S-1778.4		

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5225

State of Washington 61st Legislature 2009 Regular Session

By Senate Judiciary (originally sponsored by Senators Kline and Hargrove) READ FIRST TIME 02/24/09.

AN ACT Relating to crimes against property; amending RCW 43.10.232, 4.24.230, 9A.48.070, 9A.48.080, 9A.48.090, 9A.56.030, 9A.56.040, 9A.56.050, 9A.56.060, 9A.56.096, 9A.56.150, 9A.56.160, 9A.56.170, and 9A.56.350; reenacting and amending RCW 9.94A.535; adding a new section to chapter 43.10 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 3.50 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 3.66 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 35.20 RCW; creating a new section; and prescribing penalties.

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

1718

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. (1) An organized retail crime task force is created for the purpose of monitoring the effects of raising the monetary threshold amounts differentiating the various degrees of property crimes in Washington state. The task force is directed to examine the impact of raising these values on (a) the retail industry; (b) the district and municipal courts; and (c) the county and city offices of the prosecuting attorney. The task force shall also examine whether civil immunity should be granted for retailers who create a common database of individuals suspected of theft and who deliver the database to law enforcement agencies. In addition, the task force is

p. 1 SSB 5225

- charged with identifying any policies or procedures which would enhance the successful investigation and prosecution of property crimes in Washington state.
 - (2) The task force shall consist of the following members:

5

6 7

8

9

11 12

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

2829

- (a) One member from each of the two largest caucuses of the house of representatives, appointed by the speaker of the house of representatives;
- (b) One member from each of the two largest caucuses of the senate, appointed by the president of the senate;
- (c) One member appointed by the Washington association of prosecuting attorneys;
- 12 (d) One member appointed by the Washington association of criminal defense lawyers;
 - (e) One member appointed by the association of Washington cities;
 - (f) One member appointed by the association of Washington counties;
 - (g) One member appointed by the food industry association of Washington representing retail grocers who own a single store or a regional chain with less than ten million five hundred thousand dollars in gross revenues per location annually; and
 - (h) One member appointed by the Washington association of retailers representing a retailer who owns a single store or a chain with one million five hundred thousand dollars or more in gross revenues annually.
 - The superior court judges association and the district and municipal court judges association are each invited to select a judge to be a member of the task force.
 - (3) The task force shall choose its chair from among its members and may conduct meetings, select officers, and prescribe rules of procedure.
- 30 (4) Staff for the task force will be provided by the staff of the 31 legislature.
- 32 (5) Legislative members of the task force shall not be reimbursed 33 for travel expenses. Nonlegislative members must seek reimbursement 34 for travel and other membership expenses through their respective 35 agencies or organizations.
- 36 (6) The task force is subject to the open public meetings act, 37 chapter 42.30 RCW.

- 1 (7) The task force shall report its findings and recommendations to 2 the appropriate committees of the legislature eighteen months after the 3 effective date of this section.
- 4 **Sec. 2.** RCW 43.10.232 and 1986 c 257 s 16 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - (1) The attorney general shall have concurrent authority and power with the prosecuting attorneys to investigate crimes and initiate and conduct prosecutions upon the request of or with the concurrence of any of the following:
- 10 (a) The county prosecuting attorney of the jurisdiction in which 11 the offense has occurred;
- 12 (b) The city attorney of the jurisdiction in which the offense has occurred, with regard to the crime of theft;
- 14 <u>(c)</u> The governor of the state of Washington; or

7

8

9

26

27

28

2930

31

32

3334

35

36

- 15 (((c))) (d) A majority of the committee charged with the oversight of the organized crime intelligence unit.
- 17 (2) Such request or concurrence shall be communicated in writing to the attorney general.
- 19 (3) Prior to any prosecution by the attorney general under this 20 section, the attorney general and the county in which the offense 21 occurred shall reach an agreement regarding the payment of all costs, 22 including expert witness fees, and defense attorneys' fees associated 23 with any such prosecution.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 43.10 RCW to read as follows:

