I-4774.1
----------

## SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2979

State of Washington 59th Legislature 2006 Regular Session

By House Committee on Juvenile Justice & Family Law (originally sponsored by Representatives Hasegawa, Chase, Roberts and Santos)

READ FIRST TIME 02/03/06.

8

- 1 AN ACT Relating to addressing cultural upbringing in parenting
- 2 plans; and amending RCW 26.09.184, 26.09.015, and 26.09.187.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 26.09.184 and 1991 c 367 s 7 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
- 6 (1) OBJECTIVES. The objectives of the permanent parenting plan are to:
  - (a) Provide for the child's physical care;
- 9 (b) Maintain the child's emotional stability;
- 10 (c) Provide for the child's changing needs as the child grows and 11 matures, in a way that minimizes the need for future modifications to 12 the permanent parenting plan;
- (d) Set forth the authority and responsibilities of each parent with respect to the child, consistent with the criteria in RCW 26.09.187 and 26.09.191;
- 16 (e) Minimize the child's exposure to harmful parental conflict;
- 17 (f) Encourage the parents, where appropriate under RCW 26.09.187
- and 26.09.191, to meet their responsibilities to their minor children

p. 1 SHB 2979

through agreements in the permanent parenting plan, rather than by relying on judicial intervention; and

- (g) To otherwise protect the best interests of the child consistent with RCW 26.09.002.
- (2) CONTENTS OF THE PERMANENT PARENTING PLAN. The permanent parenting plan shall contain provisions for resolution of future disputes between the parents, allocation of decision-making authority, and residential provisions for the child.
- (3) <u>CONSIDERATION IN ESTABLISHING THE PERMANENT PARENTING PLAN. In establishing a permanent parenting plan, the court shall consider the cultural heritage and religious beliefs of a child.</u>
- (4) DISPUTE RESOLUTION. A process for resolving disputes, other than court action, shall be provided unless precluded or limited by RCW 26.09.187 or 26.09.191. A dispute resolution process may include counseling, mediation, or arbitration by a specified individual or agency, or court action. In the dispute resolution process:
  - (a) Preference shall be given to carrying out the parenting plan;
- (b) The parents shall use the designated process to resolve disputes relating to implementation of the plan, except those related to financial support, unless an emergency exists;
- (c) A written record shall be prepared of any agreement reached in counseling or mediation and of each arbitration award and shall be provided to each party;
- (d) If the court finds that a parent has used or frustrated the dispute resolution process without good reason, the court shall award attorneys' fees and financial sanctions to the prevailing parent;
- (e) The parties have the right of review from the dispute resolution process to the superior court; and
- 29 (f) The provisions of (a) through (e) of this subsection shall be 30 set forth in the decree.
  - ((+4))) (5) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.
  - (a) The plan shall allocate decision-making authority to one or both parties regarding the children's education, health care, and religious upbringing. The parties may incorporate an agreement related to the care and growth of the child in these specified areas, or in other areas, into their plan, consistent with the criteria in RCW 26.09.187 and 26.09.191. Regardless of the allocation of decision-

SHB 2979 p. 2

making in the parenting plan, either parent may make emergency decisions affecting the health or safety of the child.

- (b) Each parent may make decisions regarding the day-to-day care and control of the child while the child is residing with that parent.
- (c) When mutual decision making is designated but cannot be achieved, the parties shall make a good-faith effort to resolve the issue through the dispute resolution process.
- ((+5))) (6) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS FOR THE CHILD. The plan shall include a residential schedule which designates in which parent's home each minor child shall reside on given days of the year, including provision for holidays, birthdays of family members, vacations, and other special occasions, consistent with the criteria in RCW 26.09.187 and 26.09.191.
- $((\frac{(+6)}{(+6)}))$  <u>(7)</u> PARENTS' OBLIGATION UNAFFECTED. If a parent fails to comply with a provision of a parenting plan or a child support order, the other parent's obligations under the parenting plan or the child support order are not affected. Failure to comply with a provision in a parenting plan or a child support order may result in a finding of contempt of court, under RCW 26.09.160.
- $((\frac{(7)}{)})$  (8) PROVISIONS TO BE SET FORTH IN PERMANENT PARENTING PLAN. The permanent parenting plan shall set forth the provisions of subsections  $((\frac{(3)}{)})$  (4)(a) through (c),  $((\frac{(4)}{)})$  (b) and (c), and  $((\frac{(6)}{)})$  (7) of this section.
- Sec. 2. RCW 26.09.015 and 2005 c 172 s 17 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) In any proceeding under this chapter, the matter may be set for mediation of the contested issues before or concurrent with the setting of the matter for hearing. The purpose of the mediation proceeding shall be to reduce acrimony which may exist between the parties and to develop an agreement assuring the child's close and continuing contact with both parents after the marriage is dissolved. The mediator shall use his or her best efforts to effect a settlement of the dispute.
- (2) Each superior court may make available a mediator. The mediator may be a member of the professional staff of a family court or mental health services agency, or may be any other person or agency designated by the court. In order to provide mediation services, the court is not required to institute a family court.

p. 3 SHB 2979

1 (3)(a) Mediation proceedings under this chapter shall be governed 2 in all respects by chapter 7.07 RCW, except as follows:

3

45

6

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

33

3435

36

- (i) Mediation communications in postdecree mediations mandated by a parenting plan are admissible in subsequent proceedings for the limited purpose of proving:
- (A) Abuse, neglect, abandonment, exploitation, or unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 9A.46.020(1), of a child;
- (B) Abuse or unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 9A.46.020(1), of a family or household member as defined in RCW 26.50.010(2); or
- (C) That a parent used or frustrated the dispute resolution process without good reason for purposes of RCW  $26.09.184((\frac{3}{3}))$  (4)(d).
- (ii) If a postdecree mediation-arbitration proceeding is required pursuant to a parenting plan and the same person acts as both mediator and arbitrator, mediation communications in the mediation phase of such a proceeding may be admitted during the arbitration phase, and shall be admissible in the judicial review of such a proceeding under RCW  $26.09.184(({\frac{(3)}{2}}))$   ${\frac{(4)}{2}}$  (e) to the extent necessary for such review to be effective.
- (b) None of the exceptions under (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection shall subject a mediator to compulsory process to testify except by court order for good cause shown, taking into consideration the need for the mediator's testimony and the interest in the mediator impartiality. appearance of Ιf maintaining an a communication is not privileged under (a)(i) of this subsection or that portion of (a)(ii) of this subsection pertaining to judicial review, only the portion of the communication necessary for the application of the exception may be admitted, and such admission of evidence shall not render any other mediation communication discoverable or admissible except as may be provided in chapter 7.07 RCW.
- (4) The mediator shall assess the needs and interests of the child or children involved in the controversy and may interview the child or children if the mediator deems such interview appropriate or necessary.
- (5) Any agreement reached by the parties as a result of mediation shall be reported to the court and to counsel for the parties by the mediator on the day set for mediation or any time thereafter designated by the court.

SHB 2979 p. 4

- 1 **Sec. 3.** RCW 26.09.187 and 1989 c 375 s 10 are each amended to read 2 as follows:
  - (1) DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS. The court shall not order a dispute resolution process, except court action, when it finds that any limiting factor under RCW 26.09.191 applies, or when it finds that either parent is unable to afford the cost of the proposed dispute resolution process. If a dispute resolution process is not precluded or limited, then in designating such a process the court shall consider all relevant factors, including:
- 10 (a) Differences between the parents that would substantially inhibit their effective participation in any designated process;
  - (b) The parents' wishes or agreements and, if the parents have entered into agreements, whether the agreements were made knowingly and voluntarily; and
- 15 (c) Differences in the parents' financial circumstances that may 16 affect their ability to participate fully in a given dispute resolution 17 process.
  - (2) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.

3

4

6 7

8

9

1213

14

18 19

2021

22

25

30

37

- (a) AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The court shall approve agreements of the parties allocating decision-making authority, or specifying rules in the areas listed in RCW 26.09.184((4+)) (5) (a), when it finds that:
- 23 (i) The agreement is consistent with any limitations on a parent's decision-making authority mandated by RCW 26.09.191; and
  - (ii) The agreement is knowing and voluntary.
- 26 (b) SOLE DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. The court shall order sole decision-making to one parent when it finds that:
- 28 (i) A limitation on the other parent's decision-making authority is mandated by RCW 26.09.191;
  - (ii) Both parents are opposed to mutual decision making;
- (iii) One parent is opposed to mutual decision making, and such opposition is reasonable based on the criteria in (c) of this subsection;
- 34 (c) MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. Except as provided in (a) 35 and (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider the following 36 criteria in allocating decision-making authority:
  - (i) The existence of a limitation under RCW 26.09.191;

p. 5 SHB 2979

- 1 (ii) The history of participation of each parent in decision making 2 in each of the areas in RCW  $26.09.184((\frac{4}{1}))$  (5)(a);
  - (iii) Whether the parents have a demonstrated ability and desire to cooperate with one another in decision making in each of the areas in RCW  $26.09.184((\frac{4}{1}))$  (5)(a); and
  - (iv) The parents' geographic proximity to one another, to the extent that it affects their ability to make timely mutual decisions.
    - (3) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS.

- (a) The court shall make residential provisions for each child which encourage each parent to maintain a loving, stable, and nurturing relationship with the child, consistent with the child's developmental level and the family's social and economic circumstances. The child's residential schedule shall be consistent with RCW 26.09.191. Where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 are not dispositive of the child's residential schedule, the court shall consider the following factors:
- (i) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's relationship with each parent, including whether a parent has taken greater responsibility for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;
- (ii) The agreements of the parties, provided they were entered into knowingly and voluntarily;
- (iii) Each parent's past and potential for future performance of parenting functions;
  - (iv) The emotional needs and developmental level of the child;
  - (v) The child's relationship with siblings and with other significant adults, as well as the child's involvement with his or her physical surroundings, school, or other significant activities;
  - (vi) The wishes of the parents and the wishes of a child who is sufficiently mature to express reasoned and independent preferences as to his or her residential schedule; and
- (vii) Each parent's employment schedule, and shall make accommodations consistent with those schedules.
- 33 Factor (i) shall be given the greatest weight.
  - (b) The court may order that a child frequently alternate his or her residence between the households of the parents for brief and substantially equal intervals of time only if the court finds the following:
    - (i) No limitation exists under RCW 26.09.191;

SHB 2979 p. 6

(ii)(A) The parties have agreed to such provisions and the agreement was knowingly and voluntarily entered into; or

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

(B) The parties have a satisfactory history of cooperation and shared performance of parenting functions; the parties are available to each other, especially in geographic proximity, to the extent necessary to ensure their ability to share performance of the parenting functions; and

(iii) The provisions are in the best interests of the child.

--- END ---

p. 7 SHB 2979