FINAL BILL REPORT E2SSB 6267

PARTIAL VETO C 285 L 10

Synopsis as Enacted

Brief Description: Regarding water right processing improvements.

Sponsors: Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senators Rockefeller and Honeyford; by request of Department of Ecology).

Senate Committee on Environment, Water & Energy Senate Committee on Ways & Means House Committee on Agriculture & Natural Resources House Committee on General Government Appropriations House Committee on Ways & Means

Background: In 2000 the Legislature authorized the departments of Ecology (Ecology), Natural Resources, Health, and Fish and Wildlife, and local air pollution control authorities to use voluntary cost-reimbursement agreements for complex projects, meaning those that require an environmental impact statement. The agreements are intended to help assure that complex projects are handled appropriately, without diverting resources away from smaller projects.

An applicant for a water right pending before the Ecology may enter into a costreimbursement agreement to expedite review of their water right application. The applicant must agree to pay for, or as part of a cooperative effort agree to pay for, the cost of hiring a private consultant to evaluate their water right application plus any senior applications from the same water source.

The consultant conducts a site investigation, performs the environmental and hydrogeologic analyses, identifies whether the water is available or would impair other water users, prepares a report with his or her findings and a recommendation whether to approve or deny the application. Ecology renders a final decision.

An applicant may appeal a decision if he or she disagrees with Ecology's decision. In such cases, the applicant is responsible for paying for the legal costs of his or her own appeal. If a third party appeals a decision, the applicant may be responsible for reimbursing the state for the cost of defending the decision before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB). Ecology may negotiate further reimbursement if the decision is appealed beyond the PCHB.

Senate Bill Report - 1 - E2SSB 6267

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Summary: Cost Reimbursement. The requirement that an applicant pay for the costs of all other applications from the same water sources does not apply if the application is for a change, transfer, or amendment of a water right that would not diminish the water available to earlier pending applicants from the same water source. Ecology may use the work of a prequalified consultant done prior to the initiation of the cost-reimbursement process. Ecology may recover its costs associated with cost-reimbursement. In pursuing a cost-reimbursement project, Ecology must determine the source of water, including the boundaries of the area that will be affected by the project. Additionally, Ecology must determine if any other water right permit applications are pending from the same source. A water source may include surface water, groundwater, or surface and groundwater together if Ecology believes they are hydraulically connected. Ecology must consider technical information from the applicant in making its determinations.

Upon the request of an applicant seeking cost-reimbursement processing, Ecology may elect to initiate a coordinated cost-reimbursement process. If Ecology initiates a coordinated costreimbursement project, it must notify in writing all persons who have pending applications for a new appropriation or withdrawal of water from that particular source. The notice must be made by way of mail. The notification must inform those applicants that a coordinated cost-reimbursement process is being initiated and offer the opportunity to voluntarily participate in funding a cost-reimbursement contractor to investigate and make recommendations to Ecology regarding the disposition of the applications. The notice must also provide the estimated cost for having an application processed using a costreimbursement contractor. The notice must provide at least 60 days for the applicants to respond in writing as to their interest in participating in the coordinated cost-reimbursement processing of their applications. The applicant must pay for the initial phase of costreimbursement. The cost for each applicant must be based on the proportionate quantity of water requested by the applicant, but may be adjusted if it appears that the application will require a disproportionately greater amount of time and effort to process due to its complexity.

Ecology must competitively select contractors who are qualified by training and experience to investigate and make recommendations on the disposition of water rights applications. The applicant may select the consultant from Ecology's list or may be assigned a consultant by Ecology. The applicant may also use its own consultant at the discretion of Ecology. The contractor list must be renewed at least every six years, although Ecology may add qualified cost-reimbursement contractors to the list at any time. When assigned an application or set of applications to investigate, the contractor must document his or her findings and recommended disposition in the form of written draft reports of examination.

Within two weeks of Ecology receiving the draft reports of examination, an applicant may provide comments to Ecology on the contents of the report. Ecology may modify the reports of examination submitted by the contractor. Only Ecology may approve or deny an application processed under cost-reimbursement. Ecology's decision on a permit application is final unless it is appealed to the PCHB. Each individual applicant is responsible for his or her own appeal costs that may result from a water right decision made by Ecology. In the event that an applicant's water right approval is appealed by a third party, the applicant for the water right in question must reimburse Ecology for the cost of defending the decision before the PCHB unless otherwise agreed to by the applicant and Ecology. If an applicant

Senate Bill Report - 2 - E2SSB 6267

appeals either an approval or denial by Ecology, the applicant is responsible for his or her own appeal costs.

