

HOUSE BILL REPORT

HB 2525

As Reported by House Committee On:
Community & Economic Development & Trade

Title: An act relating to public facilities districts created by at least two city or county legislative authorities.

Brief Description: Concerning public facilities districts created by at least two city or county legislative authorities.

Sponsors: Representatives Nealey, Klippert, Chandler and Haler.

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

Community & Economic Development & Trade: 1/27/10, 1/28/10 [DPS].

Brief Summary of Substitute Bill

- Requires approval by a majority of board members from each jurisdiction within a recreational facility Public Facilities District only when submitting a tax proposition to the voters.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & TRADE

Majority Report: The substitute bill be substituted therefor and the substitute bill do pass. Signed by 8 members: Representatives Kenney, Chair; Maxwell, Vice Chair; Smith, Ranking Minority Member; Chase, Moeller, Orcutt, Parker and Probst.

Minority Report: Without recommendation. Signed by 1 member: Representative Liias.

Staff: Brian Kilgore (786-7119) and Chris Cordes (786-7103).

Background:

A Public Facilities District (PFD) is a municipal corporation with independent taxing authority and is a taxing district under the state Constitution. A PFD may be created by a city, group of cities, county, or a group of cities and a county. A PFD is governed by an appointed board of directors with varying composition and appointing authority.

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

In 2009 multi-city/county PFDs were authorized for jurisdictions that already had a PFD. These new PFDs were only allowed to develop and operate recreational facilities other than ski resorts. To approve a proposition, a majority of board members representing each city or county participating in the additional PFD must approve the proposition.

A PFD may impose a variety of taxes to fund its regional facility. For example, a PFD may levy an admissions tax not exceeding 5 percent, a vehicle parking tax not exceeding 10 percent, and a voter-approved 0.2 percent sales tax. A county PFD may also impose a voter-approved 2 percent lodging tax.

Summary of Substitute Bill:

Multi-city/county PFDs which are created by jurisdictions that already had a PFD only require the approval of a majority of board members from each participating jurisdiction when submitting tax propositions to the voters.

Substitute Bill Compared to Original Bill:

The substitute bill strikes all of section 2, which allowed PFDs created by jurisdictions that already had a PFD to develop and operate a regional center.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Effective Date of Substitute Bill: The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of the session in which the bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony:

(In support) Some amendments to House Bill 2299 approved by the Senate committee last year didn't make it into the final bill. They were struck out on the Senate floor. The changes these amendments would have made are included in this bill. New PFDs would be able to construct regional centers in addition to recreational facilities. Additionally, approval of a majority of board members from participating jurisdictions would only be required for tax propositions to be sent to the voters.

The tri-cities have a list of 16 projects generated by public input. To be able to do all those things we need the flexibility of many different facility types. All of our projects would be approved by the voters. This bill clarifies the process for approving propositions and would allow the new tri-cities PFD to build the kinds of projects our cities need. Our new PFD would build projects that the cities cannot afford on their own.

(Opposed) None.

Persons Testifying: Representative Nealey, prime sponsor; and Brianna Taylor and Matt Watkins, City of Pasco.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying: None.