

# SENATE BILL REPORT

## SB 5237

---

---

As Reported by Senate Committee On:  
Early Learning & K-12 Education, February 6, 2013

**Title:** An act relating to establishing accountability for student performance in third grade.

**Brief Description:** Establishing accountability for student performance in third grade.

**Sponsors:** Senators Dammeier, Litzow, Rivers, Tom, Fain, Hobbs, Hatfield, Carrell and Shin.

**Brief History:**

**Committee Activity:** Early Learning & K-12 Education: 1/30/13, 2/06/13 [DPS-WM, DNP, w/oRec].

---

### SENATE COMMITTEE ON EARLY LEARNING & K-12 EDUCATION

**Majority Report:** That Substitute Senate Bill No. 5237 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass and be referred to Committee on Ways & Means.

Signed by Senators Litzow, Chair; Dammeier, Vice Chair; Brown, Fain, Hill and Rivers.

**Minority Report:** Do not pass.

Signed by Senators Billig, Cleveland, McAuliffe, Ranking Member; Rolfes, Assistant Ranking Member.

**Minority Report:** That it be referred without recommendation.

Signed by Senator Mullet.

**Staff:** Susan Mielke (786-7422)

**Background:** The Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP). ECEAP is a state-funded pre-kindergarten program serving three and four-year-old children from low-income families, families with children who qualify for special education regardless of income, and families not income eligible but impacted by development or environmental risk factors. According to the Department of Early Learning (DEL) in the 2011-12 school year, ECEAP funding was \$6,812 per child. Washington is serving 50.4 percent of the ECEAP-eligible children. Approximately 18,990 children were eligible for ECEAP and were not served by either ECEAP or the federal Head Start program. In January 2012, the ECEAP waiting list held 1360 four-year-olds and 1987 three-year-olds.

---

*This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.*

Professional Development. From 1993 to 2010, the Legislature provided funding for some form of learning improvement days (LIDs). In 2007 LIDs were put into statute as targeted professional development. Both the statute and appropriations act provided that LIDs are not part of the definition of basic education.

Student Report Cards. Currently there are no state requirements regarding what information is included on a student report card.

Statewide Third Grade Reading Assessments. Generally, third grade reading skills are assessed using the state's Measurements of Student Progress (MSP) assessment; unless the student has a disability and is not appropriately assessed by the MSP then the state uses an alternate assessment. A student's performance on the MSP is reported for individual students, schools, districts, and the state according to four performance levels defined by the Washington State Board of Education (SBE):

- Level 4: Advanced – exceeding state standard.
- Level 3: Proficient – meeting state standard.
- Level 2: Basic – not meeting state standard.
- Level 1: Below Basic – not meeting state standard.

The state report card on the website of the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI), indicates that 68.8 percent of Washington students in third grade met or exceeded the state standard on the reading MSP in the 2011-12 school year; 31.2 percent did not meet the state standard.

Recently, Washington revised its student learning standards in reading, writing, and mathematics, which are tested on the MSP, to align with the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). The CCSS are student learning standards in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics that were developed by a multistate consortium.

Washington is participating in a multistate consortium using a federal grant to develop new student assessments that are, among other things, aligned with the CCSS. The assessments will include a third grade ELA assessment. The assessments will be ready to implement in the 2014-15 school year. To continue in the consortium after the beginning of the 2014-15 school year, Washington must agree to use the consortium-developed tests to meet the accountability requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind Act, also known as the reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

**Summary of Bill (Recommended Substitute):** ECEAP. Subject to funds being appropriated, DEL must expand ECEAP to serve more children in the 2013-15 biennium.

Professional Development. Targeted, research-based professional development in reading instruction for K-3 teachers is authorized, subject to funds being appropriated. OSPI must create partnerships with the educational service districts or public and private institutions of higher education with approved educator preparation programs to deliver the professional development.

Student Report Cards. Each school district must require that each report card of every student in grades K-4 includes information regarding how the student is progressing in

reading skills and whether the student is on grade level. If the student is not on or above grade level then the teacher must explain to the parent or guardian what interventions will be used to help the student improve.

Statewide Third Grade Reading Assessments. Each school must report to the school district the number of students in grades K-3 that are reading below grade level and the interventions being provided. The school district must then report the information to OSPI. Starting in 2015-16, a student who scores below basic on the statewide third grade ELA assessment will be automatically enrolled in an intensive summer school program provided by the school district. At the end of the summer the student must retake the assessment. A parent or guardian can opt their student out of the summer school program. If at the end of the summer school program, the student does not meet the state standard on the ELA assessment then in the 2015-16 school year, school districts must provide the remediation specified in the bill to the student in fourth grade. If in the 2016-17 school year the fourth grade student does not meet the state standard on the ELA assessment and the student has participated in the intensive summer school program and the remediation provided in the fourth grade then the student may not be promoted to the fifth grade, unless the student meets one of the good cause exemptions provided in the bill. The school district must provide the specified remediation to the retained student.

