SHB 1478 - H AMD **315**

By Representative Springer

ADOPTED 03/04/2011

Beginning on page 2, line 7, strike all of section 2 and insert the following:

- "Sec. 2. RCW 36.70A.130 and 2010 c 216 s 1 and 2010 c 211 s 2 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
- (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons therefor.
- (c) ((The review and evaluation required by this subsection may be combined with the review required by subsection (3) of this section.))

 The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-year population forecast by the office of financial management.

(d) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.

- (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year. "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section or in accordance with the provisions of subsection (6) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
- (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan. Subarea plans adopted under this subsection (2)(a)(i) must clarify, supplement, or implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW;
- (ii) The development of an initial subarea plan for economic development located outside of the one hundred year floodplain in a county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;
- (iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;
- (iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget; or
- (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.031(2), provided that amendments are considered in accordance with the public participation program established by the county or city under this subsection (2)(a) and all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the

cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained.

However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management hearings board or with the court.

- (3)(a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, ((at least every ten years)) according to the schedules established in subsection (5) of this section, its designated urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.
- (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- (4) Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- (a) On or before December 1, 2004, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
- 31 (b) On or before December 1, 2005, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, 32 Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within 33 those counties;
- 34 (c) On or before December 1, 2006, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, 35 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within 36 those counties; and
 - (d) On or before December 1, 2007, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia,

Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

- (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (6) of this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- 10 (a) On or before ((December 1, 2014)) June 30, 2015, and every ((seven)) ten years thereafter, for ((Clallam,)) Clark, ((Jefferson,)) and King((, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom)) counties and the cities within those counties;
- (b) On or before ((December 1, 2015)) June 30, 2016, and every ((seven)) ten years thereafter, for ((Cowlitz, Island, Lewis)) Kitsap, ((Mason, San Juan, Skagit,)) Pierce, Snohomish, and ((Skamania))
 Thurston counties and the cities within those counties;
 - (c) On or before ((December 1, 2016)) June 30, 2017, and every ((seven)) ten years thereafter, for ((Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas)) Clallam, Island, Jefferson, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Spokane, and ((Yakima)) Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties; ((and))
- (d) On or before ((December 1, 2017)) June 30, 2018, and every ((seven)) ten years thereafter, for ((Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman))
 Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
 - (e) On or before June 30, 2019, and every ten years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
 - (6)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before the deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible

for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.

- (b) A county that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.
- (c) A city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (4) of this section as of that date.
- (d) A county or city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(d) of this section and that meets the criteria established in subsection (6)(b) or (c) of this section may comply with the requirements of subsection (4)(d) of this section at any time within the thirty-six months after the extension provided in subsection (6)(b) or (c) of this section.
- (e) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, comprehensive plans, and development regulations.
- (7)(a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70.146 RCW:
 - (i) Complying with the deadlines in this section;
- 35 (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with the 36 schedules in this section for development regulations that protect 37 critical areas; or

- 1 (iii) Complying with the extension provisions of subsection (6)(b), 2 (c), or (d) of this section.
- 3 (b) A county or city that is fewer than twelve months out of 4 compliance with the schedules in this section for development 5 regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial progress 6 towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in compliance with 7 the schedules in this section may receive preference for grants or 8 loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250."
- 9 Beginning on page 12, line 12, strike all of section 7
- 10 Renumber the remaining sections consecutively, correct any internal 11 references accordingly, and correct the title.
- On page 15, beginning on line 30, after "no" strike all material through "2013." on line 32 and insert "later than December 31, 2010, ((although the department of ecology is encouraged to adopt the final rules as soon as possible)) except that the department of ecology shall adopt rules for reclaimed water use no earlier than June 30, 2013."
- Beginning on page 16, line 1, strike all of section 11 and insert the following:
- 19 "Sec. 11. RCW 90.48.260 and 2007 c 341 s 55 are each amended to 20 read as follows:

2122

2324

2526

2728

29

30

3132

33

(1) The department of ecology is hereby designated as the state water pollution control agency for all purposes of the federal clean water act as it exists on February 4, 1987, and is hereby authorized to participate fully in the programs of the act as well as to take all action necessary to secure to the state the benefits and to meet the requirements of that act. With regard to the national estuary program established by section 320 of that act, the department shall exercise its responsibility jointly with the Puget Sound partnership, created in RCW 90.71.210. The department of ecology may delegate its authority under this chapter, including its national pollutant discharge elimination permit system authority and duties regarding animal feeding operations and concentrated animal feeding operations, to the department of agriculture through a memorandum of understanding. Until

any such delegation receives federal approval, the department of agriculture's adoption or issuance of animal feeding operation and concentrated animal feeding operation rules, permits, programs, and directives pertaining to water quality shall be accomplished after reaching agreement with the director of the department of ecology. Adoption or issuance and implementation shall be accomplished so that compliance with such animal feeding operation and concentrated animal feeding operation rules, permits, programs, and directives will achieve compliance with all federal and state water pollution control laws. The powers granted herein include, among others, and notwithstanding any other provisions of chapter 90.48 RCW or otherwise, the following:

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

2930

31

3233

3435

36

37

38

 $((\frac{1}{1}))$ (a) Complete authority to establish and administer a comprehensive state point source waste discharge or pollution discharge elimination permit program which will enable the department to qualify for full participation in any national waste discharge or pollution discharge elimination permit system and will allow the department to be the sole agency issuing permits required by such national system operating in the state of Washington subject to the provisions of RCW 90.48.262(2). Program elements authorized herein may include, but are not limited to: $((\frac{a}{a}))$ (i) Effluent treatment and limitation requirements together with timing requirements related thereto; ((\(\frac{(b)}{D}\)) (ii) applicable receiving water quality standards requirements; ((+c))(iii) requirements of standards of performance for new sources; $((\frac{d}{d}))$ (iv) pretreatment requirements; $((\frac{(e)}{(e)}))$ (v) termination modification of permits for cause; $((\frac{f}{f}))$ (vi) requirements for public notices and opportunities for public hearings; $((\frac{(q)}{(q)}))$ (vii) appropriate relationships with the secretary of the army in the administration of his responsibilities which relate to anchorage and navigation, with the administrator of the environmental protection agency in the performance of his duties, and with other governmental under the federal clean water act; $((\frac{h}{h}))$ officials requirements for inspection, monitoring, entry, and reporting; $((\frac{1}{2}))$ (ix) enforcement of the program through penalties, emergency powers, and criminal sanctions; $((\frac{j}{j}))$ (x) a continuing planning process; and $((\frac{k}{k}))$ (xi) user charges.

 $((\frac{(2)}{2}))$ (b) The power to establish and administer state programs in a manner which will insure the procurement of moneys, whether in the form of grants, loans, or otherwise; to assist in the construction,

- operation, and maintenance of various water pollution control facilities and works; and the administering of various state water pollution control management, regulatory, and enforcement programs.
 - $((\frac{3}{3}))$ (c) The power to develop and implement appropriate programs pertaining to continuing planning processes, area-wide waste treatment management plans, and basin planning.

The governor shall have authority to perform those actions required of him or her by the federal clean water act.

(2) By July 31, 2012, the department shall:

4

5

6 7

8

9

21

22

2324

2526

- 10 <u>(a) Reissue without modification and for a term of one year any</u>
 11 <u>national pollutant discharge elimination system municipal storm water</u>
 12 <u>general permit first issued on January 17, 2007; and</u>
- 13 <u>(b) Issue an updated national pollutant discharge elimination</u>
 14 <u>system municipal storm water general permit for any permit first issued</u>
 15 <u>on January 17, 2007. An updated permit issued under this subsection</u>
 16 shall become effective beginning August 1, 2013."
- Beginning on page 17, line 26, strike all of section 12 and insert the following:
- 19 "Sec. 12. RCW 90.58.080 and 2007 c 170 s 1 are each amended to 20 read as follows:
 - (1) Local governments shall develop or amend a master program for regulation of uses of the shorelines of the state consistent with the required elements of the guidelines adopted by the department in accordance with the schedule established by this section.
 - (2)(a) Subject to the provisions of subsections (5) and (6) of this section, each local government subject to this chapter shall develop or amend its master program for the regulation of uses of shorelines within its jurisdiction according to the following schedule:
- (i) On or before December 1, 2005, for the city of Port Townsend, the city of Bellingham, the city of Everett, Snohomish county, and Whatcom county;
- 32 (ii) On or before December 1, 2009, for King county and the cities 33 within King county greater in population than ten thousand;
- (iii) Except as provided by (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection, on or before December 1, 2011, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King,

- 1 Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the 2 cities within those counties;
- 3 (iv) On or before December 1, 2012, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, 4 Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within 5 those counties;
- 6 (v) On or before December 1, 2013, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas,
 7 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within
 8 those counties; and
- 9 (vi) On or before December 1, 2014, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, 10 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, 11 Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman 12 counties and the cities within those counties.

