## SENATE BILL REPORT SB 5193

As of February 5, 2013

**Title**: An act relating to large wild carnivore conflict management.

**Brief Description**: Concerning large wild carnivore conflict management.

**Sponsors**: Senators Smith, Roach, Honeyford and Delvin; by request of Department of Fish and Wildlife.

## **Brief History:**

Committee Activity: Natural Resources & Parks: 1/29/13.

## SENATE COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES & PARKS

Staff: Curt Gavigan (786-7437)

**Background**: Role of the Department of Fish and Wildlife (DFW) Generally. DFW serves as manager of the state's fish and wildlife resources. Among other duties, DFW must protect, perpetuate, and establish the basic rules and regulations governing the harvest of fish and wildlife.

<u>Wildlife Damage Compensation.</u> The Legislature has authorized DFW to manage a wildlife damage compensation program that compensates commercial crop owners for damage from elk and deer. The program also compensates commercial livestock owners for damage from cougars, wolves, and bears.

Specifically, a commercial livestock owner may receive compensation for cattle, sheep, and horses injured or killed by bears, wolves, or cougars. DFW may only pay claims:

- using amounts specifically appropriated for this purpose; and
- up to statutorily specified amounts per animal.

Under the livestock damage compensation program, commercial livestock include cattle, sheep, and horses held or raised by a person for sale.

<u>Big Game Designation.</u> There are 11 species of wildlife that are designated as big game. These species include most wild ungulates, cougars, and bears. There are specific penalties, responsibilities, and prohibitions that apply only to big game species. For instance, there are enhanced penalties for wildlife-related crimes that involve big game species.

Senate Bill Report -1 - SB 5193

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Summary of Bill: Establishes a Wildlife Account-Based Funding Mechanism for Livestock Damage Caused by Wolves. Authorizes DFW to pay not more than \$50,000 per year from the State Wildlife Account for claims and assessment costs for injury to or the loss of livestock. DFW may also accept and spend funds from other sources to address damage to livestock or other property. Additionally, the Fish and Wildlife Commission must adopt rules setting limits and conditions for expenditures on claims, assessments, and mitigating actions.

<u>Creates a Washington Wolves Special License Plate.</u> The Washington wolves special license plate is established. The initial fee for the plate is \$40, and a \$30 fee is charged upon renewal. Plate revenues must be deposited in the State Wildlife Account and dedicated to wolf management. DFW may not use these funds for the lethal removal of wolves.

Modifies Livestock Damage Payment Eligibility. A livestock owner may receive compensation for damage to cattle, sheep, or horses regardless of whether they are involved in commercial agriculture or the animal is specifically raised for sale.

<u>Big Game Designation.</u> The gray wolf is added to the list of species that are considered to be big game. Statutes establishing penalties, responsibilities, and prohibitions exclusive to big game species apply to the gray wolf by reference to the new definition.

**Appropriation**: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

[OFM requested ten-year cost projection pursuant to I-960.]

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

**Effective Date**: The bill contains several effective dates. Please refer to the bill.

**Staff Summary of Public Testimony**: PRO: The wolf plan should be implemented, including finding sustainable funding for wolf management and livestock damage compensation. While the funding is much needed, there are concerns that the \$50,000 is not enough to cover livestock losses that will occur from predation. The treatment of wolves as a big game species is appropriate as it will allow for increased opportunities for management.

CON: There are concerns that defining the wolf as a big game species will contribute to promotion of lethal removal and hunting.

OTHER: There is a need for non-lethal management education, community outreach, and coordination of implementation across multiple agencies. While the funding is much needed, there are concerns that the \$50,000 is not enough to cover livestock losses that will occur from predation. The funding from the special license plate should not be limited, as the wolf plan does call for lethal action in some circumstances. This is a serious issue that significantly impacts people.

**Persons Testifying**: PRO: Dave Ware, DFW; Tyler Cox, Bill Sieverkropp, Jess Kayser, Pace Amidon, Brad Cameron, WA Cattlemen's Assn.; Wes McCart, Stevens County Commissioner; Sheilah Kennedy, Okanogan County Commissioner; Mike Manus, Pend

Oreille County Commissioner; Brad Miller, Ferry County Commissioner; Tom Davis, WA Farm Bureau.

CON: Melinda Hirsch, Center for Biological Diversity; Bob McCoy, Jeff Hamm, citizens.

OTHER: Diane Gallegos, Wolf Haven International; Tom Davis, WA Farm Bureau.

Senate Bill Report - 3 - SB 5193