

5600

Sponsor(s): Senators Hale, Haugen and Johnson

Brief Title: Making changes to the internal operations of counties.

SB 5600.E - DIGEST

(DIGEST AS ENACTED)

Provides that the county auditor of each county shall pay superior court judges in the same means and manner provided for all other elected officials.

Provides that, in lieu of adopting an annual budget or a biennial budget with a mid-biennium review for all funds, the legislative authority of any county may adopt an ordinance or a resolution providing for a biennial budget or budgets for any one or more funds of the county, with a mid-biennium review and modification for the second year of the biennium, with the other funds remaining on an annual budget.

Declares that, in addition to the supplemental appropriations provided in RCW 36.40.100 and 36.40.140, the county legislative authority may provide by resolution a policy for supplemental appropriations as a result of unanticipated funds from local revenue sources.

Repeals RCW 36.40.110.

VETO MESSAGE ON SB 5600

April 24, 1997

To the Honorable President and Members,
The Senate of the State of Washington
Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith, without my approval as to section 5, Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5600 entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to internal matters for the operation of counties;"

This legislation is primarily a technical bill that deletes archaic statutes, makes other financial statutes more usable, and provides county auditors with more flexibility in the administration of their duties.

Section 5 of this bill would have allowed counties with populations between 250,000 and 499,999 to prescribe by ordinance alternative administration of juvenile probation and detention services. Such a provision would effectively allow a select few counties to give themselves exclusive control over juvenile services without the concurrence of the courts.

Current law already provides a process whereby counties may assume responsibility for these services upon agreement from the court. Courts should not be excluded, without their concurrence, from the decision making regarding the administration of juvenile detention and probation services. The courts see juvenile offenders who come before them firsthand, and have extensive knowledge of the types of services that are needed. Additionally, there appears to be no legitimate reason to differentiate between

counties merely on the basis of population regarding the provision of these services.

For these reasons, I have vetoed section 5 of Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5600.

With the exception of section 5, Engrossed Senate Bill No. 5600 is approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Gary Locke
Governor