H-5026.1			
11 0000.1			

SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2345

State of Washington 55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

By House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representative Reams)

Read first time 02/07/98. Referred to Committee on .

- 1 AN ACT Relating to administrative law; amending RCW 34.05.230,
- 2 34.05.328, 34.05.330, 34.05.354, 34.05.370, 34.05.610, 34.05.630,
- 3 34.05.640, 34.05.655, 34.05.660, 34.12.040, and 48.04.010; adding new
- 4 sections to chapter 34.05 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 43.132
- 5 RCW; and providing an expiration date.
- 6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 7 **Sec. 1.** RCW 34.05.230 and 1997 c 409 s 202 are each amended to 8 read as follows:
- 9 (1) ((An agency may file notice for the expedited adoption of rules 10 in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section for rules 11 meeting any one of the following criteria:
- 12 (a) The proposed rules relate only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a person;
- (b) The proposed rules adopt or incorporate by reference without
 material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state
 statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master
 programs other than those programs governing shorelines of state-wide
 significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national
- 19 consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the

p. 1 2SHB 2345

material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;

- 3 (c) The proposed rules only correct typographical errors, make
 4 address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing
 5 its effect;
- 6 (d) The content of the proposed rules is explicitly and 7 specifically dictated by statute;
 - (e) The proposed rules have been the subject of negotiated rule making, pilot rule making, or some other process that involved substantial participation by interested parties before the development of the proposed rule; or
- 12 (f) The proposed rule is being amended after a review under RCW 13 34.05.328 or section 210 of this act.
 - (2) The expedited rule—making process must follow the requirements for rule making set forth in RCW 34.05.320, except that the agency is not required to prepare a small business economic impact statement under RCW 19.85.025, a statement indicating whether the rule constitutes a significant legislative rule under RCW 34.05.328(5)(c)(iii), or a significant legislative rule analysis under RCW 34.05.328. An agency is not required to prepare statements of inquiry under RCW 34.05.310 or conduct a hearing for the expedited adoption of rules. The notice for the expedited adoption of rules must contain a statement in at least ten-point type, that is substantially in the following form:

25 NOTICE

THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED TO BE ADOPTED USING AN EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS RULE BEING ADOPTED USING THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO (INSERT NAME AND ADDRESS) AND RECEIVED BY (INSERT DATE).

(3) The agency shall send a copy of the notice of the proposed expedited rule making to any person who has requested notification of proposals for the expedited adoption of rules or of agency rule making, as well as the joint administrative rules review committee, within three days after its publication in the Washington State Register. An

agency may charge for the actual cost of providing a requesting party mailed copies of these notices. The notice of the proposed expedited rule making must be preceded by a statement substantially in the form provided in subsection (2) of this section. The notice must also include an explanation of the reasons the agency believes the expedited adoption of the rule is appropriate.

(4) The code reviser shall publish the text of all rules proposed for expedited adoption along with the notice required in this section in a separate section of the Washington State Register. Once the text of the proposed rules has been published in the Washington State Register, the only changes that an agency may make in the text of these proposed rules before their final adoption are to correct typographical errors.

(5) Any person may file a written objection to the expedited adoption of a rule. The objection must be filed with the agency rules coordinator within forty-five days after the notice of the proposed expedited rule making has been published in the Washington State Register. A person who has filed a written objection to the expedited adoption of a rule may withdraw the objection.

(6) If no written objections to the expedited adoption of a rule are filed with the agency within forty-five days after the notice of proposed expedited rule making is published, or if all objections that have been filed are withdrawn by the persons filing the objections, the agency may enter an order adopting the rule without further notice or a public hearing. The order must be published in the manner required by this chapter for any other agency order adopting, amending, or repealing a rule.

(7) If a written notice of objection to the expedited adoption of the rule is timely filed with the agency and is not withdrawn, the notice of proposed expedited rule making published under this section is considered a statement of inquiry for the purposes of RCW 34.05.310, and the agency may initiate further rule adoption proceedings in accordance with this chapter.

(8) Subsections (1) through (8) of this section expire on December 31, 2000.

an [An] agency is encouraged to advise the public of its current opinions, approaches, and likely courses of action by means of interpretive or policy statements. Current)) Interpretive and policy statements or their equivalents, regardless of title, are advisory

p. 3 2SHB 2345

- only. To better inform and involve the public, ((an)) <u>each</u> agency is encouraged to convert long-standing interpretive and policy statements into rules.
- 4 (2) A person may petition an agency requesting the conversion of interpretive and policy statements into rules. Upon submission, the agency shall notify the joint administrative rules review committee of the petition. Within sixty days after submission of a petition, the agency shall either deny the petition in writing, stating its reasons for the denial, or initiate rule-making proceedings in accordance with this chapter.
- (((11))) (3) Each agency shall maintain a roster of interested 11 persons, consisting of persons who have requested in writing to be 12 13 notified of all interpretive and policy statements issued by that Each agency shall update the roster once each year and 14 15 eliminate persons who do not indicate a desire to continue on the roster. Whenever an agency issues an interpretive or policy statement, 16 17 it shall send a copy of the statement to each person listed on the roster. The agency may charge a nominal fee to the interested person 18 19 for this service.
- ((\(\frac{(12)}{12}\))) (4) Whenever an agency issues an interpretive or policy statement, it shall submit to the code reviser for publication in the Washington State Register a statement describing the subject matter of the interpretive or policy statement, and listing the person at the agency from whom a copy of the interpretive or policy statement may be obtained.
 - (5) When a person requests a copy of a rule from an agency, the agency shall identify any associated interpretive and policy statements or their equivalents, regardless of title, and provide copies of the statements upon request.
- 30 (6) An agency shall not issue a citation, civil penalty, assessment, or other sanction for the violation of a rule by a business 31 if the subject of the violation involves the same subject as a policy 32 or interpretive statement, whether or not entitled as such, issued for 33 34 the rule, if the agency had not made a good faith effort to notify 35 businesses likely to be affected by the statement at least ninety days prior. For the purposes of this section, "good faith" means the agency 36 has at least notified businesses in the standard industrial 37 classifications likely to be affected by the statement that are 38 39 registered with the department of revenue at the time the notice was

