criteria so that specific developments do not pay arbitrary fees or duplicative fees for the same impact.
 - (2) Counties, cities, and towns that are required or choose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 are authorized to impose impact fees on development activity as part of the financing for public facilities, provided that the financing for system improvements to serve new development must provide for a balance between impact fees and other sources of public funds and cannot rely solely on impact fees.
 - (3) The impact fees:

- (a) Shall only be imposed for system improvements that are reasonably related to the new development;
- (b) Shall not exceed a proportionate share of the costs of system improvements that are reasonably related to the new development; and
- (c) Shall be used for system improvements that will reasonably benefit the new development.
- (4) Impact fees may be collected and spent only for the public facilities defined in RCW 82.02.090 which are addressed by a capital facilities plan element of a comprehensive land use plan adopted pursuant to the provisions of RCW 36.70A.070 or the provisions for comprehensive plan adoption contained in chapter 36.70, 35.63, or 35A.63 RCW. After the date a county, city, or town is required to adopt its development regulations under chapter 36.70A RCW, continued authorization to collect and expend impact fees shall be contingent on the county, city, or town adopting or revising a comprehensive plan in compliance or with a showing of working toward compliance with RCW 36.70A.070, and on the capital facilities plan identifying:
- 35 (a) Deficiencies in public facilities serving existing development 36 and the means by which existing deficiencies will be eliminated within 37 a reasonable period of time;

p. 21 SB 5406

1 (b) Additional demands placed on existing public facilities by new 2 development; and

3

5 6

7

8

(c) Additional public facility improvements required to serve new development.

If the capital facilities plan of the county, city, or town is complete other than for the inclusion of those elements which are the responsibility of a special district, the county, city, or town may impose impact fees to address those public facility needs for which the county, city, or town is responsible.

--- END ---