S-0422.1			
0-0444.T			

SENATE BILL 5051

State of Washington 55th Legislature 1997 Regular Session

By Senators McCaslin, Deccio, Anderson and Oke

Read first time 01/13/97. Referred to Committee on Government Operations.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to final orders and decisions by growth management
- 2 hearings boards; and amending RCW 36.70A.300 and 36.70A.330.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70A.300 and 1995 c 347 s 110 are each amended to 5 read as follows:
- 6 (1) The board shall issue a final order within one hundred eighty 7 days of receipt of the petition for review, or, when multiple petitions
- 8 are filed, within one hundred eighty days of receipt of the last
- 9 petition that is consolidated. Such a final order shall be based
- 10 exclusively on whether or not a state agency, county, or city is in
- 11 compliance with the requirements of this chapter, chapter 90.58 RCW as
- 12 it relates to adoption or amendment of shoreline master programs, or
- 13 chapter 43.21C RCW as it relates to plans, development regulations, and
- 14 amendments thereto, adopted under RCW 36.70A.040 or chapter 90.58 RCW.
- 15 In the final order, the board shall either: (a) Find that the state
- 16 agency, county, or city is in compliance with the requirements of this
- 17 chapter or chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption or amendment
- 18 of shoreline master programs; or (b) find that the state agency,
- 19 county, or city is not in compliance with the requirements of this

p. 1 SB 5051

- chapter or chapter 90.58 RCW as it relates to the adoption or amendment of shoreline master programs, in which case the board shall remand the matter to the affected state agency, county, or city and specify a reasonable time not in excess of one hundred eighty days within which
- 5 the state agency, county, or city shall comply with the requirements of 6 this chapter.
- 7 (2) A finding of noncompliance and an order of remand shall not 8 affect the validity of comprehensive plans and development regulations 9 during the period of remand, unless the board's final order also:
- 10 (a) Includes a determination, supported by findings of fact and 11 conclusions of law, that the continued validity of the plan or 12 regulation would substantially interfere with the fulfillment of the 13 goals of this chapter; and
- 14 (b) Specifies the particular part or parts of the plan or 15 regulation that are determined to be invalid, and the reasons for their 16 invalidity.
 - (3) A determination of invalidity shall:

17

26

27

28

2930

31

- 18 (a) Be prospective in effect and shall not extinguish rights that 19 vested under state or local law before the date of the board's order; 20 and
- (b) Subject any development application that would otherwise vest after the date of the board's order to the local ordinance or resolution that both is enacted in response to the order of remand and determined by the board pursuant to RCW 36.70A.330 to comply with the requirements of this chapter.
 - (4) If the ordinance that adopts a plan or development regulation under this chapter includes a savings clause intended to revive prior policies or regulations in the event the new plan or regulations are determined to be invalid, the board shall determine under subsection (2) of this section whether the prior policies or regulations are valid during the period of remand.
- (5) If a growth management hearings board determines in its final 32 order that a county or city plan or development regulation is invalid, 33 the county or city legislative body may review the board's decision 34 35 within thirty days. The legislative authority of the county or city adopting or amending the plan or development regulation may, by 36 37 majority vote, overrule the board's final order and implement the plan or development regulation. Any party aggrieved by a final decision of 38 the hearings board may appeal the decision to superior court as 39

SB 5051 p. 2

- 1 provided in RCW 34.05.514 or 36.01.050 within ((thirty)) sixty days of
- 2 the final order of the board.

12

26

- 3 **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.70A.330 and 1995 c 347 s 112 are each amended to 4 read as follows:
- (1) After the time set for complying with the requirements of this chapter under RCW 36.70A.300(1)(b) has expired, or at an earlier time upon the motion of a county or city subject to a determination of invalidity under RCW 36.70A.300, which county or city legislative authority has not overruled the growth management hearings board's final order under RCW 36.70A.300(5), the board shall set a hearing for the purpose of determining whether the state agency, county, or city is

in compliance with the requirements of this chapter.

- 13 (2) The board shall conduct a hearing and issue a finding of 14 compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of this chapter. A 15 person with standing to challenge the legislation enacted in response 16 to the board's final order may participate in the hearing along with the petitioner and the state agency, city, or county. A hearing under 17 18 this subsection shall be given the highest priority of business to be 19 conducted by the board, and a finding shall be issued within forty-five days of the filing of the motion under subsection (1) of this section 20 with the board. 21
- 22 (3) If the board finds that the state agency, county, or city is 23 not in compliance, the board shall transmit its finding to the 24 governor. The board may recommend to the governor that the sanctions 25 authorized by this chapter be imposed.
 - (4) The board shall also reconsider its final order and decide:
- 27 (a) If a determination of invalidity has been made, whether such a 28 determination should be rescinded or modified under the standards in 29 RCW 36.70A.300(2); or
- 30 (b) If no determination of invalidity has been made, whether one 31 now should be made under the standards in RCW 36.70A.300(2).
- The board shall schedule additional hearings as appropriate pursuant to subsections (1) and (2) of this section.

--- END ---

p. 3 SB 5051