

# HOUSE BILL REPORT

## SHB 1060

---

---

### As Passed Legislature

**Title:** An act relating to including additional projects contained in LEAP CAPITAL DOCUMENT NO. 5 in the list of Washington wildlife and recreation program projects authorized in section 327, chapter 16, Laws of 1995 2nd sp. sess..

**Brief Description:** Authorizing Washington wildlife and recreation program projects for fiscal year 1997.

**Sponsors:** By House Committee on Capital Budget (originally sponsored by Representatives Sehlin, Ogden, Hankins, Grant, Keiser, Scott, Dickerson, Cole, Conway, Quall, Lantz, Cody, Murray, Costa, Morris, Linville, Anderson and Chopp; by request of Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation).

**Brief History:**

**Committee Activity:**

Capital Budget: 1/21/97, 1/23/97 [DPS].

**Floor Activity:**

Passed House: 2/3/97, 97-0.

Passed Legislature.

---

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET

**Majority Report:** The substitute bill be substituted therefor and the substitute bill do pass. Signed by 10 members: Representatives Sehlin, Chairman; Honeyford, Vice Chairman; Ogden, Ranking Minority Member; Sullivan, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Costa; Hankins; Lantz; Mitchell; D. Sommers and H. Sommers.

**Staff:** Karl Herzog (786-7271).

**Background:** The Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP), administered by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC), provides capital grants to state and local governments for acquisition and development of recreation and habitat conservation lands. WWRP funding, appropriated in the Capital Budget, is directed by statute into seven project categories: local parks, state parks, trails, water access, urban wildlife habitat, critical habitat, and natural areas.

Local governments may apply for WWRP grants annually. State agencies may only apply for WWRP funding biennially. However, state agencies may reapply for funding during the second year of the biennium for projects that were approved but

were not funded the first year. Projects are competitively scored and ranked by the IAC within each category using uniform criteria.

Each year, the IAC recommends a ranked list of projects to the Governor and Legislature for possible funding. Alternate projects are included on the list in the event that higher-ranked projects are not able to proceed. The Governor and Legislature may delete projects from, but not add projects to, the recommended list. The Capital Budget document, through a proviso attached to the WWRP appropriation, has traditionally been used as the vehicle to express legislative approval of the proposed WWRP project list.

The Capital Budget appropriated \$45 million for the WWRP during the 1995-97 biennium. During the 1995 Session, the Legislature approved a list of 45 projects totaling \$36.8 million and 48 alternate projects for fiscal year 1996. The fiscal year 1997 list of WWRP projects, proposed during the 1996 Session, included 26 projects totaling \$8.2 million and 31 alternate projects. The fiscal year 1997 list received approval in both the House and Senate versions of the 1996 Supplemental Capital Budget. It did not receive final approval, though, because the Legislature did not pass the budget.

Of the 26 projects included on the proposed fiscal year 1997 list, 10 projects totaling \$3.9 million had previously been approved as alternates on the fiscal year 1996 list and were therefore eligible to receive WWRP funding in the absence of the supplemental budget. The IAC provided grants to these projects in 1996. The remaining 16 projects totaling \$4.2 million have not received funding.

**Summary of Bill:** The fiscal year 1997 list of WWRP projects is approved. The list includes 16 projects totaling \$4.2 million, and nine alternate projects.

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Not requested.

**Effective Date:** The bill contains an emergency clause and takes effect immediately.

**Testimony For:** The local park projects on the 1997 list are greatly needed by communities experiencing high rates of growth. Some of the projects will provide parks in areas currently without parks. These projects have been waiting for over a year. Local contributions and volunteer work will be used to build the parks. The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation will expedite grants to communities once the bill passes.

**Testimony Against:** None.

**Testified:** Dennis Rewinkel, Community Member of Richland Washington; Jim Ballew, City of Marysville Parks and Recreation; Laura Johnson, Director of Interagency Commission for Outdoor Recreation; Mike Ryherd, Washington Wildlife and Recreation Association; and Lori Flemm, City of Kent Park and Recreation Department  
(all in favor).