In cases of alleged theft committed by one person or one group of persons, occurring in multiple jurisdictions, upon the request of at least one of the jurisdictions, the attorney general shall have the authority to bring a criminal action in any jurisdiction in which the thefts are alleged to have occurred and apportion the costs of prosecution proportionally to the counties and cities involved. The attorney general, within ten business days of receiving the request, shall give notice to each jurisdiction in which an alleged theft has occurred as to his or her intention to consolidate and prosecute the alleged offenses. Any jurisdiction in which an alleged theft has occurred may, within ten business days of receipt of notice from the

p. 3 SSB 5225

- attorney general, notify the attorney general that it does not consent to the attorney general prosecuting its case in the consolidated
- 3 action.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

2829

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

- Sec. 4. RCW 4.24.230 and 1994 c 9 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) An adult or emancipated minor who takes possession of any goods, wares, or merchandise displayed or offered for sale by any wholesale or retail store or other mercantile establishment without the consent of the owner or seller, and with the intention of converting such goods, wares, or merchandise to his or her own use without having paid the purchase price thereof ((shall be)) is liable in addition to actual damages, for a penalty to the owner or seller in the amount of the retail value thereof not to exceed ((one)) three thousand eight hundred dollars, plus an additional penalty of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than ((two)) eight hundred fifty dollars, plus all reasonable attorney's fees and court costs expended by the owner or seller. A customer who orders a meal in a restaurant or other eating establishment, receives at least a portion thereof, and then leaves without paying, is subject to liability under this section. A person who shall receive any food, money, credit, lodging, or accommodation at any hotel, motel, boarding house, or lodging house, and then leaves without paying the proprietor, manager, or authorized employee thereof, is subject to liability under this section.
- (2) The parent or legal guardian having the custody of an unemancipated minor who takes possession of any goods, wares, or merchandise displayed or offered for sale by any wholesale or retail store or other mercantile establishment without the consent of the owner or seller and with the intention of converting such goods, wares, or merchandise to his or her own use without having paid the purchase price thereof, ((shall be)) is liable as a penalty to the owner or seller for the retail value of such goods, wares, or merchandise not to exceed ((five)) one thousand nine hundred dollars plus an additional penalty of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than ((two)) eight hundred fifty dollars, plus all reasonable attorney's fees and court costs expended by the owner or seller. The parent or legal guardian having the custody of an unemancipated minor, who orders a meal in a restaurant or other eating establishment, receives at least

a portion thereof, and then leaves without paying, is subject to 1 2 liability under this section. The parent or legal guardian having the custody of an unemancipated minor, who receives any food, money, 3 credit, lodging, or accommodation at any hotel, motel, boarding house, 4 5 or lodging house, and then leaves without paying the proprietor, manager, or authorized employee thereof, is subject to liability under 6 7 this section. For the purposes of this subsection, liability shall not be imposed upon any governmental entity, private agency, or foster 8 parent assigned responsibility for the minor child pursuant to court 9 10 order or action of the department of social and health services.

(3) Judgments and claims arising under this section may be assigned.

1112

1314

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

30

31

3233

34

35

36

- (4) A conviction for violation of chapter 9A.56 RCW shall not be a condition precedent to maintenance of a civil action authorized by this section.
- (5) An owner or seller demanding payment of a penalty under subsection (1) or (2) of this section shall give written notice to the person or persons from whom the penalty is sought. The notice shall state:

"IMPORTANT NOTICE: The payment of any penalty demanded of you does not prevent criminal prosecution under a related criminal provision."

This notice shall be boldly and conspicuously displayed, in at least the same size type as is used in the demand, and shall be sent with the demand for payment of a penalty described in subsection (1) or (2) of this section.

- Sec. 5. RCW 9A.48.070 and 1983 1st ex.s. c 4 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:
- 28 (1) A person is guilty of malicious mischief in the first degree if 29 he or she knowingly and maliciously:
 - (a) Causes physical damage to the property of another in an amount exceeding ((one)) <u>five</u> thousand ((five hundred)) dollars;
 - (b) Causes an interruption or impairment of service rendered to the public by physically damaging or tampering with an emergency vehicle or property of the state, a political subdivision thereof, or a public utility or mode of public transportation, power, or communication; or
 - (c) Causes an impairment of the safety, efficiency, or operation of

p. 5 SSB 5225

- an aircraft by physically damaging or tampering with the aircraft or aircraft equipment, fuel, lubricant, or parts.
- 3 (2) Malicious mischief in the first degree is a class B felony.