26

27

28 29

- 1 sent. Inadvertent failure to notify a specific business under this
- 2 section does not prohibit the issuance of a citation, civil penalty,
- 3 <u>assessment</u>, or other sanction.
- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW 5 to read as follows:
- 6 (1) An agency may file notice for the expedited adoption of rules 7 in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section for rules 8 meeting any one of the following criteria:
- 9 (a) The proposed rules relate only to internal governmental 10 operations that are not subject to violation by a person;
- 11 (b) The proposed rules adopt or incorporate by reference without material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state 12 statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master 13 14 programs other than those programs governing shorelines of state-wide 15 significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national 16 consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and 17 18 conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;
- 19 (c) The proposed rules only correct typographical errors, make 20 address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing 21 its effect;
- 22 (d) The content of the proposed rules is explicitly and 23 specifically dictated by statute;
- (e) The proposed rules have been the subject of negotiated rule making, pilot rule making, or some other process that involved substantial participation by interested parties before the development of the proposed rule; or
- 28 (f) The proposed rule is being amended after a review under RCW 29 34.05.328.
- 30 (2) The expedited rule-making process must follow the requirements for rule making set forth in RCW 34.05.320, except that the agency is 31 32 not required to prepare a small business economic impact statement 33 under RCW 19.85.025, a statement indicating whether the rule 34 constitutes а significant legislative rule under RCW 34.05.328(5)(c)(iii), or a significant legislative rule analysis under 35 36 RCW 34.05.328. An agency is not required to prepare statements of inquiry under RCW 34.05.310 or conduct a hearing for the expedited 37 adoption of rules. The notice for the expedited adoption of rules must 38

p. 5 2SHB 2345

1 contain a statement in at least ten-point type, that is substantially 2 in the following form:

3 NOTICE

THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED TO BE ADOPTED USING AN EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS RULE BEING ADOPTED USING THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO (INSERT NAME AND ADDRESS) AND RECEIVED BY (INSERT DATE).

- (3) The agency shall send a copy of the notice of the proposed expedited rule making to any person who has requested notification of proposals for the expedited adoption of rules or of agency rule making, as well as the joint administrative rules review committee, within three days after its publication in the Washington State Register. An agency may charge for the actual cost of providing a requesting party mailed copies of these notices. The notice of the proposed expedited rule making must be preceded by a statement substantially in the form provided in subsection (2) of this section. The notice must also include an explanation of the reasons the agency believes the expedited adoption of the rule is appropriate.
- (4) The code reviser shall publish the text of all rules proposed for expedited adoption along with the notice required in this section in a separate section of the Washington State Register. Once the text of the proposed rules has been published in the Washington State Register, the only changes that an agency may make in the text of these proposed rules before their final adoption are to correct typographical errors.
- (5) Any person may file a written objection to the expedited adoption of a rule. The objection must be filed with the agency rules coordinator within forty-five days after the notice of the proposed expedited rule making has been published in the Washington State Register. A person who has filed a written objection to the expedited adoption of a rule may withdraw the objection.
- (6) If no written objections to the expedited adoption of a rule are filed with the agency within forty-five days after the notice of proposed expedited rule making is published, or if all objections that

- 1 have been filed are withdrawn by the persons filing the objections, the
- 2 agency may enter an order adopting the rule without further notice or
- 3 a public hearing. The order must be published in the manner required
- 4 by this chapter for any other agency order adopting, amending, or
- 5 repealing a rule.
- 6 (7) If a written notice of objection to the expedited adoption of
- 7 the rule is timely filed with the agency and is not withdrawn, the
- 8 notice of proposed expedited rule making published under this section
- 9 is considered a statement of inquiry for the purposes of RCW 34.05.310,
- 10 and the agency may initiate further rule adoption proceedings in
- 11 accordance with this chapter.
- 12 (8) This section expires December 31, 2000.
- 13 **Sec. 3.** RCW 34.05.328 and 1997 c 430 s 1 are each amended to read 14 as follows:
- 15 (1) Before adopting a rule described in subsection (5) of this
- 16 section, an agency shall:
- 17 (a) Clearly state in detail the general goals and specific
- 18 objectives of the statute that the rule implements;
- 19 (b) Determine that the rule is needed to achieve the general goals
- 20 and specific objectives stated under (a) of this subsection, and
- 21 analyze alternatives to rule making and the consequences of not
- 22 adopting the rule;
- 23 (c) Determine that the probable benefits of the rule are greater
- 24 than its probable costs, taking into account both the qualitative and
- 25 quantitative benefits and costs and the specific directives of the
- 26 statute being implemented;
- 27 (d) Determine, after considering alternative versions of the rule
- 28 and the analysis required under (b) and (c) of this subsection, that
- 29 the rule being adopted is the least burdensome alternative for those
- 30 required to comply with it that will achieve the general goals and
- 31 specific objectives stated under (a) of this subsection;
- 32 (e) Determine that the rule does not require those to whom it
- 33 applies to take an action that violates requirements of another federal
- 34 or state law;
- 35 (f) Determine that the rule does not impose more stringent
- 36 performance requirements on private entities than on public entities
- 37 unless required to do so by federal or state law;

