H-3072.7			

HOUSE BILL 2282

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2012 Regular Session

By Representatives Angel, Haler, Rodne, Buys, McCune, and Overstreet Read first time 01/11/12. Referred to Committee on Local Government.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to eliminating the growth management hearings board; amending RCW 36.70A.110, 36.70A.140, 36.70A.172, 36.70A.210, 2. 36.70A.290, 36.70A.310, 36.70A.320, 36.70A.3201, 36.70A.340, 3 36.70A.345, and 82.46.030; reenacting and amending RCW 36.70A.130 and 4 43.21B.005; adding a new section to chapter 36.70A RCW; creating a new 5 6 section; repealing RCW 36.70A.250, 36.70A.252, 36.70A.260, 36.70A.270, 7 36.70A.280, 36.70A.295, 36.70A.300, 36.70A.302, 36.70A.305, 36.70A.330, 36.70A.335, and 36.70A.903; and providing an effective date. 8
- 9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

12 13

14

15

16

1718

19

- 10 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70A.110 and 2010 c 211 s 1 are each amended to read 11 as follows:
 - (1) Each county that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 shall designate an urban growth area or areas within which urban growth shall be encouraged and outside of which growth can occur only if it is not urban in nature. Each city that is located in such a county shall be included within an urban growth area. An urban growth area may include more than a single city. An urban growth area may include territory that is located outside of a city only if such territory already is characterized by urban growth whether or not the

p. 1 HB 2282

urban growth area includes a city, or is adjacent to territory already characterized by urban growth, or is a designated new fully contained community as defined by RCW 36.70A.350.

(2) Based upon the growth management population projection made for the county by the office of financial management, the county and each city within the county shall include areas and densities sufficient to permit the urban growth that is projected to occur in the county or city for the succeeding twenty-year period, except for those urban growth areas contained totally within a national historical reserve. As part of this planning process, each city within the county must include areas sufficient to accommodate the broad range of needs and uses that will accompany the projected urban growth including, as appropriate, medical, governmental, institutional, commercial, service, retail, and other nonresidential uses.

Each urban growth area shall permit urban densities and shall include greenbelt and open space areas. In the case of urban growth areas contained totally within a national historical reserve, the city may restrict densities, intensities, and forms of urban growth as determined to be necessary and appropriate to protect the physical, cultural, or historic integrity of the reserve. An urban growth area determination may include a reasonable land market supply factor and shall permit a range of urban densities and uses. In determining this market factor, cities and counties may consider local circumstances. Cities and counties have discretion in their comprehensive plans to make many choices about accommodating growth.

Within one year of July 1, 1990, each county that as of June 1, 1991, was required or chose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040, shall begin consulting with each city located within its boundaries and each city shall propose the location of an urban growth area. Within sixty days of the date the county legislative authority of a county adopts its resolution of intention or of certification by the office of financial management, all other counties that are required or choose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 shall begin this consultation with each city located within its boundaries. The county shall attempt to reach agreement with each city on the location of an urban growth area within which the city is located. If such an agreement is not reached with each city located within the urban growth area, the county shall justify in writing why it so designated the area an urban growth area.

A city may object formally with the department over the designation of the urban growth area within which it is located. Where appropriate, the department shall attempt to resolve the conflicts, including the use of mediation services.

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

2930

31

3233

3435

36

37

38

- (3) Urban growth should be located first in areas already characterized by urban growth that have adequate existing public facility and service capacities to serve such development, second in areas already characterized by urban growth that will be served adequately by a combination of both existing public facilities and services and any additional needed public facilities and services that are provided by either public or private sources, and third in the remaining portions of the urban growth areas. Urban growth may also be located in designated new fully contained communities as defined by RCW 36.70A.350.
- (4) In general, cities are the units of local government most appropriate to provide urban governmental services. In general, it is not appropriate that urban governmental services be extended to or expanded in rural areas except in those limited circumstances shown to be necessary to protect basic public health and safety and the environment and when such services are financially supportable at rural densities and do not permit urban development.
- (5) On or before October 1, 1993, each county that was initially required to plan under RCW 36.70A.040(1) shall adopt development regulations designating interim urban growth areas under this chapter. Within three years and three months of the date the county legislative authority of a county adopts its resolution of intention or of certification by the office of financial management, all other counties that are required or choose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 shall adopt development regulations designating interim urban growth areas under this chapter. Adoption of the interim urban growth areas may only occur after public notice; public hearing; and compliance with the state environmental policy act, chapter 43.21C RCW, and under this Such action may be appealed to ((the growth management hearings board under RCW 36.70A.280)) superior court. Final urban growth areas shall be adopted at the time of comprehensive plan adoption under this chapter.
- (6) Each county shall include designations of urban growth areas in its comprehensive plan.