If an applicant elects not to participate in a cost-reimbursement process, the application remains on file with Ecology, retains its priority date, and may be processed in the future under regular processing, expedited processing, or through cost-reimbursement.

Expedited Processing. Ecology may expedite processing of applications within the same surface water or groundwater source on its own volition when there is interest from a sufficient number of applicants or upon receipt of written requests from at least 10 percent of the applicants within a water source. If those conditions are met and Ecology determines it is in the public interest to expedite applications in a water source, Ecology must notify everyone with a pending application that expedited processing is being initiated, and provide the criteria under which the applications are examined and determined; the estimated cost; an estimate of how long the expedited process takes; and allow at least 60 days for applicants to respond to Ecology. Additionally, Ecology must post notice on its website.

Ecology must determine the full costs to process applications on an expedited basis and recover those costs from applicants who elect to participate through expedited processing fees. Ecology must calculate the estimated cost to the applicant based primarily on the quantity of water requested by the applicant and may adjust the fee if it appears that the application requires more time due to its complexity. Any application fees that were paid by the applicant must be credited against the applicant's expedited processing fee. Ecology must collect the expedited processing fee prior to the expedited processing of an application. The expedited processing fees must be deposited into the water rights processing account.

If an applicant elects not to participate in expedited processing, the application remains on file with Ecology, retains its priority date, and may be processed in the future under regular processing, expedited processing, or through cost-reimbursement. Such an application may not be processed through expedited processing within 12 months after the previous expedited processing has been completed unless the applicant pays the full proportionate share that would otherwise have been paid for expedited processing. Any proceeds collected from an applicant may be used to reimburse the other applicants who participated in the previous expedited processing.

<u>Certified Water Right Examiners.</u> Ecology must establish and maintain a list of certified water right examiners. Certified water right examiners are eligible to perform final proof examinations of permitted water uses leading to the issuance of a water right certificate. An individual must be registered in Washington as a professional engineer, professional land surveyor, or registered hydrogeologist or demonstrate at least five years of applicable experience or be a conservancy board member in order to be eligible to become a certified water right examiner.

Additionally, qualified individuals must also pass a written examination and demonstrate knowledge and competency regarding Washington water law; measurement of water through open channels and enclosed pipes; water use and water level reporting; estimation of capacity of reservoirs and ponds; irrigation crop water requirements; aerial photo interpretation; location of land and water infrastructure through maps and global positioning; proper

Senate Bill Report - 3 - E2SSB 6267

construction and sealing of well bores; and other topics related to the preparation and certification of water rights in Washington.

Each certified water right examiner must complete eight hours annually of qualifying continuing education in the water resources field and be bonded for at least \$50,000. Ecology must establish and collect fees for the examination, certification, and renewal of certification of water right examiners. Additionally, Ecology may adopt rules concerning water right examiners.

In order to receive a final water right certificate, the permit holder must hire a certified water right examiner to perform a final examination of the project to verify its completion and to determine and document for the permit holder and Ecology: (1) the amount of water that has been appropriated for beneficial use; (2) the location of diversion or withdrawal and conveyance facilities; and (3) the actual place of use. Ecology may also conduct a final proof of examination. Ecology must make its final decision within 60 days of the date of receipt of the proof examination from the certified water right examiner, unless otherwise requested by the applicant. Ecology may request corrections to a draft final proof of examination received from the certified water right examiner.

<u>Notification to Affected Tribal Governments.</u> Ecology must provide electronic notice and opportunity for comment to affected federally recognized tribal governments concurrently when providing notice to applicants under cost-reimbursement, coordinated cost-reimbursement, or expedited processing of applications.

Votes on Final Passage:

Senate 46 2

House 51 47 (House amended)

(Senate refused to concur)

House 96 1 (House receded/amended)

Senate 46 2 (Senate concurred)

Effective: June 10, 2010

Partial Veto Summary: The Governor vetoed the sections that defined the original location of a well associated with a water right claim as the area located within a one-quarter mile radius of the current well or wells. Additionally, the Governor vetoed the effective date and expiration sections pertaining to defining the original location of a well.

Senate Bill Report - 4 - E2SSB 6267