p. 7 2SHB 2345

- (g) Determine if the rule differs from any federal regulation or 1 2 statute applicable to the same activity or subject matter and, if so, determine that the difference is justified by the following: 3
- 4 (i) A state statute that explicitly allows the agency to differ from federal standards; or 5
- (ii) Substantial evidence that the difference is necessary to 6 7 achieve the general goals and specific objectives stated under (a) of 8 this subsection; and
- 9 (h) Coordinate the rule, to the maximum extent practicable, with 10 other federal, state, and local laws applicable to the same activity or 11 subject matter.
- (2) In making its determinations pursuant to subsection (1)(b) 12 13 through (q) of this section, the agency shall place in the rule-making 14 file documentation of sufficient quantity and quality so as to persuade a reasonable person that the determinations are justified. 15
- 16 (3) Before adopting rules described in subsection (5) of this 17 section, an agency shall place in the rule-making file a rule implementation plan for rules filed under each adopting order. 18 The 19 plan shall describe how the agency ((intends to)) will:
- 20 (a) Implement and enforce the rule, including a description of the resources the agency intends to use; 21
 - (b) Inform and educate affected persons about the rule;
- (c) Promote and assist voluntary compliance; ((and)) 23
- 24 (d) Evaluate whether the rule achieves the purpose for which it was 25 adopted, including, to the maximum extent practicable, the use of 26 interim milestones to assess progress and the use of objectively 27 measurable outcomes;
- (e) At least twenty days before the effective date of a rule 28 described in subsection (5) of this section, convene a meeting of 29 30 interested persons affected by the rule to identify ambiguities and 31 problem areas in the rule and determine how to resolve the ambiguities
- and problem areas; and 32

- (f) Provide appropriate agency training. 33
- 34 (4) After adopting a rule described in subsection (5) of this 35 section regulating the same activity or subject matter as another provision of federal or state law, an agency shall do all of the 36 37 following:
- (a) Provide to the ((business assistance center)) department of 38 39 community, trade, and economic development a list citing by reference

- 1 the other federal and state laws that regulate the same activity or 2 subject matter;
- 3 (b) Coordinate implementation and enforcement of the rule with the 4 other federal and state entities regulating the same activity or 5 subject matter by making every effort to do one or more of the 6 following:
 - (i) Deferring to the other entity;
- 8 (ii) Designating a lead agency; or

35

- 9 (iii) Entering into an agreement with the other entities specifying 10 how the agency and entities will coordinate implementation and 11 enforcement.
- If the agency is unable to comply with this subsection (4)(b), the agency shall report to the legislature pursuant to (c) of this subsection;
- 15 (c) Report to the joint administrative rules review committee:
- 16 (i) The existence of any overlap or duplication of other federal or 17 state laws, any differences from federal law, and any known overlap, 18 duplication, or conflict with local laws; and
- (ii) Make recommendations for any legislation that may be necessary to eliminate or mitigate any adverse effects of such overlap, duplication, or difference.
- 22 (5)(a) Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, this section 23 applies to:
- (i) Significant legislative rules of the departments of ecology, labor and industries, health, revenue, social and health services, and natural resources, the employment security department, the forest practices board, the office of the insurance commissioner, and to the legislative rules of the department of fish and wildlife implementing chapter 75.20 RCW; and
- (ii) Any rule of any agency, if this section is voluntarily made applicable to the rule by the agency, or is made applicable to the rule by a majority vote of the joint administrative rules review committee within ((forty-five)) seventy-five days of receiving the notice of proposed rule making under RCW 34.05.320.
 - (b) This section does not apply to:
- 36 (i) Emergency rules adopted under RCW 34.05.350;
- (ii) Rules relating only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a nongovernment party;

p. 9 2SHB 2345

- (iii) Rules adopting or incorporating by reference without material 1 2 change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master programs 3 4 other than those programs governing shorelines of state-wide significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national 5 consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the 6 7 material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and 8 conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;
- 9 (iv) Rules that only correct typographical errors, make address or 10 name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing its 11 effect;
- 12 (v) Rules the content of which is explicitly and specifically 13 dictated by statute;
- (vi) Rules that set or adjust fees or rates pursuant to legislative standards; or
- 16 (vii) Rules of the department of social and health services 17 relating only to client medical or financial eligibility and rules 18 concerning liability for care of dependents.
 - (c) For purposes of this subsection:

- (i) A "procedural rule" is a rule that adopts, amends, or repeals
 (A) any procedure, practice, or requirement relating to any agency
 hearings; (B) any filing or related process requirement for making
 application to an agency for a license or permit; or (C) any policy
 statement pertaining to the consistent internal operations of an
 agency.
- (ii) An "interpretive rule" is a rule, the violation of which does not subject a person to a penalty or sanction, that sets forth the agency s interpretation of statutory provisions it administers.
- 29 (iii) A "significant legislative rule" is a rule other than a 30 procedural or interpretive rule that (A) adopts substantive provisions of law pursuant to delegated legislative authority, the violation of 31 which subjects a violator of such rule to a penalty or sanction; (B) 32 establishes, alters, or revokes any qualification or standard for the 33 34 issuance, suspension, or revocation of a license or permit; or (C) 35 adopts a new, or makes significant amendments to, a policy or 36 regulatory program.
- 37 (d) In the notice of proposed rule making under RCW 34.05.320, an 38 agency shall state whether this section applies to the proposed rule

