

**E2SHB 1569** - S AMD TO S AMD (S-3448.1/07) **439**

By Senator Pflug

WITHDRAWN 4/12/2007

1 On page 1, line 24 of the amendment, after "(2)" strike all  
2 material through "(3)" on line 26

3  
4 On page 2, beginning on line 4 of the amendment, after "employer"  
5 strike all material through "(6)" on line 19 and insert ".

6 (3) "Health benefit plan" has the same meaning as defined in RCW  
7 48.43.005 or any plan provided by a self-funded multiple employer  
8 welfare arrangement as defined in RCW 48.125.010 or by another benefit  
9 arrangement defined in the federal employee retirement income security  
10 act of 1974, as amended.

11 (4) (~~("Program")~~)"

12  
13 On page 2, line 21 of the amendment, strike "(( ~~+5~~)) (7)" and  
14 insert "(5)"

15  
16 On page 2, beginning on line 22 of the amendment, after "employer"  
17 strike all material through "employment" on line 24

18  
19 On page 2, line 25 of the amendment, strike "(8)" and insert "(6)"

20  
21 On page 2, line 27 of the amendment, strike "(9)" and insert "(7)"

22  
23 On page 2, line 34 of the amendment, strike "(1)"

24  
25 Beginning on page 3, after line 3 of the amendment, strike all  
26 material through "study)." on page 20, line 19, and insert the  
27 following:

28  
29 **"PART I: FINDINGS AND INTENT**

30  
31 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 101.** LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS. The legislature  
32 finds that:

33 (1) The people of Washington have expressed strong concerns about  
34 health care costs and access to needed health services. Even if

1 currently insured, they are not confident that they will continue to  
2 have health insurance coverage in the future and feel that they are  
3 getting less, but spending more.

4 (2) Many employers, especially small employers, struggle with the  
5 cost of providing employer-sponsored health insurance coverage to their  
6 employees, while others are unable to offer employer-sponsored health  
7 insurance due to its high cost. In addition, small employers continue  
8 to invest a significant amount of their time in the health insurance  
9 business as they are the lone gateway to group coverage for their  
10 employees. This is time better served meeting their customers' needs  
11 and fulfilling the many demands and challenges of our ever-changing  
12 marketplace. Even after much research has been done by the employer to  
13 secure a health benefit plan that works for everyone, it is, too often,  
14 that some individuals are forced into a choice of health care coverage  
15 they would have never made on their own, if given that chance.

16 (3) Six hundred thousand Washingtonians are uninsured. Three-  
17 quarters work or have a working family member; two-thirds are low  
18 income; and one-half are young adults. Many are low-wage workers who  
19 are not offered, or eligible for, employer-sponsored coverage. Others  
20 struggle with the burden of paying their share of the costs of  
21 employer-sponsored health insurance, while still others turn down their  
22 employer's offer of coverage due to its costs.

23 (4) Lack of portability remains a constant problem as thousands of  
24 Washington residents go uninsured every year simply because they are  
25 temporarily between jobs or their new job does not offer an affordable  
26 option for them. In addition, two-income earner families are punished  
27 by the system as they are forced to choose one employer's health  
28 insurance plan over another without a chance to collect premium  
29 contributions from both.

30 (5) Access to health insurance and other health care spending has  
31 resulted in improved health for many Washingtonians. Yet, we are not  
32 receiving as much value as we should for each health care dollar spent  
33 in Washington state. By failing to sufficiently focus our efforts on  
34 prevention and management of chronic diseases, such as diabetes,  
35 asthma, and heart disease, too many Washingtonians suffer from  
36 complications of their illnesses. By failing to make health insurance  
37 coverage affordable for low-wage workers and self-employed people,  
38 health problems that could be treated in a doctor's office are treated  
39 in the emergency room or hospital. By failing to focus on the most

1 effective ways to maintain our health and treat disease, Washingtonians  
2 have not made lifestyle changes proven to improve health, nor do they  
3 receive the most effective care.

4 (6) There are very few incentives for young adults, nineteen  
5 through thirty years old, to purchase their own health coverage.  
6 Young, healthy adults are often quoted rates that are incongruent with  
7 their level of risk and do not make financial sense when they look at  
8 the cost benefit ratio. By failing to offer the right incentives for  
9 this population to enroll in a health insurance plan, we have created  
10 layers of problems such as increased uncompensated care and less  
11 preventative care being sought.

12 (7) The concept of a health insurance exchange has the potential  
13 for offering a strong value to Washington's health insurance market.  
14 It is necessary and advisable to fully consider the potential success  
15 and drawbacks of this concept through an interim study group of health  
16 policy stakeholders and legislators. The study's findings and  
17 recommendations will provide a template or guide for further  
18 consideration of health care market reform in Washington state.

