

HOUSE BILL REPORT

HB 2650

As Passed House:

February 8, 2000

Title: An act relating to interagency transfers of state surplus personal property.

Brief Description: Simplifying agency to agency transfer of small amounts of personal property.

Sponsors: Representatives Romero, McMorris, Campbell, Dunshee, Lambert, D. Schmidt, Kenney and Miloscia; by request of Department of General Administration.

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

State Government: 1/25/00, 2/1/00 [DP].

Floor Activity:

Passed House: 2/8/00, 97-0.

Brief Summary of Bill

- Allows interagency transfers of state surplus property with a fair market value of less than \$500 without requiring fair market value to be charged.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE GOVERNMENT

Majority Report: Do pass. Signed by 8 members: Representatives McMorris, Republican Co-Chair; Romero, Democratic Co-Chair; Campbell, Republican Vice Chair; Miloscia, Democratic Vice Chair; Dunshee; Haigh; Lambert and D. Schmidt.

Staff: Andrea Leder (786-7093); Jim Morishima (786-7191).

Background:

The Division of Purchasing in the Department of General Administration is responsible for selling surplus personal property belonging to the state. Before such a sale, the division must determine whether other state agencies can use the property. An agency receiving transferred surplus personal property must pay fair market value

for the property to the transferring agency. The Division of Purchasing must maintain records of disposed surplus property, including the date and method of disposal, identity of the recipient, and approximate value of the property.

Summary of Bill:

State surplus property may be transferred between state agencies without considering fair market value, so long as the fair market value of the surplus asset is less than \$500. State agencies must maintain "adequate records" of these transfers to comply with state inventory procedures and state audit requirements.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Not requested.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: The bill creates efficiencies by streamlining and simplifying procedures. The present process is cumbersome. The bill makes transfers more efficient and provides an effective means to maximize state assets.

Testimony Against: None.

Testified: Pat Kohler, General Administration; and Vallie Jo Fry, State Board of Community and Technical Colleges.