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

- 4 **Sec. 6.** RCW 9A.48.080 and 1994 c 261 s 17 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - (1) A person is guilty of malicious mischief in the second degree if he or she knowingly and maliciously:
 - (a) Causes physical damage to the property of another in an amount exceeding ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars; or
 - (b) Creates a substantial risk of interruption or impairment of service rendered to the public, by physically damaging or tampering with an emergency vehicle or property of the state, a political subdivision thereof, or a public utility or mode of public transportation, power, or communication.
- 15 (2) Malicious mischief in the second degree is a class C felony.
- 16 **Sec. 7.** RCW 9A.48.090 and 2003 c 53 s 71 are each amended to read 17 as follows:
- 18 (1) A person is guilty of malicious mischief in the third degree if 19 he or she:
 - (a) Knowingly and maliciously causes physical damage to the property of another, under circumstances not amounting to malicious mischief in the first or second degree; or
 - (b) Writes, paints, or draws any inscription, figure, or mark of any type on any public or private building or other structure or any real or personal property owned by any other person unless the person has obtained the express permission of the owner or operator of the property, under circumstances not amounting to malicious mischief in the first or second degree.
- 29 (2)(((a))) Malicious mischief in the third degree ((under 30 subsection (1)(a) of this section is a gross misdemeanor if the damage 31 to the property is in an amount exceeding fifty dollars.
- 32 (b) Malicious mischief in the third degree under subsection (1)(a)
 33 of this section is a misdemeanor if the damage to the property is fifty
 34 dollars or less.
- 35 (c) Malicious mischief in the third degree under subsection (1)(b)
 36 of this section)) is a gross misdemeanor.

- Sec. 8. RCW 9A.56.030 and 2007 c 199 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:
- 3 (1) A person is guilty of theft in the first degree if he or she 4 commits theft of:
- 5 (a) Property or services which exceed(s) ((one)) five thousand 6 ((five hundred)) dollars in value other than a firearm as defined in 7 RCW 9.41.010;
- 8 (b) Property of any value, other than a firearm as defined in RCW 9.41.010 or a motor vehicle, taken from the person of another; or
- 10 (c) A search and rescue dog, as defined in RCW 9.91.175, while the search and rescue dog is on duty.
- 12 (2) Theft in the first degree is a class B felony.
- 13 **Sec. 9.** RCW 9A.56.040 and 2007 c 199 s 4 are each amended to read 14 as follows:
- 15 (1) A person is guilty of theft in the second degree if he or she 16 commits theft of:
- (a) Property or services which exceed(s) ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars in value but does not exceed ((one)) five thousand ((five hundred)) dollars in value, other than a firearm as defined in RCW 9.41.010 or a motor vehicle; or
- 21 (b) A public record, writing, or instrument kept, filed, or 22 deposited according to law with or in the keeping of any public office 23 or public servant; or
- (c) An access device.
- 25 (2) Theft in the second degree is a class C felony.
- 26 **Sec. 10.** RCW 9A.56.050 and 1998 c 236 s 4 are each amended to read 27 as follows:
- (1) A person is guilty of theft in the third degree if he or she commits theft of property or services which (a) does not exceed ((two hundred and fifty)) one thousand dollars in value, or (b) includes ten or more merchandise pallets, or ten or more beverage crates, or a combination of ten or more merchandise pallets and beverage crates.
- 33 (2) Theft in the third degree is a gross misdemeanor.
- 34 **Sec. 11.** RCW 9A.56.060 and 1982 c 138 s 1 are each amended to read 35 as follows:

p. 7 SSB 5225

(1) Any person who shall with intent to defraud, make, or draw, or utter, or deliver to another person any check, or draft, on a bank or other depository for the payment of money, knowing at the time of such drawing, or delivery, that he or she has not sufficient funds in, or credit with ((said)) the bank or other depository, to meet ((said)) the check or draft, in full upon its presentation, ((shall be)) is guilty of unlawful issuance of bank check. The word "credit" as used herein shall be construed to mean an arrangement or understanding with the bank or other depository for the payment of such check or draft, and the uttering or delivery of such a check or draft to another person without such fund or credit to meet the same shall be prima facie evidence of an intent to defraud.

