

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6618

59th Legislature
2006 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate March 7, 2006
YEAS 44 NAYS 0

President of the Senate

Passed by the House March 2, 2006
YEAS 71 NAYS 27

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved

Governor of the State of Washington

CERTIFICATE

I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6618** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

Secretary

FILED

**Secretary of State
State of Washington**

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6618

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2006 Regular Session

State of Washington 59th Legislature 2006 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Early Learning, K-12 & Higher Education
(originally sponsored by Senators McAuliffe and Schmidt)

READ FIRST TIME 02/01/06.

1 AN ACT Relating to the high school assessment system; and creating
2 new sections.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** In 1993 the Washington legislature laid out
5 a vision of a revitalized school system in Washington state.
6 Envisioned was a comprehensive assessment system committed to high
7 academic standards for all of its students. The Washington assessment
8 of student learning was created as a tool to measure whether students
9 were reaching the high academic standards. The legislature continues
10 to support this assessment as a part of a comprehensive assessment
11 system. Recently some alternative assessments have been developed.
12 The legislature finds that there is interest in exploring why some
13 students have not been able to meet the state standards and whether
14 additional alternative methods, options, procedures, or performance
15 measures could be used to augment the current system.

16 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 2.** (1) The Washington state institute for
17 public policy shall conduct a study to explore options to augment the

1 current system of assessments to provide additional opportunities for
2 students to demonstrate that they have met the state learning
3 standards. The study is limited to:

4 (a) A review and statistical analysis of Washington assessment of
5 student learning data to increase understanding of the students who did
6 not meet the standard in one or more areas of assessment, identify the
7 characteristics of those students, and identify possible barriers to
8 student success or possible causes of the lack of success;

9 (b) A review and identification of additional alternative
10 assessment options that could be used to augment the current assessment
11 system. In identifying the alternative assessment options, the
12 institute shall include a review of alternative assessments used in
13 other states as well as those that have been developed and those that
14 have been proposed in Washington. The institute shall examine the use
15 of national tests as well as career skill certification exams in their
16 review of possible alternative assessment options. For each of the
17 identified alternative assessment options, the study shall at a minimum
18 include:

- 19 (i) An estimation of the costs for implementation;
- 20 (ii) A review of the cultural appropriateness;
- 21 (iii) Whether the alternative assessment reliably measures a
22 student's ability to meet state learning standards in one or more of
23 the required content areas;
- 24 (iv) Whether the alternative assessment is in compliance with RCW
25 28A.655.061(1); and
- 26 (v) Any challenges to implementation for each of the identified
27 alternative assessment options, including any legislative action
28 necessary for implementation;

29 (c) A review and identification of additional alternative methods,
30 procedures, or combinations of performance measures, including those
31 proposed in Washington, to assess whether students have met the state
32 learning standards. For each of the identified alternative methods,
33 procedures, or performance measures, the study shall at a minimum
34 include:

- 35 (i) An estimation of the costs for implementation;
- 36 (ii) A review of the cultural appropriateness;
- 37 (iii) Whether the method, procedure, or performance measure

1 reliably measures a student's ability to meet state learning standards
2 in one or more of the required content areas;

3 (iv) Whether the method, procedure, or performance measure is in
4 compliance with RCW 28A.655.061(1);

5 (v) Any challenges to implementation for each of the identified
6 methods, procedures, or performance measures, including any legislative
7 action necessary for implementation; and

8 (vi) Whether the procedures or methods could be standardized across
9 the state.

10 (2) The Washington state institute for public policy shall provide
11 an interim report to the legislature by December 1, 2006, and a final
12 report by December 1, 2007. The interim report shall include a
13 preliminary statistical analysis of the information required under
14 subsection (1)(a) of this section and shall include recommendations on
15 at least two alternative assessment options, alternative methods,
16 procedures, or performance measures that were reviewed under subsection
17 (1)(b) and (c) of this section. The final study shall include
18 suggestions for any follow-up studies that the legislature could
19 undertake to continue to build on the information obtained in this
20 study.

21 (3) The institute shall consult, at a minimum, with nationally
22 recognized experts on assessments including representatives from
23 nationally recognized centers for multicultural education,
24 representatives of the office of the superintendent of public
25 instruction, educators, counselors, parents, the business community,
26 classified employees, career and technical organizations,
27 representatives of federally recognized Washington tribes,
28 representatives of cultural, linguistic, and racial minority groups,
29 and the community of persons with disabilities in developing the
30 initial list of possible alternative assessment options, alternative
31 assessment methods, procedures, or performance measures to be reviewed
32 under subsection (1)(b) and (c) of this section.

33 (4) The office of the superintendent of public instruction and
34 school districts shall provide the institute with access to all
35 necessary data to conduct the studies in this act.

1 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 3.** This act shall be known as the Governor
2 Booth Gardner Act.

--- END ---