p. 3 HB 2282

- 1 (7) An urban growth area designated in accordance with this section 2 may include within its boundaries urban service areas or potential 3 annexation areas designated for specific cities or towns within the 4 county.
 - (8)(a) Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, the expansion of an urban growth area is prohibited into the one hundred year floodplain of any river or river segment that: (i) Is located west of the crest of the Cascade mountains; and (ii) has a mean annual flow of one thousand or more cubic feet per second as determined by the department of ecology.
 - (b) Subsection (8)(a) of this section does not apply to:
- 12 (i) Urban growth areas that are fully contained within a floodplain 13 and lack adjacent buildable areas outside the floodplain;
- 14 (ii) Urban growth areas where expansions are precluded outside 15 floodplains because:
 - (A) Urban governmental services cannot be physically provided to serve areas outside the floodplain; or
 - (B) Expansions outside the floodplain would require a river or estuary crossing to access the expansion; or
 - (iii) Urban growth area expansions where:

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

- (A) Public facilities already exist within the floodplain and the expansion of an existing public facility is only possible on the land to be included in the urban growth area and located within the floodplain; or
- (B) Urban development already exists within a floodplain as of July 26, 2009, and is adjacent to, but outside of, the urban growth area, and the expansion of the urban growth area is necessary to include such urban development within the urban growth area; or
- (C) The land is owned by a jurisdiction planning under this chapter or the rights to the development of the land have been permanently extinguished, and the following criteria are met:
- (I) The permissible use of the land is limited to one of the following: Outdoor recreation; environmentally beneficial projects, including but not limited to habitat enhancement or environmental restoration; storm water facilities; flood control facilities; or underground conveyances; and
- 37 (II) The development and use of such facilities or projects will

not decrease flood storage, increase storm water runoff, discharge pollutants to fresh or salt waters during normal operations or floods, or increase hazards to people and property.

- (c) For the purposes of this subsection (8), "one hundred year floodplain" means the same as "special flood hazard area" as set forth in WAC 173-158-040 as it exists on July 26, 2009.
- Sec. 2. RCW 36.70A.130 and 2011 c 360 s 16 and 2011 c 353 s 2 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
 - (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.
 - (b) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons therefor.
 - (c) The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-year population forecast by the office of financial management.
 - (d) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.
 - (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW

p. 5 HB 2282

- 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year. "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section or in accordance with the provisions of subsection (6) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
 - (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan. Subarea plans adopted under this subsection (2)(a)(i) must clarify, supplement, or implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW;
 - (ii) The development of an initial subarea plan for economic development located outside of the one hundred year floodplain in a county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;
 - (iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;
 - (iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget; or
 - (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.031(2), provided that amendments are considered in accordance with the public participation program established by the county or city under this subsection (2)(a) and all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.
 - (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the ((growth management hearings board or with the)) court.

HB 2282 p. 6

(3)(a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, according to the schedules established in subsection (5) of this section, its designated urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.

- (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- (4) Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- (a) On or before December 1, 2004, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
- (b) On or before December 1, 2005, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within those counties;
- (c) On or before December 1, 2006, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
- 31 (d) On or before December 1, 2007, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, 32 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, 33 Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman 34 counties and the cities within those counties.
 - (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section, counties and

p. 7 HB 2282

cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:

- (a) On or before June 30, 2015, and every eight years thereafter, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities within those counties;
- (b) On or before June 30, 2016, and every eight years thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
- (c) On or before June 30, 2017, and every eight years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
- (d) On or before June 30, 2018, and every eight years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
- (6)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before the deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.
- (b) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.
- (c) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either

no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.

- (d) A county or city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(d) of this section and that meets the criteria established in subsection (6)(b) or (c) of this section may comply with the requirements of subsection (4)(d) of this section at any time within the thirty-six months after the extension provided in subsection (6)(b) or (c) of this section.
- (e) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
- (f) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (5)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this section as of that date.
- (g) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, comprehensive plans, and development regulations.
- (7)(a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70.146 RCW:
 - (i) Complying with the deadlines in this section;
- (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas; or

p. 9 HB 2282

1 (iii) Complying with the extension provisions of subsection (6)(b), 2 (c), or (d) of this section.