19  
20 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 102.** LEGISLATIVE INTENT. The legislature  
21 intends, through the public/private partnership reflected in this act,  
22 to improve our current health care system so that:

23 (1) Health insurance coverage is more affordable for employers,  
24 employees, self-employed people, and other individuals;

25 (2) The process of choosing and purchasing health insurance  
26 coverage is well-informed, clearer, and simpler;

27 (3) Prevention, chronic care management, wellness, and improved  
28 quality of care are a fundamental part of our health care system;

29 (4) Administrative costs at every level are reduced;

30 (5) As a result of these changes, more people in Washington state  
31 have access to affordable health insurance coverage and health outcomes  
32 in Washington state are improved;

33 (6) More insurance coverage choices are available to all health  
34 consumers;

35 (7) Competition is increased between health plans based on quality,  
36 cost, and positive health outcomes;

37 (8) Employer incentives to keep an employee below twenty hours per  
38 week are diminished creating wider access to health insurance for part-

1 time employees and thereby reducing state costs for subsidizing health  
2 care to low-wage and part-time workers;

3 (9) More workers and employers are able to take advantage of  
4 section 125 plans to gain tax preferred status for health care premium  
5 payments resulting in significantly reduced costs.

6  
7 **PART II: WASHINGTON HEALTH INSURANCE EXCHANGE**

8  
9 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 201.** The definitions in this section apply  
10 throughout this act unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

11 (1) "Carrier" means a carrier as defined in RCW 48.43.005.

12 (2) "Commissioner" means the insurance commissioner established  
13 under RCW 48.02.010.

14 (3) "Health plan" or "health benefit plan" means a health plan or  
15 health benefit plan as defined in RCW 48.43.005.

16 (4) "Small employer" or "small group" means a business as defined  
17 in RCW 48.43.005(24).

18  
19 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 202.** (1) The Washington state health insurance  
20 exchange interim study group is hereby established. The function of  
21 the group is to thoroughly study the health insurance exchange concept  
22 and all possible implications of its full introduction in Washington  
23 state.

24 (2) The study group shall be composed of twenty members. Four  
25 members of the legislature, two from the house of representatives, one  
26 from each of the two largest caucuses, and two from the senate, one  
27 from each of the two largest caucuses. The remaining sixteen members  
28 will be appointed by the governor as follows:

29 (a) One member of the governor's policy staff;

30 (b) One representative of small employers;

31 (c) One employee health plan benefits specialist;

32 (d) One representative of health care consumers;

33 (e) One representative of public employees;

34 (f) One representative of a business association that offers its  
35 members access to an association health plan;

36 (g) A physician licensed in good standing under chapter 18.57 RCW;

37 (h) One representative each from those insurance carriers that have  
38 more than five hundred thousand Washington state subscribers;

1 (i) A health insurance broker licensed in good standing under  
2 chapter 48.17 RCW;

3 (j) The secretary of the department of social and health services,  
4 or designee;

5 (k) The secretary of the department of health, or designee;

6 (l) The insurance commissioner, or designee;

7 (m) The administrator of the health care authority, or designee;  
8 and

9 (n) The chair of the board of directors of the Washington state  
10 health insurance pool, or designee.

11 (3) Appointments to the study group shall be made on or before June  
12 1, 2007. Members of the study group shall be compensated in accordance  
13 with RCW 43.03.250 and shall be reimbursed for their travel expenses  
14 while on official business in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and  
15 43.03.060. The study group shall prescribe rules for the conduct of  
16 its business. The study group shall choose a chair and a vice-chair  
17 from among its members. Meetings of the study group shall be at the  
18 call of the chair. Supporting staff to the study group shall be  
19 provided by the governor's office and/or the health care authority as  
20 deemed necessary.

21  
22 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 203.** HEALTH INSURANCE EXCHANGE IMPLEMENTATION  
23 RECOMMENDATIONS. On or before July 1, 2007, the health care authority  
24 shall commission a comprehensive implementation study to be carried out  
25 by an independent firm in consultation with all government agencies and  
26 stakeholders affected by changes prescribed in this section. The firm  
27 designated for this task shall be provided all nonproprietary  
28 information necessary to complete its task in a timely fashion. The  
29 recommendations of the study shall be drafted in such a way as to  
30 provide a complete and comprehensive plan that will facilitate the  
31 expedient implementation of the exchange upon the study's conclusion.  
32 The implementation recommendations shall address the following issues  
33 in an actuarially sound and statistically significant manner using  
34 independent expertise from the public and private sector as is  
35 necessary to complete the task:

36 (1) The consolidation of markets in the exchange and its effect on  
37 consumers:

38 (a) The implementation study shall examine the participation and  
39 consolidation of the following markets:

- 1 (i) Small group health insurance market;  
2 (ii) Individual health insurance market;  
3 (iii) Washington state health insurance pool under chapter 48.41  
4 RCW;  
5 (iv) Basic health plan under chapter 70.47 RCW;  
6 (v) Public employees' benefits board enrollees under chapter 41.05  
7 RCW;  
8 (vi) Public school employees; and  
9 (vii) Association health plans; and  
10 (b) The report shall examine at least the following issues:  
11 (i) The direct impact of these markets participating in the  
12 exchange on the consumer, with respect to the utilization of services  
13 and cost of health plans offered through the exchange;  
14 (ii) Whether any distinction should be made in participation  
15 between active and retired employees enrolled in public employees'  
16 benefits board plans, giving consideration to the implicit subsidy that  
17 nonmedicare-eligible retirees currently benefit from by being pooled  
18 with active employees, and how medicare-eligible retirees would be  
19 affected;  
20 (iii) Whether any special allowance or provision can be or needs to  
21 be made for employees who are satisfied with their current insurance  
22 product that would assure them access to that same product within the  
23 exchange;  
24 (iv) The process by which public or private self-funded plans can  
25 be modified in such a way to allow them participation as carriers in  
26 the exchange. This issue shall be evaluated with special attention  
27 paid to the feasibility of incorporating the uniform medical plan of  
28 the public employees' benefits board within the exchange to encourage  
29 competition between the public and private sector for better risk  
30 management, product design, and wellness activities while addressing  
31 the effect this would have on consumers and the market as a whole;  
32 (v) The impact of applying the insurance regulations in RCW  
33 48.43.015, 48.43.025, and 48.43.035, on access to health services and  
34 the cost of coverage for these markets;  
35 (vi) If the exchange board should be modified in any way to  
36 adequately reflect the participation of these markets; and  
37 (vii) Any additional areas of concern relating to carrier  
38 participation in the exchange and information necessary to effectively  
39 rate plans in a new risk environment.

1 (2) The risks and benefits of establishing a requirement that  
2 residents of the state of Washington age eighteen and over obtain and  
3 maintain affordable creditable coverage, as defined in the federal  
4 health insurance portability and accountability act of 1996 (42 U.S.C.  
5 Sec. 300gg(c)). The report shall address the question of how a  
6 requirement that residents maintain coverage could be enforced in the  
7 state of Washington.

8 (3) The participation of categorically needy medicaid and state  
9 children's health insurance program enrollees in the exchange. The  
10 study shall examine the following issues:

11 (a) The impact on medicaid and state children's health insurance  
12 program enrollees participating in the exchange, with respect to the  
13 utilization of services and cost of health plans offered through the  
14 exchange;

15 (b) Whether any distinction should be made between adult and child  
16 enrollees;

17 (c) Opportunities to provide plan design flexibility through  
18 medicaid state plan amendments;

19 (d) The need for a new section 1115 waiver from the federal  
20 government for moving a sizable portion of the medicaid and state  
21 children's health insurance program population into a defined  
22 contribution model;

23 (e) A study of other states that have attempted similar reforms  
24 involving a defined contribution model within their medicaid population  
25 and whether any ideas should be incorporated to facilitate the move of  
26 enrollees to the exchange;

27 (f) Whether any cost savings to the state would result from the  
28 incorporation of medicaid and state children's health insurance program  
29 enrollees to the exchange;

30 (g) The effect any such move would have on the premiums of current  
31 exchange enrollees;

32 (h) The capacity of participating carriers in the exchange to  
33 properly manage the care of medicaid and state children's health  
34 insurance program enrollees;

35 (i) The impact of expanded choice and cost sharing on medicaid  
36 enrollees; and

37 (j) What specific categories of categorically needy medicaid and  
38 state children's health insurance program enrollees, if any, should be  
39 excluded from participation in the exchange.

1 (4) A study of health benefit mandates and insurance statutes and  
2 rules to determine the impact on premiums and individuals' health if  
3 those statutes or rules were amended or repealed:

4 (a) The effect this would have on premium rates across the age and  
5 health risk spectrum;

6 (b) Whether adverse selection would occur between carriers and/or  
7 benefit plan types; and

8 (c) What the expected take-up rate of mandate free plans would be  
9 among young adults and other age groups previously uninsured.