- (2) Any person who shall with intent to defraud, make, or draw, or utter, or deliver to another person any check, or draft on a bank or other depository for the payment of money and who issues a stop-payment order directing the bank or depository on which the check is drawn not to honor ((said)) the check, and who fails to make payment of money in the amount of the check or draft or otherwise arrange a settlement agreed upon by the holder of the check within twenty days of issuing ((said)) the check or draft ((shall be)) is guilty of unlawful issuance of a bank check.
- (3) When any series of transactions which constitute unlawful issuance of a bank check would, when considered separately, constitute unlawful issuance of a bank check in an amount of ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars or less because of value, and the series of transactions are a part of a common scheme or plan, the transactions may be aggregated in one count and the sum of the value of all of the transactions shall be the value considered in determining whether the unlawful issuance of a bank check is to be punished as a class C felony or a gross misdemeanor.
- (4) Unlawful issuance of a bank check in an amount greater than ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars is a class C felony.
- (5) Unlawful issuance of a bank check in an amount of ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars or less is a gross misdemeanor and shall be punished as follows:
 - (a) The court shall order the defendant to make full restitution;
- (b) The defendant need not be imprisoned, but the court shall impose a ((minimum)) fine of up to one thousand five hundred dollars.

- Of the fine imposed, at least ((fifty)) five hundred dollars or an amount equal to two hundred percent of the amount of the bank check, whichever is greater, shall not be suspended or deferred. Upon conviction for a second offense within any twelve-month period, the court may not suspend or defer ((only that)) any portion of the fine ((which is in excess of five hundred dollars)).
- **Sec. 12.** RCW 9A.56.096 and 2007 c 199 s 17 are each amended to 8 read as follows:

- (1) A person who, with intent to deprive the owner or owner's agent, wrongfully obtains, or exerts unauthorized control over, or by color or aid of deception gains control of personal property that is rented, leased, or loaned by written agreement to the person, is guilty of theft of rental, leased, lease-purchased, or loaned property.
- 14 (2) The finder of fact may presume intent to deprive if the finder 15 of fact finds either of the following:
 - (a) That the person who rented or leased the property failed to return or make arrangements acceptable to the owner of the property or the owner's agent to return the property to the owner or the owner's agent within seventy-two hours after receipt of proper notice following the due date of the rental, lease, lease-purchase, or loan agreement; or
 - (b) That the renter, lessee, or borrower presented identification to the owner or the owner's agent that was materially false, fictitious, or not current with respect to name, address, place of employment, or other appropriate items.
 - (3) As used in subsection (2) of this section, "proper notice" consists of a written demand by the owner or the owner's agent made after the due date of the rental, lease, lease-purchase, or loan period, mailed by certified or registered mail to the renter, lessee, or borrower at: (a) The address the renter, lessee, or borrower gave when the contract was made; or (b) the renter, lessee, or borrower's last known address if later furnished in writing by the renter, lessee, borrower, or the agent of the renter, lessee, or borrower.
- 34 (4) The replacement value of the property obtained must be utilized 35 in determining the amount involved in the theft of rental, leased, 36 lease-purchased, or loaned property.

p. 9 SSB 5225

- (5)(a) Theft of rental, leased, lease-purchased, or loaned property is a class B felony if the rental, leased, lease-purchased, or loaned property is valued at ((one)) five thousand ((five hundred)) dollars or more.
- (b) Theft of rental, leased, lease-purchased, or loaned property is a class C felony if the rental, leased, lease-purchased, or loaned property is valued at ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars or more but less than ((one)) five thousand ((five hundred)) dollars.
- 9 (c) Theft of rental, leased, lease-purchased, or loaned property is 10 a gross misdemeanor if the rental, leased, lease-purchased, or loaned 11 property is valued at less than ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand 12 dollars.
- 13 (6) This section applies to rental agreements that provide that the 14 renter may return the property any time within the rental period and pay only for the time the renter actually retained the property, in 15 16 addition to any minimum rental fee, to lease agreements, to lease-17 purchase agreements as defined under RCW 63.19.010, and to vehicles 18 loaned to prospective purchasers borrowing a vehicle by written agreement from a motor vehicle dealer licensed under chapter 46.70 RCW. 19 This section does not apply to rental or leasing of real property under 20 21 the residential landlord-tenant act, chapter 59.18 RCW.
- 22 **Sec. 13.** RCW 9A.56.150 and 2007 c 199 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) A person is guilty of possessing stolen property in the first degree if he or she possesses stolen property, other than a firearm as defined in RCW 9.41.010 or a motor vehicle, which exceeds ((one)) five thousand ((five hundred)) dollars in value.
- 28 (2) Possessing stolen property in the first degree is a class B 29 felony.
- 30 **Sec. 14.** RCW 9A.56.160 and 2007 c 199 s 7 are each amended to read 31 as follows:
- 32 (1) A person is guilty of possessing stolen property in the second 33 degree if:
- 34 (a) He or she possesses stolen property, other than a firearm as 35 defined in RCW 9.41.010 or a motor vehicle, which exceeds ((two hundred