- 1 pursuant to (a)(i) of this subsection, or if the agency will apply this 2 section voluntarily.
- 3 (6) By January 31, 1996, and by January 31st of each even-numbered 4 year thereafter, the office of financial management, after consulting 5 with state agencies, counties, and cities, and business, labor, and 6 environmental organizations, shall report to the governor and the 7 legislature regarding the effects of this section on the regulatory 8 system in this state. The report shall document:
- 9 (a) The rules proposed to which this section applied and to the 10 extent possible, how compliance with this section affected the 11 substance of the rule, if any, that the agency ultimately adopted;
- 12 (b) The costs incurred by state agencies in complying with this 13 section;
- 14 (c) Any legal action maintained based upon the alleged failure of 15 any agency to comply with this section, the costs to the state of such 16 action, and the result;
- 17 (d) The extent to which this section has adversely affected the 18 capacity of agencies to fulfill their legislatively prescribed mission;
- 19 (e) The extent to which this section has improved the acceptability 20 of state rules to those regulated; and
- 21 (f) Any other information considered by the office of financial 22 management to be useful in evaluating the effect of this section.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 4.** A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW to read as follows:
- Within two hundred days of the effective date of a rule that imposes additional requirements on businesses the violation of which subjects a person to a penalty, assessment, or administrative sanction, an agency shall make a good faith effort to notify businesses affected by the rule of the requirements of the rule and boy to obtain teachings.
- 29 by the rule of the requirements of the rule and how to obtain technical
- 30 assistance to comply. For purposes of this section, "good faith" means
- 31 the agency at least notifies businesses in the standard industrial
- 32 classifications identified in the rule-making file as businesses
- 33 affected by the rule that are registered with the department of
- 34 revenue. Inadvertent failure to notify a specific business under this
- 35 section does not invalidate a rule.
- 36 **Sec. 5.** RCW 34.05.330 and 1996 c 318 s 1 are each amended to read 37 as follows:

p. 11 2SHB 2345

- (1) Any person may petition an agency requesting the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule. The office of financial management shall prescribe by rule the format for such petitions and the procedure for their submission, consideration, and disposition and provide a standard form that may be used to petition any agency. Within sixty days after submission of a petition, the agency shall either (a) deny the petition in writing, stating (i) its reasons for the denial, specifically addressing the concerns raised by the petitioner, and, where appropriate, (ii) the alternative means by which it will address the concerns raised by the petitioner, or (b) initiate rule-making proceedings in accordance with ((this chapter)) RCW 34.05.310, if applicable, and RCW 34.05.320.
- (2) If an agency denies a petition to repeal or amend a rule submitted under subsection (1) of this section, and the petition alleges that the rule is not within the intent of the legislature or was not adopted in accordance with all applicable provisions of law, the person may petition for review of the rule by the joint administrative rules review committee under RCW 34.05.655.
- 19 (3) If an agency denies a petition to repeal or amend a rule submitted under subsection (1) of this section, the petitioner, within 20 thirty days of the denial, may appeal the denial to the governor. 21 governor shall immediately file notice of the appeal with the code 22 reviser for publication in the Washington state register. 23 24 forty-five days after receiving the appeal, the governor shall either 25 (a) deny the petition in writing, stating (i) his or her reasons for the denial, specifically addressing the concerns raised by the 26 27 petitioner, and, (ii) where appropriate, the alternative means by which he or she will address the concerns raised by the petitioner; (b) for 28 29 agencies listed in RCW 43.17.010, direct the agency to initiate rule-30 making proceedings in accordance with this chapter; or (c) for agencies 31 not listed in RCW 43.17.010, recommend that the agency initiate rulemaking proceedings in accordance with this chapter. The governor's 32 response to the appeal shall be published in the Washington state 33 34 register and copies shall be submitted to the chief clerk of the house of representatives and the secretary of the senate. 35
- 36 (4) In petitioning for repeal or amendment of a rule under this 37 section, a person is encouraged to address, among other concerns:
 - (a) Whether the rule is authorized;
 - (b) Whether the rule is needed;

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 (c) Whether the rule conflicts with or duplicates other federal, 2 state, or local laws;
- 3 (d) Whether alternatives to the rule exist that will serve the same 4 purpose at less cost;
- 5 (e) Whether the rule applies differently to public and private 6 entities;
 - (f) Whether the rule serves the purposes for which it was adopted;
 - (g) Whether the costs imposed by the rule are unreasonable;
 - (h) Whether the rule is clearly and simply stated;

8

9

- 10 (i) Whether the rule is different than a federal law applicable to 11 the same activity or subject matter without adequate justification; and
- 12 (j) Whether the rule was adopted according to all applicable 13 provisions of law.
- 14 (5) The ((business assistance center)) department of community,
 15 trade, and economic development and the office of financial management
 16 shall coordinate efforts among agencies to inform the public about the
 17 existence of this rules review process.
- 18 (6) The office of financial management shall initiate the rule 19 making required by subsection (1) of this section by September 1, 1995.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 6.** A new section is added to chapter 43.132 RCW to read as follows:
- (1) To determine the fiscal impact of proposed rules on units of local government, an agency shall prepare a local government economic impact statement if the proposed rule will impose costs on units of local government.
- 26 (2) The economic impact statement shall describe the reporting, 27 recordkeeping, and other compliance requirements of the proposed rule 28 and analyze the costs of compliance for local governments. An agency 29 shall file the statement with the code reviser along with the notice 30 required under RCW 34.05.320.
- 31 (3) The department of community, trade, and economic development 32 shall develop a guide to assist agencies in preparing the economic 33 impact statement. The guide shall be developed through a collaborative 34 process with agencies and local governments and other interested 35 persons.
- 36 (4) An agency shall maintain a list of proposed rules for which it 37 prepares an economic impact statement and a summary of the costs. By

p. 13 2SHB 2345

- 1 December 1st of each year, an agency shall submit the list and summary 2 to the joint administrative rules review committee.
- 3 (5) This section does not apply to:
- 4 (a) A rule proposed for expedited repeal or expedited adoption,
- 5 unless the agency receives written objection;
 - (b) Rules described in RCW 34.05.310(4); and
- 7 (c) Rules adopted solely for the purpose of conformity or 8 compliance, or both, with federal statutes or regulations.
- 9 **Sec. 7.** RCW 34.05.354 and 1997 c 409 s 208 are each amended to 10 read as follows:
- 11 (1) ((Not later than April 1st or October 1st of each year, each
- 12 agency shall submit to the code reviser, according to procedures and
- 13 time lines established by the code reviser, rules that it determines
- 14 should be repealed by the expedited repeal procedures provided for in
- 15 this section. An agency shall file a copy of a preproposal notice of
- 16 inquiry, as provided in RCW 34.05.310(1), that identifies the rule as
- 17 one that is proposed for expedited repeal.
- 18 $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$) An agency may $(\frac{propose}{)}$ file notice for the expedited
- 19 repeal of rules <u>under the procedures set forth in this section for</u>
- 20 <u>rules</u> meeting <u>any</u> one ((or more)) of the following criteria:
- 21 (a) The statute on which the rule is based has been repealed and
- 22 has not been replaced by another statute providing statutory authority
- 23 for the rule;

