

---

HOUSE BILL 1689

---

State of Washington

60th Legislature

2007 Regular Session

By Representatives Morrell, McDonald, Grant, DeBolt, Green, Quall, Curtis, Haler, Springer, Kessler, Takko, Williams, Hunt, Bailey, Hudgins, Blake, Goodman, McDermott, Hasegawa, Walsh, Simpson, Campbell, Flannigan, McCune, VanDeWege, Lantz, Kelley, Seaquist, Darneille, Rodne, P. Sullivan, Dunn, Moeller, Conway, Santos, Hurst and Kenney

Read first time 01/25/2007. Referred to Committee on Health Care & Wellness.

1 AN ACT Relating to improving the cardiac delivery system in the  
2 state of Washington by creating a new statutory certificate of need  
3 category for adult nonemergent interventional cardiology for hospitals  
4 without on-site open heart surgery programs; adding new sections to  
5 chapter 70.38 RCW; and creating a new section.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

7 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that:

8 (1) Cardiovascular disease is the second leading cause of death in  
9 Washington state, accounting for approximately twenty-four percent of  
10 all deaths, many of which occur in individuals under the age of  
11 sixty-five. Through the work of the blue ribbon commission, the  
12 governor and legislature have called for improving the health status of  
13 Washington citizens through the use of evidence-based medicine. The  
14 current system in this state of allowing hospitals without on-site open  
15 heart surgery programs to perform adult emergency but not nonemergent  
16 coronary interventions is an inefficient system that is not consistent  
17 with evidence-based medicine.

18 (2) Appropriate and timely access to coronary interventions for  
19 both emergency and nonemergent patients is an effective means of

1 reducing the rate of premature deaths or morbidity from cardiovascular  
2 disease. In many communities, timely access is not available and the  
3 current system negatively impacts all cardiac delivery such that  
4 access, quality, and outcomes are adversely impacted. Negative  
5 consequences include:

6 (a) Severe restrictions on access to the right cardiac care at the  
7 right time resulting in adverse health outcomes, even death;

8 (b) Provider shortages due to communities' inability to recruit or  
9 retain an adequate supply of cardiologists and related providers, which  
10 affects not only access but also the quality of both emergency and  
11 nonemergent cardiac care; and

12 (c) Unnecessary patient transfer and duplication of diagnostic  
13 tests, evaluations, and other procedures, which leads to increased  
14 patient risk as well as higher costs.

15 (3) Advancements in technology have expanded the ability to safely  
16 and effectively perform adult nonemergent coronary interventions in  
17 hospitals that do not have on-site open heart surgery programs.  
18 Published literature demonstrates that these interventions can be  
19 safely performed in hospitals without on-site surgical back-up as long  
20 as certain volume levels and other quality controls are met. The  
21 number of states allowing hospitals to perform these interventions  
22 without on-site open heart surgery programs continues to grow in the  
23 United States such that Washington state is now in the minority of  
24 states.

25 (4) Current department of health certificate of need rules, in  
26 effect since 1992, require hospitals to have an on-site open heart  
27 surgery program in order to perform nonemergent coronary interventions.  
28 Emergency coronary interventions are not subject to certificate of need  
29 review in Washington, and therefore any hospital can perform these  
30 procedures.

31 (5) Over the past fourteen years, technological advances have  
32 affected the methods used and safety of these cardiac interventions.  
33 The current rule limits patient access unreasonably.

34 (6) As recently as December 2001, the department of health released  
35 the report of the advisory committee on certificate of need heart  
36 surgery methodology review. The committee's report, required by  
37 legislation passed in 2000, recommended the creation of a separate

1 certificate of need category for adult nonemergent interventional  
2 cardiology that did not require a hospital to have on-site open heart  
3 surgery.

4 In order to improve the cardiac delivery system in this state, the  
5 legislature intends to allow hospitals without on-site open heart  
6 surgery programs the opportunity to perform adult nonemergent coronary  
7 interventions by applying for a separate certificate of need for these  
8 services. This change will significantly improve the cardiac delivery  
9 system in the state. It will strengthen the delivery of both emergency  
10 and nonemergent cardiac care by assuring that more Washington residents  
11 get the right cardiac care at the right time.

12 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 70.38 RCW  
13 to read as follows:

14 (1) Adult nonemergent coronary interventions are tertiary services  
15 and shall be performed only in hospitals licensed pursuant to chapter  
16 70.41 RCW that have obtained a certificate of need from the department  
17 pursuant to rules adopted by the department. The department's rules  
18 for granting a certificate of need to a licensed hospital to provide  
19 adult nonemergent coronary interventions shall not require the hospital  
20 to have an on-site open heart surgery program. If a hospital has an  
21 existing open heart surgery program, the hospital shall not be required  
22 to obtain a separate certificate of need to provide adult nonemergent  
23 coronary interventions.

24 (2) For purposes of this section and section 3 of this act, "adult  
25 nonemergent coronary interventions" means catheter-based nonsurgical  
26 interventions in the coronary arteries performed on individuals age  
27 eighteen or older. These interventions include insertion of coronary  
28 artery stents and percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

29 (3) Nothing in this section or section 3 of this act is to be  
30 interpreted as requiring a hospital to obtain a certificate of need  
31 prior to performing emergency coronary interventions.

32 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 70.38 RCW  
33 to read as follows:

34 (1) The department of health shall adopt by rule, no later than  
35 April 1, 2008, a separate certificate of need methodology and standards

1 implementing this section and section 2 of this act. The department  
2 shall begin accepting nonemergent coronary intervention certificate of  
3 need applications no later than May 1, 2008.

4 (2) In developing the standards under this section, the department  
5 shall:

6 (a) Include evidence-based minimum volume standards for both the  
7 applicant hospital and the performing cardiologists, taking into  
8 consideration that standards may need to be different for rural areas  
9 and other communities with special populations in order to provide  
10 adequate access;

11 (b) Include standards to ensure that new adult nonemergent coronary  
12 intervention programs do not adversely impact the ability of hospitals  
13 currently performing these procedures to operate at volume levels noted  
14 in (a) of this subsection;

15 (c) Establish standards to ensure that adult nonemergent coronary  
16 intervention volumes at the University of Washington academic medical  
17 center are maintained at levels required for training of cardiologists  
18 consistent with applicable accreditation requirements;

19 (d) Establish standards to ensure that both emergency and  
20 nonemergent adult coronary intervention volumes are included in the  
21 count of volumes needed to attain the volume levels noted in (a) of  
22 this subsection;

23 (e) Require applying hospitals to develop and maintain an agreement  
24 with a hospital that has an on-site open heart surgery program for  
25 transfer, case selection, and quality assurance review;

26 (f) Use geographic areas no larger than the hospital subplanning  
27 areas defined in the 1987 Washington state health plan as the planning  
28 areas for evaluating need;

29 (g) Require approved hospitals to submit outcome data to the  
30 American college of cardiology-national cardiovascular data registry.

31 (3) Following the initial implementation of the rules, the  
32 department shall convene an expert panel at least every three years to  
33 review and recommend appropriate revision to these rules based on  
34 advances in technology and treatment.

--- END ---