- fifty)) one thousand dollars in value but does not exceed ((one)) five
 thousand ((five hundred)) dollars in value; or
- 3 (b) He or she possesses a stolen public record, writing or 4 instrument kept, filed, or deposited according to law; or
 - (c) He or she possesses a stolen access device.

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

2930

- 6 (2) Possessing stolen property in the second degree is a class C felony.
- 8 **Sec. 15.** RCW 9A.56.170 and 1998 c 236 s 2 are each amended to read 9 as follows:
- (1) A person is guilty of possessing stolen property in the third degree if he or she possesses (a) stolen property which does not exceed ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars in value, or (b) ten or more stolen merchandise pallets, or ten or more stolen beverage crates, or a combination of ten or more stolen merchandise pallets and beverage crates.
- 16 (2) Possessing stolen property in the third degree is a gross 17 misdemeanor.
- 18 **Sec. 16.** RCW 9A.56.350 and 2006 c 277 s 2 are each amended to read 19 as follows:
 - (1) A person is guilty of organized retail theft if he or she:
 - (a) Commits theft of property with a value of at least ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars from ((a)) one or more mercantile establishments with an accomplice; or
 - (b) Possesses stolen property, as defined in RCW 9A.56.140, with a value of at least ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars from (($\frac{a}{a}$)) one or more mercantile establishments with an accomplice.
 - (2) A person is guilty of organized retail theft in the first degree if the property stolen or possessed has a value of ((one)) five thousand ((five hundred)) dollars or more. Organized retail theft in the first degree is a class B felony.
- 31 (3) A person is guilty of organized retail theft in the second 32 degree if the property stolen or possessed has a value of at least 33 ((two hundred fifty)) one thousand dollars, but less than ((one)) five 34 thousand ((five hundred)) dollars. Organized retail theft in the 35 second degree is a class C felony.

p. 11 SSB 5225

- (4) For purposes of this section, a series of thefts committed by the same person from one or more mercantile establishments over a period of one hundred eighty days may be aggregated in one count and the sum of the value of all the property shall be the value considered in determining the degree of the organized retail theft involved. Thefts committed by the same person in different counties that have been aggregated in one county may be prosecuted in any county in which one of the thefts occurred.
 - Sec. 17. RCW 9.94A.535 and 2008 c 276 s 303 and 2008 c 233 s 9 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

The court may impose a sentence outside the standard sentence range for an offense if it finds, considering the purpose of this chapter, that there are substantial and compelling reasons justifying an exceptional sentence. Facts supporting aggravated sentences, other than the fact of a prior conviction, shall be determined pursuant to the provisions of RCW 9.94A.537.

Whenever a sentence outside the standard sentence range is imposed, the court shall set forth the reasons for its decision in written findings of fact and conclusions of law. A sentence outside the standard sentence range shall be a determinate sentence.

If the sentencing court finds that an exceptional sentence outside the standard sentence range should be imposed, the sentence is subject to review only as provided for in RCW 9.94A.585(4).

A departure from the standards in RCW 9.94A.589 (1) and (2) governing whether sentences are to be served consecutively or concurrently is an exceptional sentence subject to the limitations in this section, and may be appealed by the offender or the state as set forth in RCW 9.94A.585 (2) through (6).

(1) Mitigating Circumstances - Court to Consider

The court may impose an exceptional sentence below the standard range if it finds that mitigating circumstances are established by a preponderance of the evidence. The following are illustrative only and are not intended to be exclusive reasons for exceptional sentences.

