H-3079.1	

HOUSE BILL 2162

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2011 2nd Special Session

By Representatives Takko, Angel, Rivers, Blake, Springer, and Dahlquist

Read first time 12/09/11. Referred to Committee on Local Government.

- AN ACT Relating to appeal and permit procedures under the shoreline management act; amending RCW 90.58.140; and creating a new section.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature finds that the public 5 interest is served by facilitating development outside shoreland areas protected by the shoreline management act, chapter 90.58 RCW. 6 legislature further finds that the decision in Merkel v. Port of Brownsville, 8 Wn. App. 844; 509 P.2d 390 (1973), impedes development 8 9 by delaying construction outside shoreland areas, when such construction is part of a project with elements under appeal to the 10 shorelines hearings board. Therefore, it is the intent of the 11 12 legislature to expressly authorize the commencement of development activity outside the shoreland area before final action has been taken 13 on a related shorelines appeal, as long as the local government finds 14 15 that the work is not inconsistent with any requirement of the 16 applicable shorelines master plan.
- 17 **Sec. 2.** RCW 90.58.140 and 2011 c 277 s 3 are each amended to read 18 as follows:

p. 1 HB 2162

- 1 (1) A development shall not be undertaken on the shorelines of the 2 state unless it is consistent with the policy of this chapter and, 3 after adoption or approval, as appropriate, the applicable guidelines, 4 rules, or master program.
 - (2) A substantial development shall not be undertaken on shorelines of the state without first obtaining a permit from the government entity having administrative jurisdiction under this chapter.

A permit shall be granted:

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

3132

33

- (a) From June 1, 1971, until such time as an applicable master program has become effective, only when the development proposed is consistent with: (i) The policy of RCW 90.58.020; and (ii) after their adoption, the guidelines and rules of the department; and (iii) so far as can be ascertained, the master program being developed for the area;
- (b) After adoption or approval, as appropriate, by the department of an applicable master program, only when the development proposed is consistent with the applicable master program and this chapter.
- (3) The local government shall establish a program, consistent with rules adopted by the department, for the administration and enforcement of the permit system provided in this section. The administration of the system so established shall be performed exclusively by the local government.
- (4) Except as otherwise specifically provided in subsection (11) of this section, the local government shall require notification of the public of all applications for permits governed by any permit system established pursuant to subsection (3) of this section by ensuring that notice of the application is given by at least one of the following methods:
- (a) Mailing of the notice to the latest recorded real property owners as shown by the records of the county assessor within at least three hundred feet of the boundary of the property upon which the substantial development is proposed;
- (b) Posting of the notice in a conspicuous manner on the property upon which the project is to be constructed; or
- 34 (c) Any other manner deemed appropriate by local authorities to 35 accomplish the objectives of reasonable notice to adjacent landowners 36 and the public.
- 37 The notices shall include a statement that any person desiring to 38 submit written comments concerning an application, or desiring to

HB 2162 p. 2

receive notification of the final decision concerning an application as expeditiously as possible after the issuance of the decision, may submit the comments or requests for decisions to the local government within thirty days of the last date the notice is to be published pursuant to this subsection. The local government shall forward, in a timely manner following the issuance of a decision, a copy of the decision to each person who submits a request for the decision.

If a hearing is to be held on an application, notices of such a hearing shall include a statement that any person may submit oral or written comments on an application at the hearing.

- (5) The system shall include provisions to assure that construction pursuant to a permit will not begin or be authorized until twenty-one days from the date the permit decision was filed as provided in subsection (6) of this section; or until all review proceedings are terminated if the proceedings were initiated within twenty-one days from the date of filing as defined in subsection (6) of this section except as follows:
- (a) ((In the case of any permit issued to the state of Washington, department of transportation, for the construction and modification of SR 90 (I-90) on or adjacent to Lake Washington, the construction may begin after thirty days from the date of filing, and the permits are valid until December 31, 1995;)) If an appeal is filed with the shorelines hearings board, construction outside of the shoreland area may be commenced in advance of final action on the appeal if the local government makes a written finding that the work is not inconsistent with any requirement of the applicable master program. Project construction that occurs under the authority of this section is done at the proponent's risk with the project proponent being responsible for meeting the requirements of the final permit decision after appeal;
- (b) Construction may be commenced no sooner than thirty days after the date of the appeal of the board's decision is filed if a permit is granted by the local government and (i) the granting of the permit is appealed to the shorelines hearings board within twenty-one days of the date of filing, (ii) the hearings board approves the granting of the permit by the local government or approves a portion of the substantial development for which the local government issued the permit, and (iii) an appeal for judicial review of the hearings board decision is filed pursuant to chapter 34.05 RCW. The appellant may request, within ten