- (b) A county or city that is fewer than twelve months out of compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial progress towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section may receive preference for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.
- (8)(a) Except as otherwise provided in (c) of this subsection, if a participating watershed is achieving benchmarks and goals for the protection of critical areas functions and values, the county is not required to update development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in that watershed.
- (b) A county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) may only adopt or amend development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed if:
- (i) A work plan has been approved for that watershed in accordance with RCW 36.70A.725;
- (ii) The local watershed group for that watershed has requested the county to adopt or amend development regulations as part of a work plan developed under RCW 36.70A.720;
- (iii) The adoption or amendment of the development regulations is necessary to enable the county to respond to an order of the ((growth management hearings board or)) court;
- (iv) The adoption or amendment of development regulations is necessary to address a threat to human health or safety; or
 - (v) Three or more years have elapsed since the receipt of funding.
- (c) Beginning ten years from the date of receipt of funding, a county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) must review and, if necessary, revise development regulations to protect critical areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a participating watershed in accordance with the review and revision requirements and timeline in subsection (5) of this section. This subsection (8)(c) does not apply to a participating watershed that has determined under RCW 36.70A.720(2)(c)(ii) that the watershed's goals and benchmarks for protection have been met.

1 **Sec. 3.** RCW 36.70A.140 and 1995 c 347 s 107 are each amended to 2 read as follows:

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

1314

15

16 17

18 19

20

2324

25

26

27

2829

3031

32

33

36

Each county and city that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program identifying procedures providing for early and continuous public participation in the development and amendment of comprehensive land use plans and development regulations implementing The procedures shall provide for broad dissemination of proposals and alternatives, opportunity for written comments, public meetings after effective notice, provision for open discussion, communication programs, information services, and consideration of and response to public comments. In enacting legislation in response to ((the board's decision pursuant to RCW 36.70A.300)) a court's decision declaring part or all of a comprehensive plan or development regulation invalid, the county or city shall provide for public participation that is appropriate and effective under the circumstances presented by the ((board's)) <u>court's</u> order. Errors in exact compliance with the established program and procedures shall not render the comprehensive land use plan or development regulations invalid if the spirit of the program and procedures is observed.

21 **Sec. 4.** RCW 36.70A.172 and 2010 c 211 s 3 are each amended to read 22 as follows:

 $((\frac{1}{1}))$ In designating and protecting critical areas under this chapter, counties and cities shall include the best available science in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas. In addition, counties and cities shall give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries.

(((2) If it determines that advice from scientific or other experts is necessary or will be of substantial assistance in reaching its decision, the growth management hearings board may retain scientific or other expert advice to assist in reviewing a petition under RCW 36.70A.290 that involves critical areas.))

- 34 **Sec. 5.** RCW 36.70A.210 and 2009 c 121 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The legislature recognizes that counties are regional

p. 11 HB 2282

governments within their boundaries, and cities are primary providers of urban governmental services within urban growth areas. For the purposes of this section, a "countywide planning policy" is a written policy statement or statements used solely for establishing a countywide framework from which county and city comprehensive plans are developed and adopted pursuant to this chapter. This framework shall ensure that city and county comprehensive plans are consistent as required in RCW 36.70A.100. Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter the land-use powers of cities.

- (2) The legislative authority of a county that plans under RCW 36.70A.040 shall adopt a countywide planning policy in cooperation with the cities located in whole or in part within the county as follows:
- (a) No later than sixty calendar days from July 16, 1991, the legislative authority of each county that as of June 1, 1991, was required or chose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 shall convene a meeting with representatives of each city located within the county for the purpose of establishing a collaborative process that will provide a framework for the adoption of a countywide planning policy. In other counties that are required or choose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040, this meeting shall be convened no later than sixty days after the date the county adopts its resolution of intention or was certified by the office of financial management.
- (b) The process and framework for adoption of a countywide planning policy specified in (a) of this subsection shall determine the manner in which the county and the cities agree to all procedures and provisions including but not limited to desired planning policies, deadlines, ratification of final agreements and demonstration thereof, and financing, if any, of all activities associated therewith.
- (c) If a county fails for any reason to convene a meeting with representatives of cities as required in (a) of this subsection, the governor may immediately impose any appropriate sanction or sanctions on the county from those specified under RCW 36.70A.340.
- (d) If there is no agreement by October 1, 1991, in a county that was required or chose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 as of June 1, 1991, or if there is no agreement within one hundred twenty days of the date the county adopted its resolution of intention or was certified by the office of financial management in any other county that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040, the governor shall first inquire

