Z-0813.1			

## HOUSE BILL 2565

State of Washington 60th Legislature 2008 Regular Session

By Representatives O'Brien and Pearson; by request of Attorney General Prefiled 01/09/08. Read first time 01/14/08. Referred to Committee on Public Safety & Emergency Preparedness.

- AN ACT Relating to including defendants who are persons specifically authorized to assist and act at the direction of law enforcement officers for the purpose of affirmative defenses; and amending RCW 9.68A.110.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 **Sec. 1.** RCW 9.68A.110 and 2007 c 368 s 3 are each amended to read 7 as follows:
- 8 (1) In a prosecution under RCW 9.68A.040, it is not a defense that 9 the defendant was involved in activities of law enforcement and 10 prosecution agencies in the investigation and prosecution of criminal 11 offenses. Law enforcement and prosecution agencies shall not employ 12 minors to aid in the investigation of a violation of RCW 9.68A.090 or 13 9.68A.100. This chapter does not apply to lawful conduct between 14 spouses.
- 15 (2) In a prosecution under RCW 9.68A.050, 9.68A.060, 9.68A.070, or 16 9.68A.080, it is not a defense that the defendant did not know the age 17 of the child depicted in the visual or printed matter: PROVIDED, That 18 it is a defense, which the defendant must prove by a preponderance of

p. 1 HB 2565

the evidence, that at the time of the offense the defendant was not in possession of any facts on the basis of which he or she should reasonably have known that the person depicted was a minor.

- (3) In a prosecution under RCW 9.68A.040, 9.68A.090, 9.68A.101, or 9.68A.102, it is not a defense that the defendant did not know the alleged victim's age: PROVIDED, That it is a defense, which the defendant must prove by a preponderance of the evidence, that at the time of the offense, the defendant made a reasonable bona fide attempt to ascertain the true age of the minor by requiring production of a driver's license, marriage license, birth certificate, or other governmental or educational identification card or paper and did not rely solely on the oral allegations or apparent age of the minor.
- (4) In a prosecution under RCW 9.68A.050, 9.68A.060, or 9.68A.070, it shall be an affirmative defense that the defendant was a law enforcement officer or a person specifically authorized, in writing, to assist a law enforcement officer and acting at the direction of a law enforcement officer in the process of conducting an official investigation of a sex-related crime against a minor, or that the defendant was providing individual case treatment as a recognized medical facility or as a psychiatrist or psychologist licensed under Title 18 RCW.
- (5) In a prosecution under RCW 9.68A.050, 9.68A.060, or 9.68A.070, the state is not required to establish the identity of the alleged victim.

--- END ---

HB 2565 p. 2