HOUSE BILL REPORT HB 2522

As Reported by House Committee On:

State Government

Title: An act relating to the purchasing of clean technologies.

Brief Description: Encouraging the purchase of clean technologies.

Sponsors: Representatives Sullivan, Romero, Lovick, Murray, Upthegrove, Miloscia, Chase, Rockefeller, Lantz, Simpson, Kagi, McIntire, Wood, Santos, Linville and Edwards.

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

State Government: 1/31/02, 2/7/02 [DPS].

Brief Summary of Substitute Bill

The Department of General Administration (GA) must develop guidelines and criteria for the purchase of high gas mileage vehicles and investigate ways to aggregate the purchasing of vehicles that use clean technologies. Public agencies that are retail electric customers must investigate opportunities to aggregate purchases of electricity produced by wind or solar sources.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE GOVERNMENT

Majority Report: The substitute bill be substituted therefor and the substitute bill do pass. Signed by 6 members: Representatives Romero, Chair; Miloscia, Vice Chair; McDermott, Schindler, Schmidt and Upthegrove.

Staff: Marsha Reilly (786-7135).

Background:

The purchase of state passenger vehicles must meet the minimum standards established by the United States Secretary of Transportation pursuant to the energy policy and conservation act. The Legislature has made a clear policy statement regarding the use of alternative fuels in motor vehicles (RCW 43.19.570 (3) (a)), and has directed the GA to develop guidelines and criteria for the purchase of vehicles that use alternate fuels,

House Bill Report - 1 - HB 2522

systems, and equipment that would reduce energy cost and energy use.

The GA must also explore opportunities for the purchase of alternative fuel vehicles together with the federal government, agencies of other states, state agencies, local governments, or private organizations for less cost.

In 1991 statute was enacted requiring 30 percent of all new vehicles purchased through state contracts must be clean-fuel vehicles, and that the percentage shall increase at the rate of 5 percent each year.

Summary of Substitute Bill:

The GA must develop guidelines and criteria for the purchase of high gas mileage vehicles, in addition to vehicles that use alternate fuels, and find ways to aggregate the purchasing of clean technologies by state and local governments. All agencies must investigate and determine whether or not they can make clean technologies more cost-effective by combining their purchasing power before completing a planned vehicle purchase.

The GA, in cooperation with other state and local agencies, must investigate opportunities to aggregate the purchase of clean technologies to determine if combined purchasing can reduce the cost. Public agencies that are retail electric customers must investigate opportunities to aggregate the purchase of electricity generated by wind or solar energy to determine if combined purchasing can reduce the cost. No public agency is required to purchase clean technologies at prohibitive costs.

Substitute Bill Compared to Original Bill:

Only public agencies that are retail electric customers, rather than all public agencies, shall investigate opportunities to aggregate the purchase of electricity generated from a solar or wind source, and the GA, in cooperation with public agencies, shall investigate opportunities to aggregate the purchase of clean technologies. Defines public agency, electric utility, clean technology, distributive power generation, retail electric customer, and facility.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not Requested.

Effective Date of Substitute Bill: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: This bill encourages the GA to develop criteria and advance the purchase of clean technologies for state agencies. There are an incredible number of sport utility vehicles purchased and it is time, as state government, to set an example. The President and his administration have made a commitment to advance clean air technologies for vehicles, and are pushing for a future hydrogen fuel economy.

Aggregated purchasing for the installation of a solar unit at the west entrance of the Key Arena in Tacoma resulted in a 30 percent savings. This is a forward looking bill where aggregating purchases will result in cost efficiencies. The technology is here. Last year in the United States 25,000 hybrid electric cars made by Toyota were sold, and a competitive Honda hybrid will appear in the salesrooms this year. A recently completed solar system will provide about half the power for a new 255-acre campus for the environmental learning center on Bainbridge Island. Wind power capacity grew by more than 20 percent in the United States last year.

Several states have developed plans to designate a certain percentage of electricity used in state government buildings to come from "green" energy. Pennsylvania stipulated, two years ago, that 5 percent of the state's energy purchases be generated from clean courses. New Jersey required that at least 15 percent of the state government energy contract be generated by certified renewable sources. This bill is the ideal legislation to begin moving our nation toward cleaner air and, eventually, a carbon neutral society.

(With concerns) There are a few concerns with section four of the bill. That section broadly deals with aggregated purchasing of clean technologies by state and local government as well as with the aggregated purchase of electricity. First, clean technologies should be defined. Regarding the aggregated purchase of electricity, there is a legal obstacle. Utility customers in this state cannot aggregate the purchase of electricity from a single source. This would only be possible with deregulation in this state. There is also a practical obstacle. At last count, there were 64 electric utilities in the state. It would be very difficult to get volunteer agreement across the 64 electric utilities for aggregated purchases by state agencies. It is suggested that the bill be revised to recommend that aggregated purchases of electricity be within the discrete service area of the serving utility.

Testimony Against: None.

Testified: (In support) Representative Sullivan, prime sponsor; Joseph Heinnack, Heinnack Associates; and Donna Ewing, League of Women Voters and Global Climate Change.

(With Concerns) Collins Sprague, Avista Corporation.