

HOUSE BILL REPORT

ESB 5590

As Reported By House Committee On:

Agriculture & Ecology
Appropriations

Title: An act relating to funding of a state biosolids management program.

Brief Description: Funding a biosolids management program.

Sponsors: Senators Newhouse, Fraser, Swecker, Morton, McAuliffe and Rasmussen.

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

Agriculture & Ecology: 3/24/97, 3/27/97 [DPA];

Appropriations: 4/3/97, 4/5/97 [DPA(AGEC)].

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE & ECOLOGY

Majority Report: Do pass as amended. Signed by 11 members: Representatives Chandler, Chairman; Parlette, Vice Chairman; Schoesler, Vice Chairman; Linville, Ranking Minority Member; Anderson, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Cooper; Delvin; Koster; Mastin; Regala and Sump.

Staff: Rick Anderson (786-7114).

Background: Sewage sludge that has been removed from a wastewater treatment plant or collected from septic tanks is regulated as a solid waste. The Department of Ecology (DOE) has adopted rules and published guidelines that establish the conditions under which sewage sludge can be applied to land. Local health departments are responsible for issuing solid waste permits for the use and disposal of municipal sludge. Approximately 85 percent of sewage sludge generated in the state is beneficially reused through land application to forests, farms, or reclamation sites. The remainder is incinerated or disposed of in landfills.

The federal Clean Water Act of 1987 required the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to develop rules to increase federal requirements for sludge management. In 1989, the EPA adopted rules relating to how states must regulate a sludge management program. These rules, in part, require states to have direct enforcement authority, including the power to impose both civil and criminal penalties, and to have the power to delegate permitting authority to local governments. EPA rules adopted

in 1993 established technical standards for the use and disposal of sludge, including numeric standards for toxics and pathogens.

Biosolids is a term used to define sewage sludge that meets specified state and federal standards.

In 1992, the Legislature directed the DOE to develop a biosolids management program that would conform with federal regulations on municipal sewage sludge. The DOE has direct enforcement authority and may delegate permitting authority to a qualified local health jurisdiction. The program is funded through a surcharge on certain waste discharge permit fees and has received federal grants.

The DOE has developed a draft rule to implement the federal standards but has not adopted this rule. Under these rules, municipal sewage sludge that meets all state and federal standards is to be regulated as a biosolid. Sludge that does not meet these standards will be regulated as a solid waste and may not be applied to land. The DOE is not eligible to receive federal delegation to administer a biosolids program until it adopts a rule meeting federal requirements.

Summary of Amended Bill: The DOE is directed to establish annual fees to recover the costs of administering a biosolids program. A fee schedule must be adopted by rule and may not be revised more than once every two years. Fees apply to all permits and will be levied at the beginning of the funding cycle. The fee must be based on the number of residents in a permittee's biosolids program or on other similar criteria.

A biosolids permit account is established in the state treasury. Money from the account may be spent only after appropriation and only for the purposes of administering a biosolids program. The DOE must submit a report every two years detailing revenues and expenditures of the current funding cycle and estimate fiscal requirements for future funding cycles. The DOE must work with local health departments and permit holders to study the feasibility of allowing delegated local governments to receive a portion of the state imposed fee.

The biosolids program is made ineligible for wastewater discharge permit fees.

Amended Bill Compared to Engrossed Bill: Technical assistance provided by the DOE is specifically included as a fee-eligible activity.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Effective Date of Amended Bill: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: The bill is identical to the House version except for one provision relating to technical assistance.

Testimony Against: None.

Testified: Jim Pendowski, Department of Ecology; Ed Thorpe, Coalition of Cleanwater; and Don Leaf, Department of Health (in favor).

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Majority Report: Do pass as amended by Committee on Agriculture & Ecology. Signed by 31 members: Representatives Huff, Chairman; Alexander, Vice Chairman; Clements, Vice Chairman; Wensman, Vice Chairman; H. Sommers, Ranking Minority Member; Doumit, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Gombosky, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Benson; Carlson; Chopp; Cody; Cooke; Crouse; Dyer; Grant; Keiser; Kenney; Kessler; Lambert; Linville; Lisk; Mastin; McMorris; Parlette; Poulsen; Regala; D. Schmidt; Sehlin; Sheahan; Talcott and Tokuda.

Staff: Nancy Stevenson (786-7137).

Summary of Recommendation of Committee on Appropriations Compared to Recommendation of Committee on Agriculture & Ecology: No new changes were recommended.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Effective Date of Amended Bill: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: Those being regulated prefer to be regulated by the state, not the federal Environmental Protection Agency. There needs to be funding so the Department of Ecology can administer the program. Thanks for supporting this funding option in the budget.

Testimony Against: None.

Testified: Jim Pendowski, Department of Ecology; and Ed Thorpe, Coalition for Clean Water (in favor).