## SENATE BILL 6640

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2012 1st Special Session

By Senators Roach, Benton, Chase, Swecker, Prentice, Fain, Hatfield, Hill, Frockt, Holmquist Newbry, Ericksen, Keiser, and Shin

Read first time 04/10/12. Referred to Committee on Human Services & Corrections.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to prohibiting a child custody award to a suspect
- in an active homicide investigation; amending RCW 13.34.132, 26.09.191,
- 3 and 26.10.160; adding a new section to chapter 13.34 RCW; adding a new
- 4 section to chapter 26.09 RCW; and creating a new section.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** This act may be known and cited as the
- 7 Braden and Charlie Powell act of 2012.
- 8 **Sec. 2.** RCW 13.34.132 and 2011 c 309 s 28 are each amended to read
- 9 as follows:
- 10 A court may order that a petition seeking termination of the parent
- and child relationship be filed if the following requirements are met:
- 12 (1) The court has removed the child from his or her home pursuant
- 13 to RCW 13.34.130;
- 14 (2) Termination is recommended by the department or the supervising
- 15 agency;
- 16 (3) Termination is in the best interests of the child; and
- 17 (4) Because of the existence of aggravated circumstances,
- 18 reasonable efforts to unify the family are not required.

p. 1 SB 6640

- Notwithstanding the existence of aggravated circumstances, reasonable efforts may be required if the court or department determines it is in the best interests of the child. In determining whether aggravated circumstances exist by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence, the court shall consider one or more of the following:
  - (a) Conviction of the parent of rape of the child in the first, second, or third degree as defined in RCW 9A.44.073, 9A.44.076, and 9A.44.079;

7

8

12

13

14

15

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

2829

30

3132

33

34

- 9 (b) Conviction of the parent of criminal mistreatment of the child 10 in the first or second degree as defined in RCW 9A.42.020 and 11 9A.42.030;
  - (c) Conviction of the parent of one of the following assault crimes, when the child is the victim: Assault in the first or second degree as defined in RCW 9A.36.011 and 9A.36.021 or assault of a child in the first or second degree as defined in RCW 9A.36.120 or 9A.36.130;
- 16 (d) Conviction of the parent of murder, manslaughter, or homicide 17 by abuse of the child's other parent, sibling, or another child;
  - (e) Conviction of the parent of attempting, soliciting, or conspiring to commit a crime listed in (a), (b), (c), or (d) of this subsection;
  - (f) A finding by a court that a parent is a sexually violent predator as defined in RCW 71.09.020;
  - (g) Failure of the parent to complete available treatment ordered under this chapter or the equivalent laws of another state, where such failure has resulted in a prior termination of parental rights to another child and the parent has failed to effect significant change in the interim. In the case of a parent of an Indian child, as defined in RCW 13.38.040, the court shall also consider tribal efforts to assist the parent in completing treatment and make it possible for the child to return home;
    - (h) An infant under three years of age has been abandoned;
  - (i) Conviction of the parent, when a child has been born of the offense, of: (A) A sex offense under chapter 9A.44 RCW; or (B) incest under RCW 9A.64.020;
- (j) Circumstances in which the parent is a named suspect in an active homicide investigation. The court shall review all available evidence from law enforcement provided pursuant to section 3 of this

1 <u>act in determining whether reunification may be detrimental to the</u>

2 health, safety, or welfare of the child.

3 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 13.34 RCW 4 to read as follows:

Upon the request of the court or department of social and health services, any law enforcement agency conducting an active homicide investigation for which a named suspect is involved in a child dependency proceeding pursuant to this chapter must provide to the court and the department, in writing, any and all details of the investigation that may be relevant to the child dependency proceeding, including evidence of the suspects failure to cooperate, attempts to obstruct, or actual obstruction of the investigation.

- **Sec. 4.** RCW 26.09.191 and 2011 c 89 s 6 are each amended to read 14 as follows:
  - (1) The permanent parenting plan shall not require mutual decision-making or designation of a dispute resolution process other than court action if it is found that a parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (a) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (b) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; ((or)) (c) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (d) the parent is a named suspect in an active homicide investigation.
  - (2)(a) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under:
- 34 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the 35 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of 36 this subsection;

p. 3 SB 6640

- 1 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
  - (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
    - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 8 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;

5

6 7

13

21

22

2324

2526

2728

29

30

3132

3334

38

- 9 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 10 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 11 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 12 under (d) of this subsection;
  - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 14 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 15 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 16 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.
  - (b) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under:
  - (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
  - (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 35 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the 36 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of 37 this subsection;
  - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;

- 1 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 2 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

31

- 3 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 4 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 5 under (e) of this subsection;
  - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 7 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 8 in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 9 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 10 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this subsection applies.
  - (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence.
  - (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- 29 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 30 was at least five years older than the other person;
  - (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 32 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 34 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least sight years older than the victim;
- 36 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;

p. 5 SB 6640

1 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

1112

13 14

15

32

33

- 2 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
  3 listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
  - (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
  - (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated person's presence:
- 16 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 17 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 18 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 19 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at 20 least eight years older than the victim;
- 21 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 22 eight years older than the victim;
- 23 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 26 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
  - (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by
  the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child
  and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the
  child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in
  treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in

such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or

- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and

p. 7 SB 6640

child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

- (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence,

that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38

(k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

(1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact

p. 9 SB 6640

between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

3132

33

3435

36

37

38

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations shall also be reasonably calculated to provide for the safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the parent has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations the court may impose include, but are not limited to: Supervised contact between the child and the parent or completion of relevant counseling or If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on the residential time with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time, the court shall restrain the parent requesting residential time from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or

therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

- (iii) If the court limits residential time under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.
- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.
- (3) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent is a named suspect in an active homicide investigation. Under no circumstances may the parent be designated as the primary residential parent while the investigation is pending.

