HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Olympia Washington

BilAnalysis BilNo. HB 2164

<u>DeliveringvernmentServices</u>

Briefitle

Publicing:3/4/97

Reps.D. Schmidt/Huff/Dunn

Sponsor

StaffContactBillynch Comm. on Govt.Admin.

Phone: 786-7092

BACKGROUND:

Stateagencies and institution shighereducation ay contract thindividuals businessentities reservices fthe service were regular parchased on contract before pril 23, 1979. These contracts ay not be executed by renewed if they will result in the termination of either classified ployees or classified ployee positions.

When a schooldistricentersintoa contractforservicesthatwere previously performed y classifise choolemployees and theservices ere being performed y classifise choolemployees as of July 25, 1993, the contract must contain clause requirited the contract corprovide to the people performing he work health benefits that are similated those provide to schoolemployees who would otherwise erform the work. Schooldistricts y enterint such contracts or services nlyif (a) a feasibil styldy is conducted; (b) the decision contract for services as been reviewed and approved by the Superintende of Public Instruction (c) it meets all applicable quirements or collections and in the services and the services as the services as the services and the services are the services as the services as

The direct confithe Department of Revenue may contract for service without-of-state individual strauditing ervices utside the state when efforts or ecruical assified employees are unsuccessful The Department of Correctionay contract commedical services for inmates, but these contracts to not authorize eductions in state employment.

SUMMARY:

Stateagenciesinstitutionshighereducationand schooldistricts purchase services the delivery f services through contracts ith individual conprofit organizations in esses of other entities. The execution renewal of such a contract must comply with formal competitive idding rocedures. Any service obtained through competitive idding must be rebidupon the expiration of the contract.

Provisionaredeleted which prohibiton tracting the forservice systate gencies or institution shighereducation if the effect would be to terminate lassified employees or classified ployee positions sisting the time of the execution renewal of the contract the statute llowing chook is trict account actors ervices only if the contract or ovides imilate altherefits other mployees performing he contracted ervices repealed.

The directorf the Department of Revenue may contract for auditinger vices oth insidend outside the state. Language is deleted that limited he Department of Correction is nontracting the dical service for inmates.

RightsofemployeesunderexistingontractsrenotdiminishedAny provisions contractshatconfliwiththeprovisionsthisegislationenoteffectibeyond thetermofthecontractServiceshatarecurrentbontractedutarenotaffected by thisegislation.

The manageriadecision whether to contract for services snot subject ocollective bargaining.

Any stategency institute thing hereducation and related bard and school istrict must notify lassified ployees who would be directly fected a contractor services well as their exclusive argaining epresentative of an intentio competitive by ntractors ervices. The employees and the exclusive argaining representative we theright osubmital ternatives the service obe competitively contracted.

The OfficefFinanciManagement (OFM) is required odevelops methodology for comparing the costs of governmentand privated pliver exervices Agencies higher education institutions is related boards, and school districts, but are not required o, use this methodology when deciding the thertocompetitive competitive dyntract for services. The methodology must reflect the full pllocated osts of services, including mployee salaries and benefits apitabutlays including upplies and equipment administrationed overhead expenditures on tracted ministration of evaluation and taxes that would be received by the state or local overnments from private on tractors or services.

OFM and the Superintender of Public Instruction of this legislation January 1, 1998.

A JointLegislatiRæskForceon Competitivætrategiesscreatedonsistinoffour members of the Senate and fourmembers of the House of Representative Two members are appointed from each caucus.

Continued

The task force is required to identify tate programs or services that can be competitived on tracted or produce costs aving sor improvements in the quality revenues thoughout the public of the task force must also evaluate the result is not herstates that have competitived on tracted or services. The task force must issue final report of the Legislature January 1, 1998. The task force terminates January 1, 1998.

FISCAL NOTE: RequestedMarch 2, 1997.

EFFECTIVEDATE: Ninetydaysafterdjournmentofsessionnwhichbilispassed.