- (a) To a significant degree, the victim was an initiator, willing participant, aggressor, or provoker of the incident.
 - (b) Before detection, the defendant compensated, or made a good

faith effort to compensate, the victim of the criminal conduct for any damage or injury sustained.

- (c) The defendant committed the crime under duress, coercion, threat, or compulsion insufficient to constitute a complete defense but which significantly affected his or her conduct.
- (d) The defendant, with no apparent predisposition to do so, was induced by others to participate in the crime.
- (e) The defendant's capacity to appreciate the wrongfulness of his or her conduct, or to conform his or her conduct to the requirements of the law, was significantly impaired. Voluntary use of drugs or alcohol is excluded.
- (f) The offense was principally accomplished by another person and the defendant manifested extreme caution or sincere concern for the safety or well-being of the victim.
- (g) The operation of the multiple offense policy of RCW 9.94A.589 results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly excessive in light of the purpose of this chapter, as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.
- (h) The defendant or the defendant's children suffered a continuing pattern of physical or sexual abuse by the victim of the offense and the offense is a response to that abuse.
- (2) Aggravating Circumstances Considered and Imposed by the Court The trial court may impose an aggravated exceptional sentence without a finding of fact by a jury under the following circumstances:
- (a) The defendant and the state both stipulate that justice is best served by the imposition of an exceptional sentence outside the standard range, and the court finds the exceptional sentence to be consistent with and in furtherance of the interests of justice and the purposes of the sentencing reform act.
- (b) The defendant's prior unscored misdemeanor or prior unscored foreign criminal history results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly too lenient in light of the purpose of this chapter, as expressed in RCW 9.94A.010.
- (c) The defendant has committed multiple current offenses and the defendant's high offender score results in some of the current offenses going unpunished.
- (d) The failure to consider the defendant's prior criminal history which was omitted from the offender score calculation pursuant to RCW

p. 13 SSB 5225

- 9.94A.525 results in a presumptive sentence that is clearly too lenient.
- 3 (3) Aggravating Circumstances Considered by a Jury -Imposed by 4 the Court

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

24

25

2627

2829

30

31

32

33

34

Except for circumstances listed in subsection (2) of this section, the following circumstances are an exclusive list of factors that can support a sentence above the standard range. Such facts should be determined by procedures specified in RCW 9.94A.537.

- (a) The defendant's conduct during the commission of the current offense manifested deliberate cruelty to the victim.
- (b) The defendant knew or should have known that the victim of the current offense was particularly vulnerable or incapable of resistance.
- 13 (c) The current offense was a violent offense, and the defendant 14 knew that the victim of the current offense was pregnant.
- (d) The current offense was a major economic offense or series of offenses, so identified by a consideration of any of the following factors:
- 18 (i) The current offense involved multiple victims or multiple 19 incidents per victim;
- 20 (ii) The current offense involved attempted or actual monetary loss 21 substantially greater than typical for the offense;
- (iii) The current offense involved a high degree of sophistication or planning or occurred over a lengthy period of time; or
 - (iv) The defendant used his or her position of trust, confidence, or fiduciary responsibility to facilitate the commission of the current offense.
 - (e) The current offense was a major violation of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, chapter 69.50 RCW (VUCSA), related to trafficking in controlled substances, which was more onerous than the typical offense of its statutory definition: The presence of ANY of the following may identify a current offense as a major VUCSA:
 - (i) The current offense involved at least three separate transactions in which controlled substances were sold, transferred, or possessed with intent to do so;
- 35 (ii) The current offense involved an attempted or actual sale or 36 transfer of controlled substances in quantities substantially larger 37 than for personal use;

1 (iii) The current offense involved the manufacture of controlled 2 substances for use by other parties;

