HOUSE BILL REPORT HB 1111

As Reported By House Committee On:

Agriculture & Ecology

Title: An act relating to granting water rights.

Brief Description: Granting water rights to certain persons who were water users before January 1, 1993.

Sponsors: Representatives Chandler, Koster, Delvin, Mulliken, Johnson, B. Thomas and Honeyford.

Brief History:

Committee Activity:

Agriculture & Ecology: 1/20/97, 1/23/97, 1/27/97, 2/10/97 [DPS].

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE & ECOLOGY

Majority Report: The substitute bill be substituted therefor and the substitute bill do pass. Signed by 10 members: Representatives Chandler, Chairman; Parlette, Vice Chairman; Schoesler, Vice Chairman; Linville, Ranking Minority Member; Anderson, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Cooper; Delvin; Koster; Mastin and Sump.

Minority Report: Without recommendation. Signed by 1 member: Representative Regala.

Staff: Kenneth Hirst (786-7105).

Background: With the adoption of the surface water code in 1917 and the groundwater code in 1945, new rights to the use of water are established under a permit system. However, certain uses of groundwater not exceeding 5,000 gallons per day have been exempted from this permit requirement. The permit system is based on the prior appropriation doctrine that "first in time is first in right." Prior to these enactments, rights to water were obtained in a variety of ways and under a variety of water doctrines.

Summary of Substitute Bill: A person who placed surface or groundwater to beneficial use for irrigation or stock watering purposes before January 1, 1993, for which a permit or certificate was not issued by the Department of Ecology (DOE) or

its predecessors, is granted a water right for that use in the amount beneficially used. The right is granted if the person: (1) files with the DOE a statement of claim for the right during a filing period beginning September 1, 1997, and ending midnight, June 30, 1998; (2) files with the statement of claim certain specified evidence that the water described in the claim was used beneficially before January 1, 1993; and (3) has used the water to the full extent of the claim during at least three of the last five years.

The priority date of the water right is the date a claim for the right is filed. Such a right may not affect or impair a right that existed before the opening of the claim filing period. The filing of a statement of claim does not constitute an adjudication of the claim between the claimant and the state or between a water use claimant and others. However, a statement of claim is admissible in a general adjudication of water rights as prima facie evidence of certain aspects of the right.

This granting of a water right does not apply: (1) in an area where similar rights are being adjudicated in a general adjudication proceeding; or (2) in an area that is currently regulated under rules establishing acreage expansion limitations as part of a groundwater management plan. These provisions granting rights and requiring the filing of statements of claim do not apply to water rights established under current law.

Substitute Bill Compared to Original Bill: Added by the substitute bill are provisions: (1) requiring that a claimant must have used the water in the quantity claimed in three of the last five years; (2) stating that a claim does not constitute an adjudication of the claimed right, but allowing certain aspects of it to be admissible in a general adjudication as prime facie evidence of the right; and (3) stating that the bill does not apply to areas regulated under current groundwater acreage expansion rules or to rights established or recognized under current law. One of the types of evidence that may be submitted in support of a claim is altered by the substitute bill.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Effective Date of Substitute Bill: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: The bill should be expanded to apply to domestic uses of water. Water supply systems wait in the permit application line while exempt wells are drilled around them. The rights they have depended upon for their service may not have an instantaneous flow or similar provision now needed to fulfill a requirement of the Department of Health.

Testimony Against: (1) The bill is not fair to those who have waited in the permit application line and have not used water illegally. This granting of rights may mean that water is not available for those in the permit line. (2) Water rights may be granted by the bill, but there may be insufficient water to use them. The problem in Whatcom County should be addressed following an assessment of water availability in the area. (3) The bill should not apply to completed general adjudications.

Testified: Dennis King, Deep Rock Community Well (in favor of adding domestic use). Mary Burke; Bob Wiesen and Paul Larsen, Washington Association of Water Systems (commented).