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

2728

29

30

3132

3334

35

36

37

- (b) Nothing in this subsection (2) shall preclude a local government from developing or amending its master program prior to the dates established by this subsection (2).
- (3)(a) Following approval by the department of a new or amended master program, local governments required to develop or amend master programs on or before December 1, 2009, as provided by subsection (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, shall be deemed to have complied with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this section and shall not be required to complete master program amendments until seven years after the applicable dates established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this section. Any jurisdiction listed in subsection (2)(a)(i) of this section that has a new or amended master program approved by the department on or after March 1, 2002, but before July 27, 2003, shall not be required to complete master program amendments until seven years after the applicable date provided by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this section.
- (b) Following approval by the department of a new or amended master program, local governments choosing to develop or amend master programs on or before December 1, 2009, shall be deemed to have complied with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) through (vi) of this section and shall not be required to complete master program amendments until ((seven)) ten years after the applicable dates established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) through (vi) of this section.
- (4)(a) Following the updates required by subsection (2) of this section, local governments shall conduct a review of their master programs at least once every ((seven)) ten years ((after the applicable))

dates established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) through (vi) of this section)) as required by (b) of this subsection. Following the review required by this subsection (4), local governments shall, if necessary, revise their master programs. The purpose of the review is:

5

6

7

9

10

2526

27

2829

30

3132

33

3435

36

37

- $((\frac{a}{a}))$ <u>(i)</u> To assure that the master program complies with applicable law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and
- $((\frac{b}{b}))$ (ii) To assure consistency of the master program with the local government's comprehensive plan and development regulations adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local requirements.
- 11 <u>(b) Counties and cities shall take action to review and, if</u>
 12 <u>necessary, revise their master programs as required by (a) of this</u>
 13 subsection as follows:
- (i) On or before June 30, 2020, and every ten years thereafter, for King and Clark counties and the cities within those counties;
- (ii) On or before June 30, 2021, and every ten years thereafter,
 for Snohomish, Pierce, Kitsap, and Thurston counties and the cities
 within those counties;
- (iii) On or before June 30, 2022, and every ten years thereafter,
 for Spokane, Island, San Juan, Skagit, Whatcom, Clallam, Jefferson, and
 Mason counties and the cities within those counties;
- (iv) On or before June 30, 2023, and every ten years thereafter,
 for Lewis, Cowlitz, Skamania, Yakima, Benton, Kittitas, Chelan,
 Douglas, and Grant counties and the cities within those counties; and
 - (v) On or before June 30, 2024, and every ten years thereafter, for Lincoln, Adams, Whitman, Asotin, Columbia, Garfield, Walla Walla, Franklin, Klickitat, Okanogan, Ferry, Stevens, Pend Oreille, Grays Harbor, Pacific, and Wahkiakum counties and the cities within those counties.
 - (5) Local governments are encouraged to begin the process of developing or amending their master programs early and are eligible for grants from the department as provided by RCW 90.58.250, subject to available funding. Except for those local governments listed in subsection (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, the deadline for completion of the new or amended master programs shall be two years after the date the grant is approved by the department. Subsequent master program review dates shall not be altered by the provisions of this subsection.

(6)(a) Grants to local governments for developing and amending master programs pursuant to the schedule established by this section shall be provided at least two years before the adoption dates specified in subsection (2) of this section. To the extent possible, the department shall allocate grants within the amount appropriated for such purposes to provide reasonable and adequate funding to local governments that have indicated their intent to develop or amend master programs during the biennium according to the schedule established by subsection (2) of this section. Any local government that applies for but does not receive funding to comply with the provisions of subsection (2) of this section may delay the development or amendment of its master program until the following biennium.

- (b) Local governments with delayed compliance dates as provided in (a) of this subsection shall be the first priority for funding in subsequent biennia, and the development or amendment compliance deadline for those local governments shall be two years after the date of grant approval.
- (c) Failure of the local government to apply in a timely manner for a master program development or amendment grant in accordance with the requirements of the department shall not be considered a delay resulting from the provisions of (a) of this subsection.
- (7) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, all local governments subject to the requirements of this chapter that have not developed or amended master programs on or after March 1, 2002, shall, no later than December 1, 2014, develop or amend their master programs to comply with guidelines adopted by the department after January 1, 2003.
- (8) Local governments may be provided an additional year beyond the deadlines in this section to complete their master program or amendment. The department shall grant the request if it determines that the local government is likely to adopt or amend its master program within the additional year."
- On page 20, beginning on line 23, after "department" strike all material through "government" on line 26
- On page 20, line 29, after "approval." insert the following:

"The department shall strive to achieve final action on a submitted master program within one hundred eighty days of receipt and shall post an annual assessment related to this performance benchmark on the agency web site."

1

3

Adjusts the reporting schedules for counties to review and revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations under the Growth Management Act and extends reporting cycles from seven to ten years. Strikes a section relating to required review and revision of comprehensive county solid waste management plans and certain comprehensive city solid waste management plans. Provides that the Department of Ecology (Ecology) must not adopt rules for reclaimed water use until after June 30, 2013. Provides that by July 31, 2012, Ecology shall reissue certain national pollutant discharge elimination system municipal storm water general permits without modification and shall issue updated permits, which will not be effective until August 1, 2013. Modifies the Shoreline Management Act's review and revision cycle to postpone the date of the first required review and to extend subsequent reporting cycles from seven to ten years. Requires Ecology to strive to achieve final action on a submitted master program under the Shoreline Management Act within 180 days of receipt, and to post an annual assessment of its performance on the agency web site.

--- END ---