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

11

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2829

30

31

32

33

34

- (iv) The circumstances of the current offense reveal the offender to have occupied a high position in the drug distribution hierarchy;
- (v) The current offense involved a high degree of sophistication or planning, occurred over a lengthy period of time, or involved a broad geographic area of disbursement; or
- (vi) The offender used his or her position or status to facilitate the commission of the current offense, including positions of trust, confidence or fiduciary responsibility (e.g., pharmacist, physician, or other medical professional).
- 12 (f) The current offense included a finding of sexual motivation 13 pursuant to RCW 9.94A.835.
 - (g) The offense was part of an ongoing pattern of sexual abuse of the same victim under the age of eighteen years manifested by multiple incidents over a prolonged period of time.
 - (h) The current offense involved domestic violence, as defined in RCW 10.99.020, and one or more of the following was present:
 - (i) The offense was part of an ongoing pattern of psychological, physical, or sexual abuse of the victim manifested by multiple incidents over a prolonged period of time;
 - (ii) The offense occurred within sight or sound of the victim's or the offender's minor children under the age of eighteen years; or
 - (iii) The offender's conduct during the commission of the current offense manifested deliberate cruelty or intimidation of the victim.
- 26 (i) The offense resulted in the pregnancy of a child victim of 27 rape.
 - (j) The defendant knew that the victim of the current offense was a youth who was not residing with a legal custodian and the defendant established or promoted the relationship for the primary purpose of victimization.
 - (k) The offense was committed with the intent to obstruct or impair human or animal health care or agricultural or forestry research or commercial production.
- 35 (1) The current offense is trafficking in the first degree or 36 trafficking in the second degree and any victim was a minor at the time 37 of the offense.

p. 15 SSB 5225

- 1 (m) The offense involved a high degree of sophistication or 2 planning.
- 3 (n) The defendant used his or her position of trust, confidence, or 4 fiduciary responsibility to facilitate the commission of the current 5 offense.
- 6 (o) The defendant committed a current sex offense, has a history of sex offenses, and is not amenable to treatment.
 - (p) The offense involved an invasion of the victim's privacy.
- 9 (q) The defendant demonstrated or displayed an egregious lack of 10 remorse.

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2829

30

3132

33

- 11 (r) The offense involved a destructive and foreseeable impact on 12 persons other than the victim.
 - (s) The defendant committed the offense to obtain or maintain his or her membership or to advance his or her position in the hierarchy of an organization, association, or identifiable group.
 - (t) The defendant committed the current offense shortly after being released from incarceration.
 - (u) The current offense is a burglary and the victim of the burglary was present in the building or residence when the crime was committed.
 - (v) The offense was committed against a law enforcement officer who was performing his or her official duties at the time of the offense, the offender knew that the victim was a law enforcement officer, and the victim's status as a law enforcement officer is not an element of the offense.
- 26 (w) The defendant committed the offense against a victim who was 27 acting as a good samaritan.
 - (x) The defendant committed the offense against a public official or officer of the court in retaliation of the public official's performance of his or her duty to the criminal justice system.
 - (y) The victim's injuries substantially exceed the level of bodily harm necessary to satisfy the elements of the offense. This aggravator is not an exception to RCW 9.94A.530(2).
- (z)(i)(A) The current offense is theft in the first degree, theft in the second degree, possession of stolen property in the first degree, or possession of stolen property in the second degree; (B) the stolen property involved is metal property; and (C) the property damage

to the victim caused in the course of the theft of metal property is more than three times the value of the stolen metal property, or the theft of the metal property creates a public hazard.

4 5

6 7

8

9

- (ii) For purposes of this subsection, "metal property" means commercial metal property, private metal property, or nonferrous metal property, as defined in RCW 19.290.010.
- (aa) The defendant committed the offense with the intent to directly or indirectly cause any benefit, aggrandizement, gain, profit, or other advantage to or for a criminal street gang as defined in RCW 9.94A.030, its reputation, influence, or membership.
- 11 (bb) The offense was for a single or aggregated series of offenses 12 constituting theft, unlawful issuance of a check or draft, or forgery 13 with a total monetary value over two hundred thousand dollars.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 18.** A new section is added to chapter 3.50 RCW to read as follows:
- Before a sentence is imposed upon a defendant convicted of a crime against property, the court or the prosecuting authority shall check existing judicial information systems to determine the criminal history of the defendant.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 19. A new section is added to chapter 3.66 RCW to read as follows:
- Before a sentence is imposed upon a defendant convicted of a crime against property, the court or the prosecuting authority shall check existing judicial information systems to determine the criminal history of the defendant.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 20. A new section is added to chapter 35.20 RCW to read as follows:
- Before a sentence is imposed upon a defendant convicted of a crime against property, the court or the prosecuting authority shall check existing judicial information systems to determine the criminal history of the defendant.

--- END ---

p. 17 SSB 5225