**EFFECT OF CHANGES MADE BY EARLY LEARNING & K-12 EDUCATION COMMITTEE (Recommended Substitute):** A requirement is added, subject to funds being appropriated, for DEL to expand ECEAP to serve more children in the 2013-15 biennium. Targeted, research-based professional development for K-3 teachers, subject to funds being appropriated is added. OSPI must create partnerships with the educational service districts or public and private institutions of higher education with approved educator preparation programs to deliver the professional development.

A requirement is added that each school district must require that each report card of every student in K-4 grades includes information regarding how the student is progressing in reading skills and whether the student is on grade level. If the student is not on or above grade level then the teacher must explain to the parent or guardian what interventions will be used to help the student improve. Each school must report to the school district the number of students in grades K-3 that are reading below grade level and the interventions being provided. The school district must then report to OSPI.

Starting in 2015-16, instead of 2014-15, a student who scores below basic on the ELA assessment will be automatically enrolled in an intensive summer school program provided by the school district. At the end of the summer the student retakes the assessment. A parent or guardian can opt their student out of the summer school program. If at the end of the summer school program, the student does not meet the state standard on the ELA assessment then in the 2015-16 school year, school districts must provide the remediation specified in the bill to the student in fourth grade. If in the 2016-17 school year the fourth grade student does not meet the state standard on the ELA assessment and the student has participated in the intensive summer school program and the remediation provided in the fourth grade then the student may not be promoted to the fifth grade, unless the student meets one of the good cause exemptions provided in the bill. The school district must provide the specified remediation to the retained student.

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Available.

**Committee/Commission/Task Force Created:** No.

**Effective Date:** Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

**Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Original Bill:** PRO: We have an achievement and opportunity gap that has persisted for decades. At some point we must do something differently. Students need to be able to read by the third grade; it is a great predictor of success, and the lack of being able to read is connected to the prison pipeline. This legislation is based on Florida law but 14 other states have done this. They have had tremendous success in improving students' academic performance. This is not an attack on teachers – it is giving teachers the tools to help them make their students successful. A bright line around third grade reading is important for communities to choose to support education.

CON: The intent of getting all kids to read at grade level is a laudable goal. But not all children learn at the same rate and that doesn't mean they won't learn it. The MSP is not a complete picture of the student and you need to look at the whole child when making such decisions. Research shows that any improvements that might be gained from retention are very short lived and that retention will lower graduation rates. These decisions should be made at the local level with teachers and parents. Olympia should not be telling schools how to do their jobs and overburden the system. The coalition of communities of color have concerns about the ramification of the bill. The effect is that students of color will be disproportionately retained. There are better ways to use our time and resources. It would be better to focus and build on the early learning structure with early prevention and intervention before children get to school. It would be better to provide teachers with more training to more effectively teach reading. It would be better to invest in our schools and teachers and to involve families. Instead of retaining students you can better help them through an intensive summer program or an extended day program. This bill provides no new money – more unfunded mandates are not what we need. We have the most crowded classrooms in the nation; we should lower class size so teachers can help struggling students.

OTHER: We appreciate that you are putting a laser-like focus on third grade reading. But there needs to be greater internal supports, the problem is much more profound than that students are slipping through the cracks. We need to use the Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills and screen students twice a year so we can address what they need. Teachers need to use evidence-based literacy instruction that is phonemically based. Teachers and administrators need to be trained to use data responsibly. The state should provide a statewide early learning program.

**Persons Testifying:** PRO: Senator Dammeier, prime sponsor; Dave Powell, Stand for Children; Frank Ordway, League of Education Voters.

CON: Randy Dorn, State Superintendent of Public Instruction; Anita Boyum, Ellensburg School Director; Sharonne Navas, Equity in Education Coalition; Edri Gieger, Vancouver School District Director; Erin Jones, Federal Way School District; Dawn Mason, Parents for

Student Success; John Deeder, Evergreen Public Schools; Wendy Rader-Konofalski, WA Education Assn.; Tim Fries, Elementary School Principals of WA; Ron Sisson, Elementary School Principals of WA.

OTHER: Ramona Hattendorff, WA State PTA; Ben Rarick, SBE.