of the jurisdictions as to the reason or reasons for failure to reach 1 2 If the governor deems it appropriate, the governor may 3 immediately request the assistance of the department of ((community, 4 trade, and economic development)) commerce to mediate any disputes that preclude agreement. If mediation is unsuccessful in resolving all 5 disputes that will lead to agreement, the governor may impose 6 7 appropriate sanctions from those specified under RCW 36.70A.340 on the 8 county, city, or cities for failure to reach an agreement as provided in this section. The governor shall specify the reason or reasons for 9 10 the imposition of any sanction.

((\(\frac{(+)}{(+)}\)) (d) No later than July 1, 1992, the legislative authority of each county that was required or chose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 as of June 1, 1991, or no later than fourteen months after the date the county adopted its resolution of intention or was certified by the office of financial management the county legislative authority of any other county that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040, shall adopt a countywide planning policy according to the process provided under this section and that is consistent with the agreement pursuant to (b) of this subsection, and after holding a public hearing or hearings on the proposed countywide planning policy.

- 21 (3) A countywide planning policy shall at a minimum, address the 22 following:
 - (a) Policies to implement RCW 36.70A.110;

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

23

26

27

28

3132

33

- 24 (b) Policies for promotion of contiguous and orderly development 25 and provision of urban services to such development;
 - (c) Policies for siting public capital facilities of a countywide or statewide nature, including transportation facilities of statewide significance as defined in RCW 47.06.140;
- 29 (d) Policies for countywide transportation facilities and 30 strategies;
 - (e) Policies that consider the need for affordable housing, such as housing for all economic segments of the population and parameters for its distribution;
- 34 (f) Policies for joint county and city planning within urban growth 35 areas;
- 36 (g) Policies for countywide economic development and employment,
 37 which must include consideration of the future development of
 38 commercial and industrial facilities; and

p. 13 HB 2282

(h) An analysis of the fiscal impact.

- (4) Federal agencies and Indian tribes may participate in and cooperate with the countywide planning policy adoption process. Adopted countywide planning policies shall be adhered to by state agencies.
- (5) Failure to adopt a countywide planning policy that meets the requirements of this section may result in the imposition of a sanction or sanctions on a county or city within the county, as specified in RCW 36.70A.340. In imposing a sanction or sanctions, the governor shall specify the reasons for failure to adopt a countywide planning policy in order that any imposed sanction or sanctions are fairly and equitably related to the failure to adopt a countywide planning policy.
- (6) Cities and the governor may appeal an adopted countywide planning policy to ((the growth management hearings board)) superior court within sixty days of the adoption of the countywide planning policy.
- (7) Multicounty planning policies shall be adopted by two or more counties, each with a population of four hundred fifty thousand or more, with contiguous urban areas and may be adopted by other counties, according to the process established under this section or other processes agreed to among the counties and cities within the affected counties throughout the multicounty region.
- **Sec. 6.** RCW 36.70A.290 and 2011 c 277 s 1 are each amended to read 24 as follows:
 - (1) All requests for review to the ((growth management hearings board)) superior court shall be initiated by filing a petition that includes a detailed statement of issues presented for resolution by the ((board)) court. ((The board shall render written decisions articulating the basis for its holdings. The board shall not issue advisory opinions on issues not presented to the board in the statement of issues, as modified by any prehearing order)) The superior court shall hear and determine petitions filed under this section alleging either that:
- (a) A state agency, county, or city planning under this chapter is
 not in compliance with the requirements of this chapter or chapter
 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption of local shoreline master
 programs or program amendments; or

(b) The approval of a work plan adopted under RCW 36.70A.735(1)(a) is not in compliance with the requirements of the programs established under RCW 36.70A.710; or

- (c) A department certification under RCW 36.70A.735(1)(c) is erroneous.
- (2) A petition may be filed only by (a) the state or a county or city that plans under this chapter, or (b) a person qualified pursuant to RCW 34.05.530.
- (3) All petitions relating to whether or not an adopted comprehensive plan, development regulation, or permanent amendment thereto, is in compliance with the goals and requirements of this chapter or chapter 90.58 or 43.21C RCW must be filed within sixty days after publication as provided in (a) through (c) of this subsection.
- (a) Except as provided in (c) of this subsection, the date of publication for a city shall be the date the city publishes the ordinance, or summary of the ordinance, adopting the comprehensive plan or development regulations, or amendment thereto, as is required to be published.
- (b) Promptly after adoption, a county shall publish a notice that it has adopted the comprehensive plan or development regulations, or amendment thereto.