p. 11 SB 6640

- 1 (4) A parent's involvement or conduct may have an adverse effect on 2 the child's best interests, and the court may preclude or limit any 3 provisions of the parenting plan, if any of the following factors 4 exist:
  - (a) A parent's neglect or substantial nonperformance of parenting functions;

6 7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

32

- (b) A long-term emotional or physical impairment which interferes with the parent's performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004;
- 10 (c) A long-term impairment resulting from drug, alcohol, or other 11 substance abuse that interferes with the performance of parenting 12 functions;
- 13 (d) The absence or substantial impairment of emotional ties between 14 the parent and the child;
- 15 (e) The abusive use of conflict by the parent which creates the 16 danger of serious damage to the child's psychological development;
- 17 (f) A parent has withheld from the other parent access to the child 18 for a protracted period without good cause; or
- 19 (g) Such other factors or conduct as the court expressly finds 20 adverse to the best interests of the child.
  - $((\frac{4}{1}))$  (5) In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under subsection (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) of this section, both parties shall be screened to determine the appropriateness of a comprehensive assessment regarding the impact of the limiting factor on the child and the parties.
- $((\frac{5}{)}))$  (6) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting plan.
- $((\frac{(6)}{(6)}))$  In determining whether any of the conduct described in this section has occurred, the court shall apply the civil rules of evidence, proof, and procedure.
  - $((\frac{7}{1}))$  (8) For the purposes of this section:
- 33 (a) "A parent's child" means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild; and
- 35 (b) "Social worker" means a person with a master's or further 36 advanced degree from a social work educational program accredited and 37 approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 26.09 RCW to read as follows:

Upon the request of a party or the court, any law enforcement agency conducting an active homicide investigation for which a named suspect is involved in a child custody proceeding pursuant to this chapter or chapter 26.10 RCW must provide to the court, in writing, any and all details of the investigation that may be relevant to the child custody proceeding, including evidence of the suspects failure to cooperate, attempts to obstruct, or actual obstruction of the investigation.

- 11 **Sec. 6.** RCW 26.10.160 and 2011 c 89 s 7 are each amended to read 12 as follows:
- 13 (1) A parent not granted custody of the child is entitled to 14 reasonable visitation rights except as provided in subsection (2) of 15 this section.
  - (2)(a) Visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent seeking visitation has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; ((or)) (iv) the parent is a named suspect in an active homicide investigation; or (v) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under:
  - (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 29 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 32 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the 33 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of 34 this subsection;
- 35 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

- 36 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 37 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

p. 13 SB 6640

- 1 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 2 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 3 under (d) of this subsection;
  - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;

6 7

8 9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

29

30

35

- (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in  $(a)((\frac{(iv)}{)}))$  (v)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
  - (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in  $(a)((\frac{iv}{iv}))$   $\underline{(v)}(A)$  through (H) of this subsection.

This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.

- (b) The parent's visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under:
- 20 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 23 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
  - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
    - (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 31 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 32 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 33 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 34 under (e) of this subsection;
  - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 36 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 37 in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;

(J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection.

This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this subsection applies.

- (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence.
- (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- 21 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 22 was at least five years older than the other person;
  - (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

23

31

- 24 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 26 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 27 eight years older than the victim;
- 28 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 29 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least 30 eight years older than the victim;
  - (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
- 37 (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides 38 with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile

p. 15 SB 6640

- 1 has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix)
- 2 of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that
- 3 parent exercises visitation in the presence of the convicted or
- 4 adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the
- 5 court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child
- 6 except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated
- 7 person's presence:
- 8 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 9 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 10 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 11 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 13 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 14 eight years older than the victim;
- 15 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 16 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least 17 eight years older than the victim;
- 18 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

3334

35

36

37

38

- 19 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses 20 listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
  - (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
    - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
  - (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the

offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

- (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the

p. 17 SB 6640

supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving

children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW 1 2 and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that 3 4 unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of 5 the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, 6 7 or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims 8 who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent 9 and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending 10 parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in 11 12 treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall 13 psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider 14 indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to 15 reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the 16 17 parent and a child.

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2930

3132

33

3435

36

37

38

(1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate

p. 19 SB 6640

sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on visitation with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation, the court shall restrain the person seeking visitation from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

(iii) If the court limits visitation under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.

- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that 1 2 contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the 3 probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive 4 conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's 5 best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and 6 7 (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the 8 parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of 9 this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection 10 order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within 11 12 the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), 13 (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this 14 subsection apply.
  - (3) Any person may petition the court for visitation rights at any time including, but not limited to, custody proceedings. The court may order visitation rights for any person when visitation may serve the best interest of the child whether or not there has been any change of circumstances.
  - (4) The court may modify an order granting or denying visitation rights whenever modification would serve the best interests of the child. Modification of a parent's visitation rights shall be subject to the requirements of subsection (2) of this section.
    - (5) For the purposes of this section:

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

- (a) "A parent's child" means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild; and
- 27 (b) "Social worker" means a person with a master's or further 28 advanced degree from a social work educational program accredited and 29 approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.

--- END ---

p. 21 SB 6640