10 (5) Reforming the way health benefit plans are rated for different  
11 groups and the process by which they receive approval for market  
12 consumption. Possible changes to analyze include but should not be  
13 limited to:

14 (a) Expanding the adjusted community rating band to four hundred  
15 twenty-five percent for plans offered through the exchange;

16 (b) Changing the community rating formula to allow for certain  
17 percentage variations between age groups as opposed to one  
18 all-encompassing age rating band;

19 (c) Introducing a separate rating band for young adults between the  
20 ages of nineteen and thirty-four to allow for more affordable plans for  
21 this population;

22 (d) Changing the role of the office of insurance commissioner in  
23 approving rate submittals by allowing the American academy of actuaries  
24 to justify the rate and thus bypassing a costly administrative hurdle;

25 (e) Expediting the rate-approval process by which plans are able to  
26 enter the market by limiting all rate review that is within the  
27 acceptable range to thirty days or less; and

28 (f) Allowing additional rate adjustment flexibility for health  
29 insurance carriers and what the optimal range of discretion is for the  
30 consumers that purchase those products.

31 (6) The manner in which premium assistance should be provided to  
32 prospective enrollees of the exchange:

33 (a) What expectation for contribution, if any, should be placed on  
34 small and large employers whose employees apply for premium assistance  
35 through the exchange;

36 (b) How the previously negotiated and widely accepted small  
37 employer health insurance partnership can be incorporated into the  
38 exchange; and



1 (c) The most effective means for determining contribution levels  
2 and what, if any, benchmark plans should be used in such an evaluation.

3 (7) The most effective means of equitably transferring risk among  
4 and between carriers to ensure rampant competition, lower costs, and  
5 wider access to health insurance:

6 (a) An evaluation of risk transfer mechanisms should include a  
7 thorough consultation with the office of the insurance commissioner in  
8 order to incorporate any previous reports, studies, or other material  
9 published by the commissioner in dealing with the subject.

10 (b) The implementation plan shall fully consider the following  
11 goals for risk transfer arrangements when evaluating the best approach:

12 (i) Reduction of insurer incentives to avoid risk;

13 (ii) Ability of insured individuals to find coverage easily and  
14 move among plans;

15 (iii) Incentives for the primary insurer to manage high costs  
16 effectively; and

17 (iv) Ability to stabilize a merged small group and individual  
18 health insurance market for carriers and consumers.

19 (c) A recommendation should be made as to the most effective way of  
20 phasing out the Washington state health insurance pool with concurrent  
21 implementation of a new risk transfer arrangement.

22 (8) The streamlined process by which brokers will be compensated  
23 for their involvement in bringing new enrollees to the exchange:

24 (a) What standard commission rate is deemed most appropriate and  
25 fair by the various agency and broker associations;

26 (b) How interaction between employer groups and brokers will be  
27 documented and compensated;

28 (c) How plan information will be shared between the exchange and  
29 broker community; and

30 (d) Other issues that are deemed worthy of addressing to ensure  
31 active participation from insurance brokers in the implementation of  
32 the exchange.

33 (9) New employer contribution strategies that will be utilized in  
34 the exchange. Strategies to be investigated for their risk and benefit  
35 to the employer and employee include:

36 (a) A set dollar amount or defined contribution;

37 (b) Pro rata contribution for part-time or seasonal employees based  
38 on hours worked;

39 (c) A percentage of premium contribution with or without a cap; and

1 (d) Other strategies as they are referred for further investigation  
2 and discussion by the exchange board or stakeholders.

3 (10) The interim study group shall submit a timeline and work plan  
4 for the study to the governor and appropriate committees of the  
5 legislature by August 1, 2007, to include a schedule of interim study  
6 group meetings, a schedule for stakeholder input, a detailed timeline  
7 of the study, the identity of the consulting actuarial firm, and any  
8 other information necessary to ensure the completion of a comprehensive  
9 health insurance exchange study. A final report with findings and  
10 recommendations related to each of the items in the study plan and  
11 recommendations for next steps shall be completed and submitted to the  
12 legislature and governor no later than January 1, 2008.

13  
14 **PART III: MISCELLANEOUS**

15  
16 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 301.** Part headings and captions used in this  
17 act are not any part of the law.

18  
19 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 302.** This act is necessary for the immediate  
20 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the  
21 state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect  
22 immediately."

23  
24  
25 **E2SHB 1569** - S AMD TO S AMD (S-3448.1/07) **439**  
26 By Senator Pflug

27 **WITHDRAWN 4/12/2007**  
28  
29

30 Beginning on page 20, line 20 of the title amendment, after "line"  
31 strike all material through "date;" on page 21, line 2, and insert "2  
32 of the title, after "state;" insert "amending RCW 70.47A.010,  
33 70.47A.020, 70.47A.030, and 70.47A.040; creating new sections;"

34  
35  
36  
37  
--- END ---