34

35

```
SSB 5841 - H COMM AMD Adopted 3-6-02
2
3
       By Committee on Local Government & Housing
4
 5
       Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the
6
   following:
7
        "Sec. 1. RCW 36.70A.130 and 1997 c 429 s 10 are each amended to
8
   read as follows:
9
        (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations
10
   shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or
11
   city that adopted them. ((Not later than September 1, 2002, and at
12
   least every five years thereafter,)) A county or city shall take
13
   legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive
   land use plan and development regulations to ensure ((that)) the plan
14
15
   and regulations ((are complying)) comply with the requirements of this
   chapter according to the time periods specified in subsection (4) of
16
   this section. A county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall
17
   take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and
18
19
   development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource
20
   lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure these policies and
   regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to
21
   the time periods specified in subsection (4) of this section.
22
23
   Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance
24
   following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a
25
   finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the
   revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons
26
27
   therefore. The review and evaluation required by this subsection may
   be combined with the review required by subsection (3) of this section.
28
   The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall include,
29
30
   but is not limited to, consideration of critical area ordinances and,
   if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the population
31
   allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-year population
32
   forecast by the office of financial management.
33
```

shall conform to this chapter((, and)). Any ((change)) amendment of or

(b) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan

1 <u>revision</u> to development regulations shall be consistent with and 2 implement the comprehensive plan.

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

- (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program ((identifying)) consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year ((except that)). "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the time periods specified in subsection (4) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
- 13 (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan that does not modify the comprehensive plan policies and designations applicable to the subarea;
- (ii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW; and
- 17 (iii) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a 18 comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or 19 amendment of a county or city budget.
  - (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with a growth management hearings board or with the court.
- (3) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 28 36.70A.110 shall review, at least every ten years, its designated urban 29 30 growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the 31 incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an 32 urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its 33 34 boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within 35 the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas. The county comprehensive plan designating 36 37 urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located 38 39 within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the

- urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- 4 (4) The department shall establish a schedule for counties and 5 cities to take action to review and, if needed, revise their 6 comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and 7 regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter. The schedule 8 established by the department shall provide for the reviews and 9 evaluations to be completed as follows:
- 10 <u>(a) On or before December 1, 2004, and every seven years</u>
  11 <u>thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce,</u>
  12 <u>Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those</u>
  13 <u>counties;</u>
- 14 <u>(b) On or before December 1, 2005, and every seven years</u>
  15 <u>thereafter, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and</u>
  16 Skamania counties and the cities within those counties;
- 17 <u>(c) On or before December 1, 2006, and every seven years</u>
  18 <u>thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and</u>
  19 Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
- 20 (d) On or before December 1, 2007, and every seven years
  21 thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield,
  22 Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille,
  23 Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities
  24 within those counties.
- 25 (5)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from 26 conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before 27 the time limits established in subsection (4) of this section. 28 Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible 29 for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they 30 elect to do so.
- 31 (b) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance 32 to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, 33 comprehensive plans, and development regulations.
- (6) A county or city subject to the time periods in subsection (4)(a) of this section that, pursuant to an ordinance adopted by the county or city establishing a schedule for periodic review of its comprehensive plan and development regulations, has conducted a review and evaluation of its comprehensive plan and development regulations and, on or after January 1, 2001, has taken action in response to that

review and evaluation shall be deemed to have conducted the first review required by subsection (4)(a) of this section. Subsequent review and evaluation by the county or city of its comprehensive plan and development regulations shall be conducted in accordance with the time periods established under subsection (4)(a) of this section.

(7) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section shall have the requisite authority to receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees from those accounts established in RCW 43.155.050 and 70.146.030. Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section shall receive preference for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250."

## 15 **SSB 5841** - H COMM AMD

By Committee on Local Government & Housing

17

16

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

On page 1, line 3 of the title, after "act;" strike the remainder

19 of the title and insert "and amending RCW 36.70A.130."

EFFECT: Changes the next review of comprehensive plans after the initial review to seven years for all counties. Removes the staggered deadlines for review and evaluation of December 1, 2003, for critical areas ordinances, and July 1, 2004, for review of comprehensive plans for Clark, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, and Thurston counties, and changes the initial review date to December 1, 2004. "Legislative action" is used to refer to the action of reviewing comprehensive plans and development regulations and is defined to mean the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice of a public hearing indicating a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a review was not needed and the reasons why. Requires the review and evaluation to include consideration of critical areas ordinances, and an analysis of the population allocations from the most recent 10-year population forecast from the Office of Financial Management for GMA jurisdictions. Requires that jurisdictions use public participation when proceeding with the updates, and defines updates to mean to review and revise, if needed, according to the requirements and by the scheduled deadlines. Encourages state agencies to provide technical assistance to counties and cities in review of their critical areas ordinances, comprehensive plans, and development regulations. Grants only cities and counties in compliance with the scheduled deadlines requisite authority for receiving grants or loans from the Public Works Trust Fund and the Centennial Clean Water Fund. Requires that only cities and counties in

compliance may receive preferences for grants or loans for state programs that use a scoring system to determine need for grants and loans.

--- END ---