H-3417.1			

HOUSE BILL 2750

State of Washington 55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

By Representatives Wolfe, Kessler, Dickerson, Anderson, Gardner and Lambert

Read first time 01/19/98. Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to visitation; amending RCW 26.09.240 and
- 2 26.10.160; and adding a new section to chapter 26.10 RCW.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 4 Sec. 1. RCW 26.09.240 and 1996 c 177 s 1 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
- 6 (1) A person other than a parent may ((petition the court for
- 7 visitation with a child at any time or)) seek visitation with a child only as provided in this section and section 3 of this act. For the
- 8
- purposes of obtaining visitation with a child, a person other than a 9
- 10 parent may intervene in a pending dissolution, legal separation,
- declaration concerning the validity of marriage, or modification of 11 parenting plan proceeding. A person other than a parent may ((not)) 12
- 13 petition for visitation under this section ((unless the child's parent
- 14 or parents have commenced an action under this chapter)) only if a
- 15 final order has been entered in a proceeding for dissolution, legal
- separation, or declaration concerning the validity of marriage. 16
- 17 (2) A petition for visitation with a child by a person other than a parent must be filed in the county in which the child resides. 18

HB 2750 p. 1

- (3) A petition for visitation or a motion to intervene pursuant to 1 2 this section shall be dismissed unless the petitioner or intervenor can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that a significant 3 4 relationship exists with the child with whom visitation is sought. If the petition or motion is dismissed for failure to establish the 5 existence of a significant relationship, the petitioner or intervenor 6 7 shall be ordered to pay reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the 8 parent, parents, other custodian, or representative of the child who 9 responds to this petition or motion.
 - (4) The court may order visitation between the petitioner or intervenor and the child between whom a significant relationship exists upon a finding supported by the evidence that the visitation is in the child's best interests.
- (5)(a) Visitation with a grandparent shall be presumed to be in the child's best interests when a significant relationship has been shown to exist. This presumption may be rebutted by a preponderance of evidence showing that visitation would endanger the child's physical, mental, or emotional health.
- 19 (b) If the court finds that reasonable visitation by a grandparent 20 would be in the child's best interest except for hostilities that exist 21 between the grandparent and one or both of the parents or person with 22 whom the child lives, the court may set the matter for mediation under 23 RCW 26.09.015.
- 24 (6) The court may consider the following factors when making a 25 determination of the child's best interests:
- 26 (a) The strength of the relationship between the child and the 27 petitioner;
- 28 (b) The relationship between each of the child's parents or the 29 person with whom the child is residing and the petitioner;
- 30 (c) The nature and reason for either parent's objection to granting 31 the petitioner visitation;
- 32 (d) The effect that granting visitation will have on the 33 relationship between the child and the child's parents or the person 34 with whom the child is residing;
 - (e) The residential time sharing arrangements between the parents;
 - (f) The good faith of the petitioner;
- 37 (g) Any criminal history or history of physical, emotional, or 38 sexual abuse or neglect by the petitioner; and
- 39 (h) Any other factor relevant to the child's best interest.

HB 2750 p. 2

10

11 12

13

35

36

- 1 (7) The restrictions of RCW 26.09.191 that apply to parents shall 2 be applied to a petitioner or intervenor who is not a parent. The 3 nature and extent of visitation, subject to these restrictions, is in 4 the discretion of the court.
- 5 (8) The court may order an investigation and report concerning the 6 proposed visitation or may appoint a guardian ad litem as provided in 7 RCW 26.09.220.
- 8 (9) Visitation granted pursuant to this section shall be 9 incorporated into the parenting plan for the child.
- (10) The court may modify or terminate visitation rights granted pursuant to this section in any subsequent modification action upon a showing that the visitation is no longer in the best interest of the child.
- 14 **Sec. 2.** RCW 26.10.160 and 1996 c 303 s 2 are each amended to read 15 as follows:
- 16 (1) A parent not granted custody of the child is entitled to 17 reasonable visitation rights except as provided in subsection (2) of 18 this section.
- (2)(a) Visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found 19 that the parent seeking visitation has engaged in any of the following 20 21 conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period 22 of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a 23 24 history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or 25 an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of 26 a sex offense under: 27
- (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 31 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the 32 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of 33 this subsection;
- 34 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the 35 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 37 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 38 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;

p. 3 HB 2750

- (F) RCW 9A.44.096; 1
- (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 2
- between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 3
- 4 under (d) of this subsection;
- 5 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 6 7 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 8 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 9 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.
- 11 This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this 12 subsection applies.
- (b) The parent's visitation with the child shall be limited if it 13 is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any 14 15 of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of 16 emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence 17 as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the 18 19 person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been
- 20 adjudicated of a sex offense under: (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the 21 22 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of
- 23 this subsection;

10

- 24 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the 25 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of 26 this subsection;
- (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the 27 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of 28 29 this subsection;
- 30 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- (E) RCW 9A.44.093; 31
- (F) RCW 9A.44.096; 32
- (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 33
- 34 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists
- 35 under (e) of this subsection;
- (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW; 36
- 37 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed

in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection; 38

HB 2750 p. 4

- 1 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 2 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of 3 this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this subsection applies.
- (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under 6 7 chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other 8 jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a 9 child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent 10 resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any 11 other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact 12 13 with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that 14 person's presence.
- (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- 21 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 22 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 23 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 24 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at 25 least eight years older than the victim;
- 26 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 27 eight years older than the victim;
- 28 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 31 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection.
- (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix)

p. 5 HB 2750

- 1 of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that
- 2 parent exercises visitation in the presence of the convicted or
- 3 adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the
- 4 court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child
- 5 except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated
- 6 person's presence:
- 7 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 8 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 9 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 10 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
- 11 least eight years older than the victim;
- 12 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 13 eight years older than the victim;
- 14 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 16 eight years older than the victim;
- 17 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- 18 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
- 19 listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 20 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
- 21 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of
- 22 this subsection.
- 23 (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be
- 24 rebutted only after a written finding that:
- 25 (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by
- 26 the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the
- 27 offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child,
- 28 and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for
- 29 sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment,
- 30 if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such
- 31 contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- 32 (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by
- 33 the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the
- 34 offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child,
- 35 (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual
- 36 abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child
- 37 and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the
- 38 offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex
- 39 offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if

