

# HOUSE BILL REPORT

## ESHB 2891

---

---

### As Passed Legislature

**Title:** An act relating to revising boundaries of a public utility district in incorporated territory.

**Brief Description:** Modifying public utility district provisions.

**Sponsors:** By House Committee on Local Government (originally sponsored by Representatives Grant and Mastin).

### Brief History:

#### Committee Activity:

Local Government: 2/5/04 [DPS].

#### Floor Activity:

Passed House: 2/12/04, 93-0.

Passed Senate: 3/4/04, 46-0.

Passed Legislature.

### Brief Summary of Engrossed Substitute Bill

- Changes boundaries of a public utility district (PUD) in a county with a federal nuclear reservation within its boundaries.
- Establishes a process for determining which voting districts in a PUD would be included or excluded in that PUD.

---

## HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT

**Majority Report:** The substitute bill be substituted therefor and the substitute bill do pass. Signed by 11 members: Representatives Romero, Chair; D. Simpson, Vice Chair; Schindler, Ranking Minority Member; Jarrett, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Ahern, Clibborn, Edwards, Ericksen, Mielke, Moeller and Upthegrove.

**Staff:** Kiki Keizer (786-7109).

### Background:

Current law authorizes the creation of a county-wide public utility district (PUD). The

territorial limits of a county-wide PUD are co-extensive with those of the county and include all of the cities within that county that do not already own or operate all utilities that a PUD is authorized to provide.

When a county-wide PUD is first formed in a county with three legislative authority districts, one PUD commissioner is chosen from each of the three legislative authority districts.

The PUD commissioners may change the boundaries of the PUD, subject to certain legal requirements. The boundaries may not be changed more often than once in four years.

---

**Summary of Engrossed Substitute Bill:**

In a county with a federal nuclear reservation within its boundaries, voting precincts are included in a PUD if they receive at least one utility service (electricity, water, or sewer) from the PUD. Voting precincts in this area are withdrawn from a PUD if a city provides all of these services for the voting precinct.

In order to determine which voting districts would be affected by this act, the city and the PUD must provide lists of their customers affected by the act within 10 days of the act's effective date. The county auditor would then have 10 days to determine which voting precincts would have to be included or withdrawn from the PUD. The PUD would then have 10 days to revise the boundaries of the PUD.

Taxes or assessments levied or assessed before the withdrawal of certain precincts would remain as liens, as would those levies or assessments made to pay or secure an obligation of the PUD duly incurred or issued before the withdrawal.

---

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Not requested.

**Effective Date:** The bill contains an emergency clause and takes effect immediately.

**Testimony For:** Persons who are not receiving services from the PUD should not have the right to vote for PUD commissioners. The PUD is not disenfranchising voters because it does not provide those persons with any services.

The PUD is willing to give back an area in Richland that it currently serves in exchange for an agreed price.

**Testimony Against:** Resolution of how to draw the boundaries of the PUD's service

area is a local matter that is best left to the City of Richland and the Benton County PUD. It is in the PUD's interest to create an area that it can tax if they ever need to do so, while preventing people in that area from voting in elections for commissioners of the PUD.

This is not a governance issue regarding who can vote in a PUD election, it is a service issue.

Other cities vote in PUD elections.

**Persons Testifying:** (In support) Randy Ray and Karen Miller, Benton Public Utilities District.

(Opposed) Jerry Greenfield, Raymon Sieler and Rob Welch, City of Richland.

**Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying:** None.