Except as provided in (c) of this subsection, for purposes of this section the date of publication for a county shall be the date the county publishes the notice that it has adopted the comprehensive plan or development regulations, or amendment thereto.

- (c) For local governments planning under RCW 36.70A.040, promptly after approval or disapproval of a local government's shoreline master program or amendment thereto by the department of ecology as provided in RCW 90.58.090, the department of ecology shall publish a notice that the shoreline master program or amendment thereto has been approved or disapproved. For purposes of this section, the date of publication for the adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program is the date the department of ecology publishes notice that the shoreline master program or amendment thereto has been approved or disapproved.
- (((3) Unless the board dismisses the petition as frivolous or finds that the person filing the petition lacks standing, or the parties have filed an agreement to have the case heard in superior court as provided

p. 15 HB 2282

in RCW 36.70A.295, the board shall, within ten days of receipt of the petition, set a time for hearing the matter.

- (4) The board shall base its decision on the record developed by the city, county, or the state and supplemented with additional evidence if the board determines that such additional evidence would be necessary or of substantial assistance to the board in reaching its decision.
- 8 (5))) (4) The ((board)) court, shall consolidate, when appropriate,
 9 all petitions involving the review of the same comprehensive plan or
 10 the same development regulation or regulations.
- **Sec. 7.** RCW 36.70A.310 and 2010 c 211 s 11 are each amended to 12 read as follows:

A request for review by the state to the ((growth management hearings board)) superior court may be made only by the governor, or with the governor's consent the head of an agency, or by the commissioner of public lands as relating to state trust lands, for the review of whether: (1) A county or city that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 has failed to adopt a comprehensive plan or development regulations, or countywide planning policies within the time limits established by this chapter; or (2) a county or city that is required or chooses to plan under this chapter has adopted a comprehensive plan, development regulations, or countywide planning policies, that are not in compliance with the requirements of this chapter.

- Sec. 8. RCW 36.70A.320 and 1997 c 429 s 20 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) ((Except as provided in subsection (5) of this section,))
 Comprehensive plans and development regulations, and amendments
 thereto, adopted under this chapter are presumed valid upon adoption.

 The shoreline element of a comprehensive plan and the applicable
 development regulations adopted by a county or city take effect as
 provided in chapter 90.58 RCW.
- (2) ((Except as otherwise provided in subsection (4) of this section,)) The burden is on the petitioner to demonstrate that any action taken by a state agency, county, or city under this chapter is not in compliance with the requirements of this chapter.

(3) In any petition under ((this chapter)) RCW 36.70A.290, the ((board)) court, after full consideration of the petition, shall determine whether there is compliance with the requirements of this chapter. In making its determination, the ((board)) court shall consider the ((criteria adopted by the department under RCW 36.70A.190(4))) statutory requirements of this chapter. The ((board)) court shall find compliance unless it determines that the action by the state agency, county, or city is clearly erroneous in view of the entire record before the ((board)) court and in light of the goals and requirements of this chapter.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

3536

37

- (4) ((A county or city subject to a determination of invalidity made under RCW 36.70A.300 or 36.70A.302 has the burden of demonstrating that the ordinance or resolution it has enacted in response to the determination of invalidity will no longer substantially interfere with the fulfillment of the goals of this chapter under the standard in RCW 36.70A.302(1).
- (5) The shoreline element of a comprehensive plan and the applicable development regulations adopted by a county or city shall take effect as provided in chapter 90.58 RCW.)) In reviewing a petition under RCW 36.70A.290, the court shall issue a final order based exclusively on whether or not a state agency, county, or city is in compliance with the requirements of this chapter or chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption or amendment of shoreline master programs. In the final order, the court may find either that (a) the state agency, county, or city is in compliance with the requirements of this chapter or chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption or amendment of the shoreline master programs, or (b) the state agency, county, or city is not in compliance with the requirements of this chapter or chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption or amendment of the shoreline master programs, in which case the court shall remand the matter to the affected state agency, county, or city. The court shall specify a reasonable time not in excess of one hundred eighty days, or such longer period as determined by the court in cases of unusual scope or complexity, within which the state agency, county, or city shall comply with the requirements of this chapter. The court may require periodic reports to the court on the progress the jurisdiction is making towards compliance.

p. 17 HB 2282

(5) The court may determine that part or all of a comprehensive plan or development regulation is invalid if the court makes a finding of noncompliance and issues an order of remand under subsection (4) of this section. The final order must specify the particular part or parts of a plan or regulation that are determined to be invalid, and the reasons for their invalidity.

