HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Olympia Washington

BilAnalysis BilNo. HB 2886

Annexationby direcpetitionmethod/equalweight

Briefitle

Hrg Date: 2/4/98

Rep.Mulliken

Sponsor

StaffContact:Steve Lundin Comm. on Govt.Admin.

Phone:786-7126

BACKGROUND:

Cities and towns are classified eithebeing code cities non-codecities and towns. Separates tatutes xistforeach of the setwo classifications it is and towns providing imilabut somewhat different codedure by which they annex territory.

Threeprimaryproceduresxistfora cityortown to annexunincorporatedrritory that is adjacent to a portion of the city's r town's boundaries. Two of these procedures involven election where voters residing the area proposed to be annexed vote on a ballopropositiem thorizing eannexation.

The thirdrocedures the direct-property er petition though where an elections not held and the annexation occurs if the cityor town council approves the annexation and a petition opening the annexation as been signed by the owners property in the area proposed to be annexed. Annexation and erthismethod involve the following three separates teps: (1) A preliminary tice of intento annex is provided to the cityor town; (2) the cityor town accepts or rejects the proposed annexation and (3) if accepted a petitic muthorizing earnexation scirculated r signatures the owners of property in the area proposed to be annexed.

The signature quirements the annexation etition derthedirect-proportager petition thought of the signed to the sig

SUMMARY:

The nature of the signature equirement is altered in derthedirect-proper waver petition that of annexing to cities and towns.

The signatureequirement the <u>annexationetitions</u> based upon a particular percentage fthe number of propertywners in the area proposed to be annexed, rather handeing basing upon the owners of a particul parcentage fthe assessed valuation for operty in the area proposed to be annexed. However, the signature each property wner has equal weight with regard to the value of the property. The differing reentages fsuch signature excessar to authorize uch an annexation to a code city or non-code city or town, are not altered.

Signatureequirements reals caltered ortherelimina notice fintento annex that is given to a cityor town. The ability fresident oters to initiate an annexation in a non-codecity or town is eliminated. The nature of the signature requirement or the owners of property in the area is based upon the percentage f the number of property waters in the area proposed to be annexed rather hanbeing based upon the owners of a particul percentage f the assessed valuation f property in the area proposed to be annexed. However, the signature f each property where has equal weight with regard to the value of the property.

The uniqueauthorityseliminatefbra non-codecitylocated astof the Cascade Mountains with a population f160,000 or more, to annext otal baxexempt to the cityunder the direct-proper war petition that of annexation.

A developmentagreement-orotheragreementby a propertywner nottooppose an annexationunder the direct-propertymer method of annexations not enforceable.

FISCAL NOTE: Not requested.

EFFECTIVEDATE: Ninetydaysaftendjournmentofsessioninwhichbilispassed.