

# HOUSE BILL REPORT

## HB 1578

---

---

### As Amended by the Senate

**Title:** An act relating to criminal profiteering.

**Brief Description:** Reenacting provisions relating to criminal profiteering.

**Sponsors:** By Representatives Carrell, Hurst and Lantz.

**Brief History:**

**Committee Activity:**

Judiciary: 2/15/01 [DP].

**Floor Activity:**

Passed House: 3/9/01, 98-0.

Senate Amended.

Passed Senate: 4/11/01, 46-0.

**Brief Summary of Bill**

- Reenacts, without any substantive changes, the Criminal Profiteering Act in order to respond to a court decision that invalidates the act because of the improper 1995 repeal of a sunset clause.

---

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

**Majority Report:** Do pass. Signed by 10 members: Representatives Carrell, Republican Co-Chair; Lantz, Democratic Co-Chair; Hurst, Democratic Vice Chair; Lambert, Republican Vice Chair; Boldt, Casada, Dickerson, Esser, Lovick and McDermott.

**Staff:** Bill Perry (786-7123).

**Background:**

In 1995 the Legislature included several provisions related to various criminal laws in a bill entitled *An Act Relating to insurance fraud.*— In December of last year, Division II of the state court of appeals held that the inclusion of one of those provisions violated the state constitution. That decision, *State v. Thomas*, 103 Wn. App. 800 (2000), overturned a conviction under the state's anti-profiteering law.

In 1984 the Legislature had enacted the Washington State Racketeering Act, which was to take effect July 1, 1985. The 1985 Legislature, however, substantially amended the act before it took effect. One of the changes was to rename the act the Criminal Profiteering Act. The act dealt generally with a variety of civil and criminal sanctions against various criminal activities, particularly crimes committed as part of a "pattern" of criminal profiteering. The 1985 legislation also put a 10-year "sunset clause" on the entire act. The sunset clause called for the act to expire on July 1, 1995, unless the Legislature enacted another bill before then to extend the life of the act.

In 1995 the Legislature repealed the sunset clause on the Criminal Profiteering Act. The repeal of the sunset clause was intended to prevent the act from expiring that July, and to extend the life of the act indefinitely. However, the repeal was done as part of E2SHB 1557 which was a bill entitled "An Act Relating to insurance fraud." E2SHB 1557 became Chapter 285, Laws of 1995.

Division II of the Washington State Court of Appeals held that this 1995 act "relating to insurance fraud," was invalid because it violated Article II, Section 19, of the state constitution. Article II, Section 19, requires that a bill contain only one subject, and that the subject be expressed in the title of the bill. The court found that the subject of "criminal profiteering" was not related to the subject of "insurance fraud," and therefore the bill violated the single subject requirement. Likewise, the court found that the subject of criminal profiteering was not "expressed" in the title of the bill, and therefore the bill violated the "subject-in-the-title" requirement. As a result, the attempted repeal of the sunset clause in 1995 was ineffective, and the court held that the criminal profiteering law had in fact expired on July 1, 1995.

---

**Summary of Bill:**

The Criminal Profiteering Act is reenacted without substantive change.

---

**EFFECT OF SENATE AMENDMENT(S):**

The amendment makes no substantive change. It supplies the Washington Appellate Reports citation for a state court of appeals decision referenced in the bill.

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Not Requested.

**Effective Date:** The bill contains an emergency clause and takes effect immediately.

**Testimony For:** This bill will implement the legislative intent of a 1995 act by

correcting a technical flaw in that act. The 1995 legislation was a model package relating to insurance fraud and criminal profiteering that should be preserved and protected by reenactment. There is no substantive change to the law.

**Testimony Against:** None.

**Testified:** Patrick Sainsbury and Susan Storey, Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys; and Larry Shannon, Washington State Trial Lawyers Association.