- (6) Unless the court makes a determination of invalidity under subsection (5) of this section, a finding of noncompliance and an order of remand shall not affect the validity of comprehensive plans and development regulations during the period of remand.
- (7) If the ordinance that adopts a plan or development regulation under this chapter includes a savings clause intended to revive prior policies or regulations in the event the new plan or regulations are determined to be invalid, the court shall determine under subsection (5) of this section whether the prior policies or regulations are valid during the period of remand.
- (8) A determination of invalidity is prospective in effect and does not extinguish rights that vested under state or local laws before receipt of the court's order by the city or county. A determination of invalidity does not apply to a completed development permit application for a project that vested under state or local law before receipt of the court's order by the county or city or to related construction permits for that project.
- (9)(a) A development permit application not vested under state or local law before receipt of the court's order by the county or city vests to the local ordinance or resolution that is determined by the court not to substantially interfere with the fulfillment of the goals of this chapter.
- (b) Even though the application is not vested under state or local law before receipt by the county or city of the court's order, a determination of invalidity does not apply to a development permit application for:
- (i) A permit for construction by any owner, lessee, or contract
 purchaser of a single-family residence of his or her own use or for the
 use of his or her family on a lot existing before receipt of the county
 or city of the court's order, except as otherwise specifically provided
 in the court order to protect the public health and safety;

(ii) A building permit and related construction permits for remodeling, tenant improvements, or expansion of an existing structure on a lot existing before receipt of the board's order by the county or city; or

- (iii) A boundary line adjustment or a division of land that does not increase the number of buildable lots existing before receipt of the court's order by the county or city.
 - (10) A county or city subject to a determination of invalidity may adopt interim controls and other measures to be in effect until it adopts a comprehensive plan and development regulations that comply with the requirements of this chapter. A development permit application may vest under an interim control or measure.
- (11) After the time set for complying with the requirements of this chapter under subsection (4) of this section has expired, the court shall set a hearing for the purpose of determining whether the state agency, county, or city is in compliance with the requirements of this chapter. After a hearing, if the court finds that the state agency, city, or county is not in compliance, the court shall issue its findings in writing.
- 20 (12) Any party aggrieved by a final decision of the superior court
 21 issued pursuant to this section may appeal the decision in accordance
 22 with court rules.
- **Sec. 9.** RCW 36.70A.3201 and 2010 c 211 s 12 are each amended to 24 read as follows:

The legislature intends that the ((board)) superior court applies a more deferential standard of review to actions of counties and cities than the preponderance of the evidence standard provided for under existing law. In recognition of the broad range of discretion that may be exercised by counties and cities consistent with the requirements of this chapter, the legislature intends for the ((board)) courts to grant deference to counties and cities in how they plan for growth, consistent with the requirements and goals of this chapter. Local comprehensive plans and development regulations require counties and cities to balance priorities and options for action in full consideration of local circumstances. The legislature finds that while this chapter requires local planning to take place within a framework

p. 19 HB 2282

- 1 of state goals and requirements, the ultimate burden and responsibility
- 2 for planning, harmonizing the planning goals of this chapter, and
- 3 implementing a county's or city's future rests with that community.

Sec. 10. RCW 36.70A.340 and 2011 c 120 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

Upon receipt ((from the board)) of the growth management hearings board's finding or a court's finding that a state agency, county, or city is in noncompliance under RCW ((36.70A.330)) 36.70A.320(11), or as a result of failure to meet the requirements of RCW 36.70A.210, the governor may either:

- (1) Notify and direct the director of the office of financial management to revise allotments in appropriation levels;
- (2) Notify and direct the state treasurer to withhold the portion of revenues to which the county or city is entitled under one or more of the following: The motor vehicle fuel tax, as provided in chapter 82.36 RCW; the transportation improvement account, as provided in RCW 47.26.084; the rural arterial trust account, as provided in RCW 36.79.150; the sales and use tax, as provided in chapter 82.14 RCW; the liquor profit tax, as provided in RCW 66.08.190; and the liquor excise tax, as provided in RCW 82.08.170; or
- 21 (3) File a notice of noncompliance with the secretary of state and 22 the county or city, which shall temporarily rescind the county or 23 city's authority to collect the real estate excise tax under RCW 24 82.46.030 until the governor files a notice rescinding the notice of 25 noncompliance.
- **Sec. 11.** RCW 36.70A.345 and 2010 c 211 s 13 are each amended to read as follows:

The governor may impose a sanction or sanctions specified under RCW 36.70A.340 on: (1) A county or city that fails to designate critical areas, agricultural lands, forest lands, or mineral resource lands under RCW 36.70A.170 by the date such action was required to have been taken; (2) a county or city that fails to adopt development regulations under RCW 36.70A.060 protecting critical areas or conserving agricultural lands, forest lands, or mineral resource lands by the date such action was required to have been taken; (3) a county that fails to designate urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 by the date such

action was required to have been taken; and (4) a county or city that fails to adopt its comprehensive plan or development regulations when such actions are required to be taken.

Imposition of a sanction or sanctions under this section shall be preceded by written findings by the governor, that either the county or city is not proceeding in good faith to meet the requirements of the act; or that the county or city has unreasonably delayed taking the required action. ((The governor shall consult with and communicate his or her findings to the growth management hearings board prior to imposing the sanction or sanctions.)) For those counties or cities that are not required to plan or have not opted in, the governor in imposing sanctions shall consider the size of the jurisdiction relative to the requirements of this chapter and the degree of technical and financial assistance provided.

- **Sec. 12.** RCW 82.46.030 and 2000 c 103 s 17 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The county treasurer shall place one percent of the proceeds of the taxes imposed under this chapter in the county current expense fund to defray costs of collection.
 - (2) The remaining proceeds from the county tax under RCW 82.46.010(2) shall be placed in a county capital improvements fund. The remaining proceeds from city or town taxes under RCW 82.46.010(2) shall be distributed to the respective cities and towns monthly and placed by the city treasurer in a municipal capital improvements fund.
 - (3) This section does not limit the existing authority of any city, town, or county to impose special assessments on property specially benefited thereby in the manner prescribed by law.
 - (4) This section is subject to RCW 36.70A.345.
- **Sec. 13.** RCW 43.21B.005 and 2010 c 210 s 4 and 2010 1st sp.s. c 7 30 s 39 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
- 31 (1) There is created an environmental and land use hearings office 32 of the state of Washington. The environmental and land use hearings 33 office consists of the pollution control hearings board created in RCW 34 43.21B.010((τ)) and the shorelines hearings board created in RCW 35 90.58.170((τ)) and the growth management hearings board created in RCW 36.70A.250. The governor shall designate one of the members of the

p. 21 HB 2282

pollution control hearings board or growth management hearings board to be the director of the environmental and land use hearings office during the term of the governor)). Membership, powers, functions, and duties of the pollution control hearings board((¬)) and the shorelines hearings board((¬ and the growth management hearings board)) shall be as provided by law.

- (2) The director of the environmental and land use hearings office may appoint one or more administrative appeals judges in cases before the environmental boards and((, with the consent of the chair of the growth management hearings board,)) one or more hearing examiners in cases before the land use board comprising the office. The administrative appeals judges shall possess the powers and duties conferred by the administrative procedure act, chapter 34.05 RCW, have a demonstrated knowledge of environmental law, and shall be admitted to the practice of law in the state of Washington. ((The hearing examiners possess the powers and duties provided for in RCW 36.70A.270.))
- (3) Administrative appeals judges are not subject to chapter 41.06 RCW. The administrative appeals judges appointed under subsection (2) of this section are subject to discipline and termination, for cause, by the director of the environmental and land use hearings office. Upon written request by the person so disciplined or terminated, the director of the environmental and land use hearings office shall state the reasons for such action in writing. The person affected has a right of review by the superior court of Thurston county on petition for reinstatement or other remedy filed within thirty days of receipt of such written reasons.
- (4) The director of the environmental and land use hearings office may appoint, discharge, and fix the compensation of such administrative or clerical staff as may be necessary.
- 31 (5) The director of the environmental and land use hearings office 32 may also contract for required services.
- 33 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 14.** The following acts or parts of acts are each repealed:
- 35 (1) RCW 36.70A.250 (Growth management hearings board--Creation--36 Members) and 2010 c 211 s 4, 1994 c 249 s 29, & 1991 sp.s. c 32 s 5;