- 24 (b) The statute on which the rule is based has been declared
- 25 unconstitutional by a court with jurisdiction, there is a final
- 26 judgment, and no statute has been enacted to replace the
- 27 unconstitutional statute;
- 28 (c) The rule is no longer necessary because of changed
- 29 circumstances; or
- 30 (d) Other rules of the agency or of another agency govern the same
- 31 activity as the rule, making the rule redundant.
- $((\frac{3}{3}))$ (2) An agency shall file a copy of a preproposal notice of
- 33 <u>inquiry</u>, as provided in RCW 34.05.310(1), that identifies the rule as
- 34 one that is proposed for expedited repeal. The agency shall also send
- 35 a copy of the preproposal notice of inquiry to any person who has
- 36 requested notification of copies of proposals for the expedited repeal
- 37 of rules or of agency rule making. The preproposal notice of inquiry
- 38 shall include a statement that any person who objects to the repeal of

- the rule must file a written objection to the repeal within thirty days after the preproposal notice of inquiry is published. The notice of inquiry shall also include an explanation of the reasons the agency believes the expedited repeal of the rule is appropriate.
- 5 ((\(\frac{(4)}{4}\))) (3) The code reviser shall publish all rules proposed for 6 expedited repeal in a separate section of ((\(\frac{a}\) regular edition of))) the 7 Washington state register ((\(\frac{or}\) in a special edition of the Washington 8 state register. The publication shall be not later than May 31st or 9 November 30th of each year, or in the first register published after 10 that date)).
- (((5))) (4) Any person may file a written objection to the expedited repeal of a rule. The notice shall be filed with the agency rules coordinator within thirty days after the notice of inquiry has been published in the Washington state register. The written objection need not state any reason for objecting to the expedited repeal of the rule.
- 17 (((+6))) (5) If no written objections to the expedited repeal of a rule are filed with the agency within thirty days after the preproposal 18 19 notice of inquiry is published, the agency may enter an order repealing 20 the rule without further notice or an opportunity for a public hearing. The order shall be published in the manner required by this chapter for 21 any other order of the agency adopting, amending, or repealing a rule. 22 If a written objection to the expedited repeal of the rule is filed 23 24 with the agency within thirty days after the notice of inquiry has been 25 published, the preproposal notice of inquiry published pursuant to this 26 section shall be considered a preproposal notice of inquiry for the 27 purposes of RCW 34.05.310(1) and the agency may initiate rule adoption proceedings in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. 28
- 29 **Sec. 8.** RCW 34.05.370 and 1996 c 102 s 2 are each amended to read 30 as follows:
- 31 (1) Each agency shall maintain an official rule-making file for 32 each rule that it (a) proposes by publication in the state register, or 33 (b) adopts. The file and materials incorporated by reference shall be 34 available for public inspection.
 - (2) The agency rule-making file shall contain all of the following:
- 36 (a) ((Copies of all publications)) A list of citations to all 37 notices in the state register with respect to the rule or the 38 proceeding upon which the rule is based;

p. 15 2SHB 2345

- 1 (b) Copies of any portions of the agency's public rule-making 2 docket containing entries relating to the rule or the proceeding on 3 which the rule is based;
- 4 (c) All written petitions, requests, submissions, and comments 5 received by the agency and all other written material regarded by the 6 agency as important to adoption of the rule or the proceeding on which 7 the rule is based;
- 8 (d) Any official transcript of oral presentations made in the 9 proceeding on which the rule is based or, if not transcribed, any tape 10 recording or stenographic record of them, and any memorandum prepared 11 by a presiding official summarizing the contents of those 12 presentations;
- 13 (e) All petitions for exceptions to, amendment of, or repeal or 14 suspension of, the rule;
- (f) Citations to data, factual information, studies, or reports on which the agency relies in the adoption of the rule, indicating where such data, factual information, studies, or reports are available for review by the public, but this subsection (2)(f) does not require the agency to include in the rule-making file any data, factual information, studies, or reports gathered pursuant to chapter 19.85 RCW or RCW 34.05.328 that can be identified to a particular business;
- 22 (g) The concise explanatory statement required by RCW 34.05.325(6); 23 and
- 24 (h) Any other material placed in the file by the agency.
- 25 (3) Internal agency documents are exempt from inclusion in the 26 rule-making file under subsection (2) of this section to the extent 27 they constitute preliminary drafts, notes, recommendations, and intra-28 agency memoranda in which opinions are expressed or policies formulated 29 or recommended, except that a specific document is not exempt from 30 inclusion when it is publicly cited by an agency in connection with its 31 decision.
- 32 (4) Upon judicial review, the file required by this section 33 constitutes the official agency rule-making file with respect to that 34 rule. Unless otherwise required by another provision of law, the 35 official agency rule-making file need not be the exclusive basis for 36 agency action on that rule.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW to read as follows:

p. 16

2SHB 2345

- 1 (1) The legislature finds that under the governor's Executive Order 2 97-02, agencies have begun systematic reviews of existing rules and 3 have eliminated some unnecessary rules and improved clarity for other 4 rules.
- (2) Effective July 1, 2001, each state agency shall review its 5 rules that have significant effects on businesses, labor, consumers, 6 7 and the environment. Agencies shall determine if their rules should be 8 (a) retained in their current form, or (b) amended or repealed, if they 9 do not meet the review criteria specified in this section. Agencies 10 shall concentrate their regulatory review on rules or portions of a rule that have been the subject of petitions filed under RCW 34.05.330 11 or have been the source of complaints, concerns, or other difficulties 12 13 that relate to matters other than the specific mandates of the statute on which the rule is based. Agencies that have already established 14 15 regulatory review processes shall make them consistent with the requirements of this section. Each agency head shall designate a 16 person responsible for regulatory review who shall serve as the 17 agency's contact for regulatory review with the office of the governor 18 and the office of financial management. 19
- 20 (3) The following criteria will be used for the review of each rule 21 identified for review:

2324

25

26

27

- (a) Need. Is the rule necessary to comply with the statutes that authorize it? Is the rule obsolete, duplicative, or ambiguous to a degree that warrants repeal or revision? Have laws or other circumstances changed so that the rule should be amended or repealed? Is the rule necessary to protect or safeguard the health, welfare, or safety of Washington's citizens?
- (b) Effectiveness and efficiency. Is the rule providing the results that it was originally designed to achieve in a reasonable manner? Are there regulatory alternatives or new technologies that could more effectively or efficiently achieve the same objectives?
- 32 (c) Clarity. Is the rule written and organized in a clear and 33 concise manner so that it can be readily understood by those to whom it 34 applies?
- 35 (d) Intent and statutory authority. Is the rule consistent with 36 legislative intent of the statutes that authorize it? Is the rule 37 based upon sufficient statutory authority? Is there a need to develop 38 a more specific legislative authorization in order to protect the 39 health, safety, and welfare of Washington's citizens?

p. 17 2SHB 2345

- (e) Coordination. Could additional consultation and coordination with other governmental jurisdictions and state agencies with similar regulatory authority eliminate or reduce duplication and inconsistency? Agencies should consult with and coordinate with other jurisdictions that have similar regulatory requirements when it is likely that coordination can reduce duplication and inconsistency.
- 7 (f) Cost. Have qualitative and quantitative benefits of the rule 8 been considered in relation to its cost?
- 9 (g) Fairness. Does the rule result in equitable treatment of those 10 required to comply with it? Should it be modified to eliminate or 11 minimize any disproportionate impacts on the regulated community? 12 Should it be strengthened to provide additional protection?
 - (4)(a) By October 15th of each year, each agency shall report to the rules review committee on the progress made toward completing its regulatory review and other measures taken to improve its regulatory The reports must include, but not be limited to: (i) A summary of the number of rule sections amended or repealed and the number of pages eliminated in the Washington Administrative Code; (ii) a summary of rules amended or repealed based on the review criteria in this executive order; (iii) a summary of agency actions in response to petitions under RCW 34.05.330; (iv) a summary of the results of the agency's review of policy and interpretive statements and similar documents; (v) a summary of the agency's review of reporting requirements imposed on businesses; and (vi) recommendations for statutory or administrative changes resulting from the regulatory reviews. More frequent reports may be requested, as necessary. Agencies shall make the reports available to persons who have requested notification of agency rule making and shall submit a summary of the report for publication in the Washington State Register.
 - (b) As part of its regulatory review, each agency shall review its existing policy and interpretive statements or similar documents to determine whether or not they must, by law, be adopted as rules. The review must include consultation with the attorney general. Agencies shall concentrate their review on those statements and documents that have been the source of complaints, concerns, or other difficulties.
 - (c) Each agency shall also review its reporting requirements that are applied generally to all businesses or classes of businesses to ensure that they are necessary and consistent with the principles and objectives of the executive order. The goals of the review must be to

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

3334

35

3637

38

39

- achieve reporting requirements that, to the extent possible, are coordinated with other state agencies with similar requirements, are economical and easy to understand, and rely on electronic transfer of information.
- 5 (5)(a) An agency is deemed to have met the requirements of this 6 section ninety days after publication in the Washington State Register 7 unless the rules review committee receives a written objection of the 8 agency's compliance with the requirements of this section.
- 9 (b) If a written objection is received within the ninety-day 10 period, the rules review committee will make a determination as to 11 whether the agency did indeed comply with this section.
- 12 (c) If the committee finds the agency has failed to meet the 13 requirements of this section, the agency will have one hundred twenty 14 days to meet the requirements of this section and receive approval by 15 the committee. If the agency fails to receive approval by the 16 committee, the rules not receiving approval are deemed invalid.
- 17 **Sec. 10.** RCW 34.05.610 and 1996 c 318 s 2 are each amended to read 18 as follows:

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

3132

33

34

35

- (1) There is hereby created a joint administrative rules review committee which shall be a bipartisan committee consisting of four senators and four representatives from the state legislature. The senate members of the committee shall be appointed by the president of the senate, and the house members of the committee shall be appointed by the speaker of the house. Not more than two members from each house may be from the same political party. The appointing authorities shall also appoint one alternate member from each caucus of each house. All appointments to the committee are subject to approval by the caucuses to which the appointed members belong.
- (2) Members and alternates shall be appointed as soon as possible after the legislature convenes in regular session in an odd-numbered year, and their terms shall extend until their successors are appointed and qualified at the next regular session of the legislature in an odd-numbered year or until such persons no longer serve in the legislature, whichever occurs first. Members and alternates may be reappointed to the committee.
- 36 (3) By majority vote, the committee shall appoint a ninth person 37 other than a person appointed under subsection (1) of this section. 38 The ninth person must be appointed as soon as possible after the