нв 2750 р. 6

any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

1 2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

- (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
- 5 (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) 7 contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
- 15 (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by 16 the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) 17 contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk 18 19 to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between 20 the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated 21 person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the 22 23 child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has 24 successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in 25 and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, 26 and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is 27 appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child. 28
 - (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding,

HB 2750 p. 7

based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that

HB 2750 p. 8

unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is 1 appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of 2 3 the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, 4 or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims 5 who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending 6 7 parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. 8 If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in 9 treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a 10 psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest 11 likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised 12 13 contact between the parent and a child.

14 (1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and 15 a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who 16 resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this 17 subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for 18 19 at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses 20 involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 21 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between 22 the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the 23 24 adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the 25 child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified 26 therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in 27 treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child in the presence 28 29 of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the 30 adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by 31 a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the 32 adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted 33 by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that 34 35 the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a 36 37 child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who 38 is residing with the parent.

p. 9 HB 2750

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on visitation with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation, the court shall restrain the person seeking visitation from all contact with the child.

1 2

- The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.
 - (iii) If the court limits visitation under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.
 - (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and

HB 2750 p. 10

- (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the 1 parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court 2 need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of 3 4 this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within 5 the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), 6 7 (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this 8 subsection apply.
- 9 (3) ((Any person may petition the court for visitation rights at
 10 any time including, but not limited to, custody proceedings. The court
 11 may order visitation rights for any person when visitation may serve
 12 the best interest of the child whether or not there has been any change
 13 of circumstances.
- (4)) The court may modify an order granting or denying visitation rights whenever modification would serve the best interests of the child. Modification of a parent's visitation rights shall be subject to the requirements of subsection (2) of this section.
- 18 (((+5))) (4) For the purposes of this section, a parent's child 19 means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 26.10 RCW to read as follows:

2223

24

25

26

29

30

31

3233

34

3536

37

- (1) For the purposes of obtaining visitation with a child, a person other than a parent may intervene in a pending custody proceeding. A person other than a parent may petition for visitation with a child under this section only if a final order has been entered in a child custody action commenced under this chapter.
- 27 (2) A petition for visitation with a child by a person other than 28 a parent must be filed in the county in which the child resides.
 - (3) A petition for visitation or a motion to intervene pursuant to this section shall be dismissed unless the petitioner or intervenor can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that a significant relationship exists with the child with whom visitation is sought. If the petition or motion is dismissed for failure to establish the existence of a significant relationship, the petitioner or intervenor shall be ordered to pay reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the parent, parents, other custodian, or representative of the child who responds to this petition or motion.

p. 11 HB 2750

1 (4) The court may order visitation between the petitioner or 2 intervenor and the child between whom a significant relationship exists 3 upon a finding supported by the evidence that the visitation is in the 4 child's best interests.

5

6 7

8

9

30

- (5)(a) Visitation with a grandparent shall be presumed to be in the child's best interests when a significant relationship has been shown to exist. This presumption may be rebutted by a preponderance of evidence showing that visitation would endanger the child's physical, mental, or emotional health.
- (b) If the court finds that reasonable visitation by a grandparent would be in the child's best interest except for hostilities that exist between the grandparent and the person or persons who are seeking custody or granted custody of the child, or the person with whom the child is residing, the court may set the matter for mediation under RCW 26.09.015.
- 16 (6) The court may consider the following factors when making a 17 determination of the child's best interests:
- 18 (a) The strength of the relationship between the child and the 19 petitioner;
- (b) The relationship between the petitioner and the person or persons who are seeking custody or granted custody of the child, or the person with whom the child is residing;
- 23 (c) The nature and reason for the objection by the person or 24 persons who are seeking custody or granted custody of the child;
- 25 (d) The effect that granting visitation will have on the 26 relationship between the child and the child's parents, or the person 27 or persons who are seeking custody or granted custody of the child;
- (e) The custody decree for the child and any visitation time granted to the child's parents or other persons;
 - (f) The good faith of the petitioner;
- 31 (g) Any criminal history or history of physical, emotional, or 32 sexual abuse or neglect by the petitioner; and
- 33 (h) Any other factor relevant to the child's best interest.
- 34 (7) The restrictions of RCW 26.10.160 that apply to parents shall 35 be applied to a petitioner or intervenor who is not a parent. The 36 nature and extent of visitation, subject to these restrictions, is in 37 the discretion of the court.

HB 2750 p. 12

- 1 (8) The court may order an investigation and report concerning the 2 proposed visitation or may appoint a guardian ad litem as provided in 3 RCW 26.10.130.
- 4 (9) Visitation granted pursuant to this section shall be 5 incorporated into the custody decree for the child.

6

7

8

9

(10) The court may modify or terminate visitation rights granted pursuant to this section in any subsequent modification action upon a showing that the visitation is no longer in the best interest of the child.

--- END ---

p. 13 HB 2750