H-4470.1			

## HOUSE BILL 2992

\_\_\_\_

State of Washington

55th Legislature

1998 Regular Session

By Representative Boldt

Read first time 01/26/98. Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to nonparental visitation rights; amending RCW
- 2 26.10.160; and creating a new section.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that persons who are
- 5 not parents of a child, even those who have a strong familial
- 6 relationship with the child, have at times been denied the right to
- 7 appeal for visitation rights with the child by the judicial branch of
- 8 state government, as occurred in In re the Visitation of Natalie
- 9 Troxel, Isabelle Rose Troxel, Minors, 87 Wn.App. 131, 940 P.2d 698,
- 10 1997. The legislature intends to clarity its intention that a
- 11 nonparent may appeal for visitation rights with a child, even when
- 12 there is no other proceeding before the court.
- 13 **Sec. 2.** RCW 26.10.160 and 1996 c 303 s 2 are each amended to read
- 14 as follows:
- 15 (1) A parent not granted custody of the child is entitled to
- 16 reasonable visitation rights except as provided in subsection (2) of
- 17 this section.

p. 1 HB 2992

- (2)(a) Visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found 1 2 that the parent seeking visitation has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period 3 4 of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) 5 physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or 6 an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the 7 8 fear of such harm; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under: 9
- (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 13 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 16 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 19 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 20 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 21 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 25 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 26 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 27 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.
- 33 (b) The parent's visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the

HB 2992 p. 2

- 1 person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been 2 adjudicated of a sex offense under:
- 3 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the 4 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of 5 this subsection;
- 6 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the 7 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of 8 this subsection;
- 9 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 12 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 13 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 14 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 15 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 16 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 17 under (e) of this subsection;
- 18 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 19 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 20 in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- 24 This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this 25 subsection applies.
- 26 (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under 27 chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a 28 child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent 29 resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual 30 predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any 31 other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact 32 33 with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence. 34
- 35 (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been 36 convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of 37 this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent 38 rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from

p. 3 HB 2992

- 1 contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this
- 2 chapter:
- 3 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 4 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 5 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 6 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
- 7 least eight years older than the victim;
- 8 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 9 eight years older than the victim;
- 10 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 11 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 12 eight years older than the victim;
- 13 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- 14 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
- 15 listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 16 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
- 17 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of
- 18 this subsection.
- 19 (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides
- 20 with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile
- 21 has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix)
- 22 of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that
- 23 parent exercises visitation in the presence of the convicted or
- 24 adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the
- 25 court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child
- 26 except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated
- 27 person's presence:
- 28 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 29 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 30 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 31 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
- 32 least eight years older than the victim;
- 33 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 34 eight years older than the victim;
- 35 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 36 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 37 eight years older than the victim;
- 38 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;

- 1 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses 2 listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 3 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 4 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of 5 this subsection.
  - (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:

- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- 25 (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be 26 rebutted only after a written finding that:
  - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the

p. 5 HB 2992

convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk 1 2 to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between 3 4 the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated 5 person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has 6 7 successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in 8 and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, 9 and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and 10 child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child. 11

- (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- 24 (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 25 rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may 26 allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this 27 subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the 28 person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and 29 30 independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for 31 contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based 32 33 on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval 34 35 of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or 36 37 capable of protecting the child.
- 38 (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 39 rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may

нв 2992 р. 6

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been 1 convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this 2 subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the 3 4 convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. 5 court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child 6 7 and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the 8 supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. 9 The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, 10 based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child. 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

3334

35

3637

38 39

(k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

(1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for

p. 7 HB 2992

at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses 2 involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 3 4 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between 5 the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the 6 7 child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified 8 therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in 9 treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one 10 period of visitation between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the 11 12 adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole 13 requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the 14 15 adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that 16 17 the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend 18 before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a 19 child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who 20 is residing with the parent.

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on visitation with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation, the court shall restrain the person seeking visitation from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or 34 therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. 36 The court shall not 37 enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been 38 39 found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a

HB 2992 p. 8

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

35

preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

- (iii) If the court limits visitation under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.
- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.
  - (3) Any person may petition the court for visitation rights at any time including, but not limited to, custody proceedings. A petition for visitation rights need not be filed contemporaneously with, or be preceded by, a proceeding for child custody, or any other proceeding. A petitioner under this section shall not be denied visitation rights merely because no other proceeding regarding the child, the child's family, or custody of the child has been filed by a parent of the child. The court may order visitation rights for any person when visitation may serve the best interest of the child whether or not there has been any change of circumstances. The court may consider the

p. 9 HB 2992

- 1 following factors when making a determination of the child's best
- 2 <u>interests:</u>
- 3 <u>(a) The strength of the relationship between the child and the</u> 4 petitioner;
- 5 (b) The relationship between each of the child's parents and the
- 6 petitioner, or between the person with whom the child is residing and 7 the petitioner;
- 8 <u>(c) The nature of and reason for either parent's objection to</u> 9 <u>granting the petitioner visitation;</u>
- 10 <u>(d) The effect that granting visitation may have on the</u>
  11 <u>relationship between the child and the child's parents or between the</u>
  12 <u>child and the person with whom the child is residing;</u>
- (e) The residential time-sharing arrangements between the parents;
- (f) The good faith of the petitioner;
- 15 (g) Any criminal history or history of physical, emotional, or 16 sexual abuse or neglect by the petitioner; and
- 10 bendar ababe of negrees by the petitioner, and
- 17 (h) Any other factor relevant to the child's best interest.
- 18 (4) The court may modify an order granting or denying visitation
- 19 rights whenever modification would serve the best interests of the
- 20 child. Modification of a parent's visitation rights shall be subject
- 21 to the requirements of subsection (2) of this section.
- 22 (5) For the purposes of this section, a parent's child means that
- 23 parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild.
- 24 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** If any provision of this act or its
- 25 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the
- 26 remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other
- 27 persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---