p. 19 2SHB 2345

- 1 legislature convenes in regular session in odd-numbered years, and the
- 2 ninth person's term extends until his or her successor is appointed at
- 3 the next regular session of the legislature in an odd-numbered year or
- 4 until a vacancy occurs. The ninth person may be reappointed. A
- 5 vacancy in the ninth person's position must be filled by majority vote
- 6 of the committee. The ninth person has no vote on the committee except
- 7 <u>in cases of a tie.</u>
- 8 (4) The president of the senate shall appoint the chairperson in
- 9 even-numbered years and the vice chairperson in odd-numbered years from
- 10 among committee membership. The speaker of the house shall appoint the
- 11 chairperson in odd-numbered years and the vice chairperson in even-
- 12 numbered years from among committee membership. Such appointments
- 13 shall be made in January of each year as soon as possible after a
- 14 legislative session convenes.
- 15 (((4))) (5) The chairperson of the committee shall cause all
- 16 meeting notices and committee documents to be sent to the members and
- 17 alternates. A vacancy shall be filled by appointment of a legislator
- 18 from the same political party as the original appointment. The
- 19 appropriate appointing authority shall make the appointment within
- 20 thirty days of the vacancy occurring.
- 21 **Sec. 11.** RCW 34.05.630 and 1996 c 318 s 4 are each amended to read
- 22 as follows:
- 23 (1) All rules required to be filed pursuant to RCW 34.05.380, and
- 24 emergency rules adopted pursuant to RCW 34.05.350, are subject to
- 25 selective review by the ((legislature)) <u>committee</u>.
- 26 (2) All agency policy and interpretive statements or their
- 27 equivalents, regardless of title, are subject to selective review by
- 28 the ((legislature)) <u>committee to determine whether or not a statement</u>
- 29 constitutes a rule that has not been adopted in accordance with all
- 30 applicable provisions of law. If the committee finds that the
- 31 statement constitutes a rule, the committee may also examine whether
- 32 the statement is within the intent of the legislature as expressed by
- 33 the statute that the rule implements.
- 34 (3) If the rules review committee finds by a majority vote of its
- 35 members: (a) That an existing rule is not within the intent of the
- 36 legislature as expressed by the statute which the rule implements, or
- 37 (b) that the rule has not been adopted in accordance with all
- 38 applicable provisions of law, ((or (c) that an agency is using a policy

- or interpretive statement in place of a rule,)) the agency affected 1 2 shall be notified of such finding and the reasons therefor. thirty days of the receipt of the rules review committee's notice, the 3 4 agency shall file notice of a hearing on the rules review committee's 5 finding with the code reviser and mail notice to all persons who have made timely request of the agency for advance notice of its rule-making 6 7 proceedings as provided in RCW 34.05.320. The agency's notice shall 8 include the rules review committee's findings and reasons therefor, and 9 shall be published in the Washington state register in accordance with 10 the provisions of chapter 34.08 RCW.
- 11 (4) The agency shall consider fully all written and oral submissions regarding (a) whether the rule in question is within the 13 intent of the legislature as expressed by the statute which the rule 14 implements, (b) whether the rule was adopted in accordance with all 15 applicable provisions of law, ((or)) and (c) whether the agency is 16 using a policy or interpretive statement or its equivalent, regardless 17 of title, in place of a rule.
- 18 **Sec. 12.** RCW 34.05.640 and 1996 c 318 s 5 are each amended to read 19 as follows:
- (1) Within seven days of an agency hearing held after notification of the agency by the rules review committee pursuant to RCW 34.05.620 or 34.05.630, the affected agency shall notify the committee of its intended action on a proposed or existing rule to which the committee objected or on a committee finding of the agency's failure to adopt rules.
- (2) If the rules review committee finds by a majority vote of its 26 27 members: (a) That the proposed or existing rule in question will not be modified, amended, withdrawn, or repealed by the agency so as to 28 29 conform with the intent of the legislature, or (b) that an existing rule was not adopted in accordance with all applicable provisions of 30 31 law, ((or (c) that the agency will not replace the policy or 32 interpretive statement with a rule,)) the rules review committee may, within thirty days from notification by the agency of its intended 33 34 action, file with the code reviser notice of its objections together with a concise statement of the reasons therefor. Such notice and 35 36 statement shall also be provided to the agency by the rules review 37 committee.

p. 21 2SHB 2345

- (3) If the rules review committee makes an adverse finding 1 regarding an existing rule under subsection (2)(a) or (b) of this 2 3 section, the committee may, by a majority vote of its members, 4 recommend suspension of the rule. Within seven days of such vote the committee shall transmit to the appropriate standing committees of the 5 legislature, the governor, the code reviser, and the agency written 6 7 notice of its objection and recommended suspension and the concise 8 reasons therefor. Within thirty days of receipt of the notice, the 9 governor shall transmit to the committee, the code reviser, and the 10 agency written approval or disapproval of the recommended suspension. If the suspension is approved by the governor, it is effective from the 11 date of that approval and continues until ninety days after the 12 13 expiration of the next regular legislative session.
- (4) The code reviser shall publish transmittals from the rules review committee or the governor issued pursuant to subsection (2) or (3) of this section in the Washington state register and shall publish in the next supplement and compilation of the Washington Administrative Code a reference to the committee's objection or recommended suspension and the governor's action on it and to the issue of the Washington state register in which the full text thereof appears.
- 21 (5) The reference shall be removed from a rule published in the 22 Washington Administrative Code if a subsequent adjudicatory proceeding 23 determines that the rule is within the intent of the legislature or was 24 adopted in accordance with all applicable laws, whichever was the 25 objection of the rules review committee.
- 26 **Sec. 13.** RCW 34.05.655 and 1996 c 318 s 7 are each amended to read 27 as follows:
- (1) Any person may petition the rules review committee for a review 28 29 of a proposed or existing rule or a proposed or existing policy or 30 interpretive statement or its equivalent, regardless of title. A petition to review a statement may only be filed for the purpose of 31 requesting the committee to determine whether the statement constitutes 32 33 a rule that has not been adopted in accordance with all provisions of law. If the committee determines that the statement constitutes a 34 rule, the committee may also examine whether the statement is within 35 36 the intent of the legislature as expressed by the statute that the rule 37 implements. Within thirty days of the receipt of the petition, the 38 rules review committee shall acknowledge receipt of the petition and