p. 3 HB 2162

days of the filing of the appeal with the court, a hearing before the 1 2 court to determine whether construction pursuant to the permit approved by the hearings board or to a revised permit issued pursuant to the 3 order of the hearings board should not commence. If, at the conclusion 4 of the hearing, the court finds that construction pursuant to such a 5 permit would involve a significant, irreversible damaging of the 6 7 environment, the court shall prohibit the permittee from commencing the 8 construction pursuant to the approved or revised permit until all review proceedings are final. Construction pursuant to a permit 9 10 revised at the direction of the hearings board may begin only on that portion of the substantial development for which the local government 11 12 had originally issued the permit, and construction pursuant to such a 13 revised permit on other portions of the substantial development may not begin until after all review proceedings are terminated. 14 In such a 15 hearing before the court, the burden of proving whether the construction may involve significant irreversible damage to the 16 17 environment and demonstrating whether such construction would or would 18 not be appropriate is on the appellant;

(c) If the permit is for a substantial development meeting the requirements of subsection (11) of this section, construction pursuant to that permit may not begin or be authorized until twenty-one days from the date the permit decision was filed as provided in subsection (6) of this section.

If a permittee begins construction pursuant to (a), (b), or (c) of this subsection, the construction is begun at the permittee's own risk. If, as a result of judicial review, the courts order the removal of any portion of the construction or the restoration of any portion of the environment involved or require the alteration of any portion of a substantial development constructed pursuant to a permit, the permittee is barred from recovering damages or costs involved in adhering to such requirements from the local government that granted the permit, the hearings board, or any appellant or intervener.

(6) Any decision on an application for a permit under the authority of this section, whether it is an approval or a denial, shall, concurrently with the transmittal of the ruling to the applicant, be filed with the department and the attorney general. This shall be accomplished by return receipt requested mail. A petition for review

HB 2162 p. 4

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

3132

3334

35

36

37

of such a decision must be commenced within twenty-one days from the date of filing of the decision.

- (a) With regard to a permit other than a permit governed by subsection (10) of this section, "date of filing" as used in this section refers to the date of actual receipt by the department of the local government's decision.
- (b) With regard to a permit for a variance or a conditional use governed by subsection (10) of this section, "date of filing" means the date the decision of the department is transmitted by the department to the local government.
- (c) When a local government simultaneously transmits to the department its decision on a shoreline substantial development with its approval of either a shoreline conditional use permit or variance, or both, "date of filing" has the same meaning as defined in (b) of this subsection.
- (d) The department shall notify in writing the local government and the applicant of the date of filing by telephone or electronic means, followed by written communication as necessary, to ensure that the applicant has received the full written decision.
- (7) Applicants for permits under this section have the burden of proving that a proposed substantial development is consistent with the criteria that must be met before a permit is granted. In any review of the granting or denial of an application for a permit as provided in RCW 90.58.180 (1) and (2), the person requesting the review has the burden of proof.
- (8) Any permit may, after a hearing with adequate notice to the permittee and the public, be rescinded by the issuing authority upon the finding that a permittee has not complied with conditions of a permit. If the department is of the opinion that noncompliance exists, the department shall provide written notice to the local government and the permittee. If the department is of the opinion that the noncompliance continues to exist thirty days after the date of the notice, and the local government has taken no action to rescind the permit, the department may petition the hearings board for a rescission of the permit upon written notice of the petition to the local government and the permittee if the request by the department is made to the hearings board within fifteen days of the termination of the thirty-day notice to the local government.

p. 5 HB 2162

1 (9) The holder of a certification from the governor pursuant to 2 chapter 80.50 RCW shall not be required to obtain a permit under this 3 section.

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

25

26

27

- (10) Any permit for a variance or a conditional use issued with approval by a local government under their approved master program must be submitted to the department for its approval or disapproval.
- (11)(a) An application for a substantial development permit for a limited utility extension or for the construction of a bulkhead or other measures to protect a single-family residence and its appurtenant structures from shoreline erosion shall be subject to the following procedures:
- (i) The public comment period under subsection (4) of this section shall be twenty days. The notice provided under subsection (4) of this section shall state the manner in which the public may obtain a copy of the local government decision on the application no later than two days following its issuance;
- (ii) The local government shall issue its decision to grant or deny the permit within twenty-one days of the last day of the comment period specified in (a)(i) of this subsection; and
- (iii) If there is an appeal of the decision to grant or deny the permit to the local government legislative authority, the appeal shall be finally determined by the legislative authority within thirty days.
- 23 (b) For purposes of this section, a limited utility extension means 24 the extension of a utility service that:
 - (i) Is categorically exempt under chapter 43.21C RCW for one or more of the following: Natural gas, electricity, telephone, water, or sewer;
- 28 (ii) Will serve an existing use in compliance with this chapter; 29 and
- 30 (iii) Will not extend more than twenty-five hundred linear feet 31 within the shorelines of the state.

--- END ---

HB 2162 p. 6