ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL 1773

State of Washington 56th Legislature 1999 Regular Session

By Representatives Wolfe, Lambert, Schoesler, Ogden, Dickerson, Conway, Alexander, Cooper, Tokuda, Veloria, Radcliff, Stensen, D. Schmidt, Romero, Gombosky, Schindler, Keiser, Lantz, Rockefeller, Edmonds, Kenney, Scott and Lovick

Read first time 02/04/1999. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to visitation rights in nonparental actions for
- 2 child custody; amending RCW 26.09.240 and 26.10.160; and declaring an
- 3 emergency.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 26.09.240 and 1996 c 177 s 1 are each amended to read 6 as follows:
- 7 (1) A person other than a parent, if the person is related to a
- 8 child through consanguinity, marriage, or adoption, may petition the
- 9 court for visitation with ((a)) the child at any time or may intervene
- 10 in a pending dissolution, legal separation, or modification of
- 11 parenting plan proceeding. ((A)) The person $((other\ than\ a\ parent))$
- 12 may not petition for visitation under this section unless the child's
- 13 parent or parents have commenced an action under this chapter.
- 14 (2) ((A)) The petition for visitation ((with a child by a person
- 15 other than a parent)) must be filed in the county in which the child
- 16 resides.
- 17 (3) ((A petition for visitation or a motion to intervene pursuant
- 18 to this section shall be dismissed unless the petitioner or intervenor
- 19 can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that a significant

p. 1 EHB 1773

- relationship exists with the child with whom visitation is sought. If the petition or motion is dismissed for failure to establish the existence of a significant relationship, the petitioner or intervenor
- 4 shall be ordered to pay reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the 5 parent, parents, other custodian, or representative of the child who
- 6 responds to this petition or motion.

16 17

18 19

20

28

2930

31

- 7 (4))) The court may order visitation between the petitioner or 8 intervenor and the child ((between whom a significant relationship 9 exists upon a finding supported by the evidence that the visitation is 10 in the child's best interests.
- (5)(a) Visitation with a grandparent shall be presumed to be in the child's best interests when a significant relationship has been shown to exist. This presumption may be rebutted by a preponderance of evidence showing that visitation would endanger the child's physical, mental, or emotional health.
 - (b) If the court finds that reasonable visitation by a grandparent would be in the child's best interest except for hostilities that exist between the grandparent and one or both of the parents or person with whom the child lives, the court may set the matter for mediation under RCW 26.09.015.
- 21 (6)) if the petitioner or intervenor has demonstrated by clear, 22 cogent, and convincing evidence that:
- 23 <u>(a) A significant relationship exists with the child with whom</u> 24 visitation is sought;
- 25 <u>(b) Denial of visitation would result in a substantial likelihood</u>
 26 <u>of harm to the child's physical, mental, or emotional well-being; and</u>
 27 <u>(c) Visitation is in the child's best interests.</u>
 - If the petition or motion is dismissed, the petitioner or intervenor shall be ordered to pay reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the parent, parents, other custodian, or representative of the child who responds to the petition or motion.
- 32 <u>(4)</u> The court may consider the following factors when making a 33 determination of the child's best interests:
- 34 (a) The strength of the relationship between the child and the 35 petitioner or intervenor;
- 36 (b) The relationship between each of the child's parents or the 37 person with whom the child is residing and the petitioner or 38 intervenor;

EHB 1773 p. 2

- 1 (c) The nature and reason for either parent's objection to granting 2 the petitioner or intervenor visitation;
- 3 (d) The effect that granting visitation will have on the 4 relationship between the child and the child's parents or the person 5 with whom the child is residing;
 - (e) The residential time_sharing arrangements between the parents;
- 7 (f) The good faith of the petitioner or intervenor;

6

- 8 (g) Any criminal history or history of physical, emotional, or 9 sexual abuse or neglect by the petitioner <u>or intervenor</u>; and
- 10 (h) Any other factor relevant to the child's best interest.
- (((7))) (5) The restrictions of RCW 26.09.191 that apply to parents shall be applied to a petitioner or intervenor who is not a parent, but who is related to the child through consanguinity, marriage, or adoption. The nature and extent of visitation, subject to these restrictions, is in the discretion of the court.
- 16 ((+8+)) (6) The court may order an investigation and report concerning the proposed visitation or may appoint a guardian ad litem 18 as provided in RCW 26.09.220.
- 19 (((9))) <u>(7)</u> Visitation granted ((pursuant to)) <u>under</u> this section 20 shall be incorporated into the parenting plan for the child. If the court grants visitation under this section, the court shall ensure that 21 the visitation time granted is divided between the primary residential 22 23 parent and the nonprimary residential parent in an amount proportionate 24 to the time awarded each parent under the parenting plan, unless such 25 arrangement is found by the court not to be in the best interest of the 26 child in maintaining contact with both parents.
- (((10))) (8) The court may modify or terminate <u>an order granting</u> visitation ((rights granted pursuant to)) <u>under</u> this section in any subsequent modification action upon a showing that the visitation is no longer in the best interest of the child.
- 31 **Sec. 2.** RCW 26.10.160 and 1996 c 303 s 2 are each amended to read 32 as follows:
- 33 (1) A parent not granted custody of the child is entitled to 34 reasonable visitation rights except as provided in subsection (2) of 35 this section.
- (2)(a) Visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent seeking visitation has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period