- describe any initial action taken. If the rules review committee rejects the petition, a written statement of the reasons for rejection shall be included.
- 4 (2) A person may petition the rules review committee under 5 subsection (1) of this section requesting review of an existing rule 6 only if the person has petitioned the agency to amend or repeal the 7 rule under RCW 34.05.330(1) and such petition was denied.
- 8 (3) A petition for review of a rule under subsection (1) of this 9 section shall:
- 10 (a) Identify with specificity the proposed or existing rule to be 11 reviewed;
- 12 (b) Identify the specific statute identified by the agency as 13 authorizing the rule, the specific statute which the rule interprets or 14 implements, and, if applicable, the specific statute the department is 15 alleged not to have followed in adopting the rule;
- 16 (c) State the reasons why the petitioner believes that the rule is 17 not within the intent of the legislature, or that its adoption was not 18 or is not in accordance with law, and provide documentation to support 19 these statements;
- 20 (d) Identify any known judicial action regarding the rule or 21 statutes identified in the petition.

A petition to review an existing rule shall also include a copy of the agency's denial of a petition to amend or repeal the rule issued under RCW 34.05.330(1) and, if available, a copy of the governor's denial issued under RCW 34.05.330(3).

- 26 (4) A petition for review of a policy or interpretive statement or 27 <u>its equivalent, regardless of title,</u> under subsection (1) of this 28 section shall:
 - (a) Identify the specific statement to be reviewed;

29

- 30 (b) Identify the specific statute which the rule interprets or 31 implements;
- 32 (c) State the reasons why the petitioner believes that the 33 statement meets the definition of a rule under RCW 34.05.010 and should 34 have been adopted according to the procedures of this chapter;
- 35 (d) <u>State the reasons why the petitioner believes that the</u> 36 <u>statement is not within the intent of the legislature;</u>
- 37 <u>(e)</u> Identify any known judicial action regarding the statement or 38 statutes identified in the petition.

p. 23 2SHB 2345

- 1 (5) Within ninety days of receipt of the petition, the rules review 2 committee shall make a final decision on the rule for which the 3 petition for review was not previously rejected.
- 4 **Sec. 14.** RCW 34.05.660 and 1988 c 288 s 606 are each amended to 5 read as follows:
- (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, it is the express policy of the legislature that establishment of procedures for review of administrative rules by the legislature and the notice of objection required by RCW 34.05.630(((2))) (3) and 34.05.640(2) in no way serves to establish a presumption as to the legality or constitutionality of a rule in any subsequent judicial proceedings interpreting such rules.
- (2) If the joint administrative rules review committee recommends to the governor that an existing rule be suspended because it does not conform with the intent of the legislature or was not adopted in accordance with all applicable provisions of law, the recommendation establishes a rebuttable presumption in a proceeding challenging the validity of the rule that the rule is invalid. The burden of demonstrating the validity of the rule is then on the adopting agency.
- 20 **Sec. 15.** RCW 34.12.040 and 1981 c 67 s 4 are each amended to read 21 as follows:
- 22 (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, whenever 23 a state agency conducts a hearing which is not presided over by 24 officials of the agency who are to render the final decision, the hearing shall be conducted by an administrative law judge assigned 25 26 under this chapter. In assigning administrative law judges, the chief 27 administrative law judge shall wherever practical $((\frac{1}{2}))$ (a) use 28 personnel having expertise in the field or subject matter of the 29 hearing, and $((\frac{2}{2}))$ (b) assign administrative law judges primarily to the hearings of particular agencies on a long-term basis. 30
- 31 (2) An employee of the office of the insurance commissioner may 32 conduct a hearing as provided in RCW 48.04.010(5).
- 33 **Sec. 16.** RCW 48.04.010 and 1990 1st ex.s. c 3 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

- 1 (1) The commissioner may hold a hearing for any purpose within the 2 scope of this code as he or she may deem necessary. The commissioner 3 shall hold a hearing:
 - (a) If required by any provision of this code; or

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

- 5 (b) Upon written demand for a hearing made by any person aggrieved 6 by any act, threatened act, or failure of the commissioner to act, if 7 such failure is deemed an act under any provision of this code, or by 8 any report, promulgation, or order of the commissioner other than an 9 order on a hearing of which such person was given actual notice or at which such person appeared as a party, or order pursuant to the order on such hearing.
- 12 (2) Any such demand for a hearing shall specify in what respects 13 such person is so aggrieved and the grounds to be relied upon as basis 14 for the relief to be demanded at the hearing.
 - (3) Unless a person aggrieved by a written order of the commissioner demands a hearing thereon within ninety days after receiving notice of such order, or in the case of a licensee under Title 48 RCW within ninety days after the commissioner has mailed the order to the licensee at the most recent address shown in the commissioner's licensing records for the licensee, the right to such hearing shall conclusively be deemed to have been waived.
- 22 (4) If a hearing is demanded by a licensee whose license has been 23 temporarily suspended pursuant to RCW 48.17.540, the commissioner shall 24 hold such hearing demanded within thirty days after receipt of the 25 demand or within thirty days of the effective date of a temporary 26 license suspension issued after such demand, unless postponed by mutual 27 consent.
- 28 <u>(5) A hearing held under this section must be conducted by an</u> 29 <u>administrative law judge unless the person demanding the hearing agrees</u> 30 <u>in writing to have an employee of the commissioner conduct the hearing.</u>
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 17. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

p. 25 2SHB 2345