

SENATE BILL REPORT

SB 6151

As Reported By Senate Committee On:
Water, Energy & Environment, January 26, 2006

Title: An act relating to water policy in regions with regulated reductions in aquifer levels.

Brief Description: Protecting aquifer levels.

Sponsors: Senators Schoesler, Poulsen, Mulliken, Rasmussen, Jacobsen, Morton and Delvin.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Water, Energy & Environment: 1/12/06, 1/26/06 [DPS, w/oRec].

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WATER, ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT

Majority Report: That Substitute Senate Bill No. 6151 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass.

Signed by Senators Poulsen, Chair; Rockefeller, Vice Chair; Morton, Ranking Minority Member; Delvin, Fraser, Honeyford, Mulliken and Pridemore.

Minority Report: That it be referred without recommendation.

Signed by Senator Regala.

Staff: Margaret King (786-7416)

Background: Ground Water Management Subareas may be established by Department of Ecology rule to address declining aquifer levels and regulate withdrawals of public ground water. The department has adopted rules establishing the Odessa Groundwater Management Subarea (subarea). Part of the subarea includes lands within the boundaries of the federal Columbia Basin Project (project). Deep well irrigation occurs in some subarea lands that never received federal project water as once anticipated even though they lie within project boundaries.

A water right may be forfeited for non-use. The forfeiture may be found under common law principles of abandonment or may result from the application of state statutes on relinquishment. The relinquishment laws provide exemptions from their forfeiture requirements. Exempted from relinquishment is the non-use of standby or reserve waters that are to be used in time of drought or other low flow periods as long as the withdrawal or diversion facilities for the right are maintained in good operating condition.

In 2004, the Legislature granted the department the authority to enter into agreements with the United States and the project irrigation districts to offset aquifer depletions due to ground water withdrawals. Such agreements allow surface water conserved within currently served project areas to be delivered to deep well irrigated lands in ground water management subareas within project boundaries. Where such deliveries occur, the department must issue a superseding water right permit or certificate to indicate that the unused portion of a replaced

subarea ground water right is a reserve right with low flow protection from relinquishment. This reserve right may again be used if the delivery of conserved project water is curtailed or otherwise unavailable. The total acreage irrigated under the subarea ground water right and delivered project water must not exceed quantity or acreage limits described in the ground water permit of certificate.

Summary of Substitute Bill: The non-use of water in the Odessa subarea is explicitly protected for a period of fifteen years from the relinquishment laws due to the conditions of drought or low flow as set forth under existing law. If certain conditions are met and the withdrawal facilities are maintained in good operating condition and no superseding standby or reserve water right permit has been issued from the Columbia basin project, the unused water is considered standby or reserve water supply. Conditions that excuse non-use include:

- conservation practice;
- change in types or rotations of crops;
- economic hardship;
- pumping or system infrastructure cost;
- unavailability or unsuitability of water; or
- participation in cooperative efforts to reduce aquifer depletion.

All persons claiming protected non-use must notify the department in writing and provide a name, number, and place of use of the water right. The water protected from relinquishment cannot be transferred outside of the Odessa subarea boundaries and transfers within the boundaries remain subject to the standard water transfer provisions of the law.

The department is to provide a report to the Legislature that includes information on the participation in the non-use program, the status of the aquifer and the outcome of the bureau's study and make recommendations on solutions and actions that can be taken towards reaching such solutions.

Substitute Bill Compared to Original Bill: Those that are claiming involuntary non-use are required to notify the department in writing at the commencement of the non-use and to again notify the department when use is resumed. The notice is to provide the name, number, and place of use of the right.

The Department of Ecology is to submit a report to the Legislature describing the status of the aquifer, the participation in the non-use program, and the outcome of the United States bureau of reclamation's study on feasible alternatives to Odessa groundwater use within six months after the bureau completes its study. The department's report is also to include recommendations for viable solutions and ways for the state to move forward with such solutions.

There is an expiration date to the law of July 1, 2021.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Committee/Commission/Task Force Created: No.

Effective Date: The bill contains an emergency clause and takes effect immediately.

Testimony For: Permits in Odessa were granted with the assumption that the project would be expanded and completed and water rights that were not sustainable would not be a problem because water would be replaced with bureau project water. Project water has not been extended yet and conservation measures are needed until water is brought in. Ground water levels are dropping to the point that the economics of pumping and/or the pumping regulations that are in place are making continued withdrawal increasingly difficult. A recent study by WSU shows agriculture production in the Odessa area amounts to 630 million dollars per year and provides approximately 3,600 jobs. Governors Locke and Gregoire have given this problem a high priority and the Governor has budgeted \$600,000 to look for long term solutions. This is a good short term measure that will help slow down mining of the aquifer. Bill does not expand current relinquishment exemptions, but provides clarity to users.

Testimony Against: None.

Testimony Other: Agree that the Odessa aquifer is declining at an unprecedented rate and support efforts to address the decline but have some concerns that bill language is unnecessarily broad and that there should be a sunset provision.

Who Testified: PRO: Senator Schoesler, prime sponsor; Joe Stohr, DOE; Mike Schwisow, Columbia Basin Development League; Chris McCabe, AWB; John Stuhlmiller, WA Farm Bureau; Jack Field, Washington Cattlemen's Association.

OTHER: Mo MCBroom, WEC and American Rivers.