p. 3 EHB 1773

- 1 of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii)
- 2 physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a
- 3 history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or
- 4 an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the
- 5 fear of such harm; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of
- 6 a sex offense under:
- 7 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the
- 8 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of
- 9 this subsection;
- 10 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the
- 11 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of
- 12 this subsection;
- 13 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the
- 14 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of
- 15 this subsection;
- 16 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 17 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 18 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 19 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age
- 20 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists
- 21 under (d) of this subsection;
- 22 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 23 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed
- 24 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 25 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
- 26 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of
- 27 this subsection.
- 28 This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this
- 29 subsection applies.
- 30 (b) The parent's visitation with the child shall be limited if it
- 31 is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any
- 32 of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of
- 33 emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence
- 34 as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that
- 35 causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the
- 36 person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been
- 37 adjudicated of a sex offense under:

- 1 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 4 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 7 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the 8 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of 9 this subsection;
- 10 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 11 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 12 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 13 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 14 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 15 under (e) of this subsection;
- 16 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 19 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 20 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of 21 this subsection.
- 22 This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this 23 subsection applies.
- 24 (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under 25 chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other 26 jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent 27 resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual 28 predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any 29 30 other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that 31 32 person's presence.
- (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:

p. 5 EHB 1773

- 1 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 2 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 3 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 4 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
- 5 least eight years older than the victim;
- 6 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 7 eight years older than the victim;
- 8 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 9 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 10 eight years older than the victim;
- 11 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- 12 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
- 13 listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 14 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
- 15 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of
- 16 this subsection.
- 17 (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides
- 18 with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile
- 19 has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix)
- 20 of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that
- 21 parent exercises visitation in the presence of the convicted or
- 22 adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the
- 23 court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child
- 24 except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated
- 25 person's presence:
- 26 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 27 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 28 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 29 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
- 30 least eight years older than the victim;
- 31 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 32 eight years older than the victim;
- 33 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 34 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 35 eight years older than the victim;
- 36 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- 37 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
- 38 listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;

- 1 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 2 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of 3 this subsection.
 - (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:

- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- 23 (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be 24 rebutted only after a written finding that:
 - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
 - (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims

p. 7 EHB 1773

- of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
 - (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
 - (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this

EHB 1773 p. 8

subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the 1 2 convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. 3 4 court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child 5 and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. 6 7 The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, 8 based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the 9 child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

(k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between 12 the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed 19 against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, 22 or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

(1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses

> EHB 1773 p. 9

involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on visitation with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation, the court shall restrain the person seeking visitation from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the

EHB 1773 p. 10

 person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

(iii) If the court limits visitation under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.

- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.
- (3)(a) Any person who is related to a child through consanguinity, marriage, or adoption may petition the court for visitation ((rights)) with the child at any time including, but not limited to, custody proceedings. The court may order visitation ((rights for any person when visitation may serve the best interest of the child)) between the petitioner and the child whether or not there has been any change of circumstances if the petitioner has demonstrated by clear, cogent, and convincing evidence that:
- (i) A significant relationship exists with the child with whom visitation is sought;
- (ii) Denial of visitation would result in a substantial likelihood
 of harm to the child's physical, mental, or emotional well-being; and
 (iii) Visitation is in the child's best interests.

p. 11 EHB 1773

- If the petition is dismissed, the petitioner shall be ordered to 1 pay reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the parent, parents, other 2
- 3 custodian, or representative of the child who responds to the petition.
- 4 ((4))) (b) The court may consider the following factors when making a determination of the child's best interests: 5
- (i) The strength of the relationship between the child and the 6 7 petitioner;
- 8 (ii) The relationship between each of the child's parents or the 9 person with whom the child is residing and the petitioner;
- (iii) The nature and reason for either parent's objection to 10 granting the petitioner visitation; 11
- (iv) The effect that granting visitation will have on the 12 relationship between the child and the child's parents or the person 13 14 with whom the child is residing;
- 15 (v) The residential time-sharing arrangements between the parents; (vi) The good faith of the petitioner; 16
- (vii) Any criminal history or history of physical, emotional, or 17 sexual abuse or neglect by the petitioner; and 18
- 19 (viii) Any other factor relevant to the child's best interest.
- (c) The restrictions of RCW 26.09.191 that apply to parents shall 20 be applied to a petitioner or intervenor who is not a parent, but who 21 is related to the child through consanguinity, marriage, or adoption. 22 The nature and extent of visitation, subject to these restrictions, is 23 in the discretion of the court.
 - (4) Visitation granted under this section shall be incorporated into the parenting plan for the child. If the court grants visitation under this section, the court shall ensure that the visitation time granted is divided between the primary residential parent and the nonprimary residential parent in an amount proportionate to the time awarded each parent under the parenting plan, unless such arrangement is found by the court not to be in the best interest of the child in maintaining contact with both parents.
- (5) The court may modify or terminate an order granting ((or 33 34 denying)) visitation rights whenever modification or termination would serve the best interests of the child. Modification of a parent's 35 visitation rights shall be subject to the requirements of subsection 36 37 (2) of this section.
- (((+5))) (6) For the purposes of this section, a parent's child 38 39 means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild.

EHB 1773 p. 12

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect immediately.

--- END ---

p. 13 EHB 1773