1 (2) RCW 36.70A.252 (Growth management hearings board--Consolidation into environmental and land use hearings office) and 2010 c 210 s 15;

3 4

5

6

7

2829

30

31

32

3334

35

36

- (3) RCW 36.70A.260 (Growth management hearings board--Regional panels) and 2010 c 211 s 5, 1994 c 249 s 30, & 1991 sp.s. c 32 s 6;
- (4) RCW 36.70A.270 (Growth management hearings board--Conduct, procedure, and compensation) and 2010 c 211 s 6, 2010 c 210 s 16, 1997 c 429 s 11, 1996 c 325 s 1, 1994 c 257 s 1, & 1991 sp.s. c 32 s 7;
- 8 (5) RCW 36.70A.280 (Growth management hearings board--Matters 9 subject to review) and 2011 c 360 s 17, 2010 c 211 s 7, 2008 c 289 s 5, 10 2003 c 332 s 2, 1996 c 325 s 2, 1995 c 347 s 108, 1994 c 249 s 31, & 11 1991 sp.s. c 32 s 9;
- 12 (6) RCW 36.70A.295 (Growth management hearings board--Direct judicial review) and 2010 c 211 s 9 & 1997 c 429 s 13;
- 14 (7) RCW 36.70A.300 (Final orders) and 1997 c 429 s 14, 1995 c 347 s 110, & 1991 sp.s. c 32 s 11;
- 16 (8) RCW 36.70A.302 (Growth management hearings board--Determination 17 of invalidity--Vesting of development permits--Interim controls) and 18 2010 c 211 s 10 & 1997 c 429 s 16;
- 19 (9) RCW 36.70A.305 (Expedited review) and 1996 c 325 s 4;
- 20 (10) RCW 36.70A.330 (Noncompliance) and 1997 c 429 s 21, 1995 c 347 21 s 112, & 1991 sp.s. c 32 s 14;
- 22 (11) RCW 36.70A.335 (Order of invalidity issued before July 27, 23 1997) and 1997 c 429 s 22; and
- 24 (12) RCW 36.70A.903 (Transfer of powers, duties, and functions) and 25 2010 c 210 s 43.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 15. A new section is added to chapter 36.70A RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) The growth management hearings board may not accept any new petitions after the effective date of this section. The board must issue a final order or dismissal of a case without prejudice in all of its cases on or before June 30, 2012. For the purposes of the board's review of all petitions received on or before the effective date of this section, the applicable provisions of chapter 36.70 RCW in effect on January 1, 2012, govern, except that the board's authority with regard to petitions ceases the effective date of section 16 of this act.

p. 23 HB 2282

(2) The superior court may not review petitions remanded to a state agency, county, or city by the hearings board if the hearings board did not review the petition after remand.

- (3) Any party aggrieved by a final decision of the hearings board may appeal the decision to superior court as provided in RCW 34.05.514 or 36.01.050 within thirty days of the final order of the board.
- (4) For the purpose of tolling any statute of limitations, an action under RCW 36.70A.290 is deemed commenced when a petition is filed with the growth management hearings board. However, (a) the petition must have been pending with the board on the effective date of this section and must have been dismissed without prejudice by the board; and (b) within thirty days of receipt of the order of dismissal by the board, the petition must have been filed in superior court on the same grounds as were brought before the board.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 16. (1) The growth management hearings board is abolished.
 - (2) All reports, documents, surveys, books, records, files, papers, or written material in the possession of the board must be delivered to the custody of the department of enterprise services for appropriate disbursement or to the appropriate party if there is evidence related to a pending case. All office furnishings, office equipment, motor vehicles, and other tangible property in the possession of the board must be transferred to the department of enterprise services.
 - (3) All funds, credits, or other assets held by the growth management hearings board must, on the effective date of this section, be transferred to the office of financial management. If any question arises as to the transfer of any funds, books, documents, records, papers, files, equipment, or other tangible property used or held by the growth management hearings board, the director of financial management shall make a determination as to the proper allocation and certify the same to the state agencies concerned.
 - (4) All existing contracts and obligations must be terminated or transferred to the office of financial management.
 - (5) All cases decided and all orders previously issued by the growth management hearings board remain in full force and effect and are not affected by this act.

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 17. Sections 13, 14, and 16 of this act take effect July 1, 2012.
 - --- END ---

p. 25 HB 2282