2 **2SHB 2879** - S COMM AMD

By Committee on Natural Resources & Parks

4

3

- 5 Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the 6 following:
- 7 "NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature finds that fish habitat 8 enhancement projects play a key role in the state's salmon and 9 steelhead recovery efforts. The legislature finds that there are over 10 two thousand barriers to fish passage at road crossings throughout the state, blocking fish access to as much as three thousand miles of 11 12 freshwater spawning and rearing habitat. The legislature further finds 13 that removal of these barriers and completion of other fish habitat enhancement projects should be done in a cost-effective manner, which 14 15 includes providing technical assistance and training to people who will undertake projects such as removal of barriers to salmon passage and 16 minimizing the expense and delays of various permitting processes. The 17 purpose of this act is to take immediate action to facilitate the 18 19 review and approval of fish habitat enhancement projects, to encourage 20 efforts that will continue to improve the process in the future, to address known fish passage barriers immediately, and to develop over 21 22 time a comprehensive system to inventory and prioritize barriers on a 23 state-wide basis.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The department of ecology permit assistant center shall immediately modify the joint aquatic resource permit application form to incorporate the permit process established in section 3 of this act.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 75.20 RCW to read as follows:
- 30 (1) In order to receive the permit review and approval process 31 created in this section, a fish habitat enhancement project must meet 32 the criteria under (a) and (b) of this subsection:
- 33 (a) A fish habitat enhancement project must be a project to 34 accomplish one or more of the following tasks:

- 1 (i) Elimination of human-made fish passage barriers, including
 2 culvert repair and replacement;
- 3 (ii) Restoration of an eroded or unstable stream bank employing the 4 principle of bioengineering, including limited use of rock as a 5 stabilization only at the toe of the bank, and with primary emphasis on 6 using native vegetation to control the erosive forces of flowing water; 7 or
- 8 (iii) Placement of woody debris or other instream structures that 9 benefit naturally reproducing fish stocks.

The department shall develop size or scale threshold tests to determine if projects accomplishing any of these tasks should be evaluated under the process created in this section or under other project review and approval processes. A project proposal shall not be reviewed under the process created in this section if the department determines that the scale of the project raises concerns regarding public health and safety; and

- 17 (b) A fish habitat enhancement project must be approved in one of 18 the following ways:
 - (i) By the department pursuant to chapter 75.50 or 75.52 RCW;

19

- 20 (ii) By the sponsor of a watershed restoration plan as provided in 21 chapter 89.08 RCW;
- (iii) By the department as a department-sponsored fish habitat enhancement or restoration project;
- (iv) Through the review and approval process for the jobs for the environment program;
- (v) Through the review and approval process for conservation district-sponsored projects, where the project complies with design standards established by the conservation commission through interagency agreement with the United States fish and wildlife service and the natural resource conservation service;
- (vi) Through a formal grant program established by the legislature or the department for fish habitat enhancement or restoration; and
- (vii) Through other formal review and approval processes stablished by the legislature.
- 35 (2) Fish habitat enhancement projects meeting the criteria of 36 subsection (1) of this section are expected to result in beneficial 37 impacts to the environment. Decisions pertaining to fish habitat 38 enhancement projects meeting the criteria of subsection (1) of this 39 section and being reviewed and approved according to the provisions of

1 this section are not subject to the requirements of RCW 2 + 3.21C.030(2)(c).

- (3) Hydraulic project approval is required for projects that meet 3 4 the criteria of subsection (1) of this section and are being reviewed 5 and approved under this section. An applicant shall use a joint aquatic resource permit application form developed by the department of 6 7 ecology permit assistance center to apply for approval under this 8 chapter. On the same day, the applicant shall provide copies of the 9 completed application form to the department and to each appropriate 10 local government. Local governments shall accept the application as notice of the proposed project. 11 The department shall provide a fifteen-day comment period during which it will receive comments 12 13 regarding environmental impacts. In no more than forty-five days, the department shall either issue hydraulic project approval, with or 14 15 without conditions, deny approval, or make a determination that the review and approval process created by this section is not appropriate 16 17 for the proposed project. The department shall base this determination on identification during the comment period of adverse impacts that 18 19 cannot be mitigated by hydraulic project approval. If the department 20 determines that the review and approval process created by this section 21 is not appropriate for the proposed project, the department shall 22 notify the applicant and the appropriate local governments of its 23 determination. The applicant may reapply for approval of the project 24 under other review and approval processes.
- 25 Any person aggrieved by the approval, denial, conditioning, or 26 modification of hydraulic project approval under this section may 27 formally appeal the decision to the hydraulic appeals board pursuant to 28 the provisions of this chapter.
- (4) No local government may require permits or charge fees for fish habitat enhancement projects that meet the criteria of subsection (1) of this section and that are reviewed and approved according to the provisions of this section.
- 33 **Sec. 4.** RCW 90.58.147 and 1995 c 333 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:
- 35 (1) A public or private project that is designed to improve fish or 36 wildlife habitat or fish passage shall be exempt from the substantial 37 development permit requirements of this chapter when all of the 38 following apply:

- 1 (((1))) (a) The project has been approved by the department of fish 2 and wildlife;
- $((\frac{(2)}{(2)}))$ (b) The project has received hydraulic project approval by the department of fish and wildlife pursuant to chapter 75.20 RCW; and $((\frac{(3)}{(2)}))$ (c) The local government has determined that the project is substantially consistent with the local shoreline master program. The
- 7 local government shall make such determination in a timely manner and 8 provide it by letter to the project proponent.
- 9 (2) Fish habitat enhancement projects that conform to the 10 provisions of section 3 of this act are determined to be consistent 11 with local shoreline master programs.
- 12 **Sec. 5.** RCW 35.63.230 and 1995 c 378 s 8 are each amended to read 13 as follows:
- A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this
- 19 <u>act.</u>
- 20 **Sec. 6.** RCW 35A.63.250 and 1995 c 378 s 9 are each amended to read 21 as follows:
- 22 A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration
- 23 project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance
- 24 with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. A fish habitat enhancement
- 25 project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be
- 26 reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this
- 27 <u>act.</u>
- 28 **Sec. 7.** RCW 36.70.992 and 1995 c 378 s 10 are each amended to read 29 as follows:
- 30 A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration
- 31 project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance
- 32 with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. A fish habitat enhancement
- 33 project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be
- 34 reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this
- 35 <u>act.</u>

- 1 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 8.** A new section is added to chapter 36.70 RCW
- 2 to read as follows:
- 3 A county is not liable for adverse impacts resulting from a fish
- 4 enhancement project that meets the criteria of section 3 of this act
- 5 and has been permitted by the department of fish and wildlife.
- 6 **Sec. 9.** RCW 36.70A.460 and 1995 c 378 s 11 are each amended to 7 read as follows:
- 8 A permit required under this chapter for a watershed restoration
- 9 project as defined in RCW 89.08.460 shall be processed in compliance
- 10 with RCW 89.08.450 through 89.08.510. A fish habitat enhancement
- 11 project meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act shall be
- 12 reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this
- 13 <u>act.</u>
- 14 Sec. 10. RCW 43.21C.0382 and 1995 c 378 s 12 are each amended to
- 15 read as follows:
- Decisions pertaining to watershed restoration projects as defined
- 17 in RCW 89.08.460 are not subject to the requirements of RCW
- 18 43.21C.030(2)(c). Decisions pertaining to fish habitat enhancement
- 19 projects meeting the criteria of section 3(1) of this act and being
- 20 reviewed and approved according to the provisions of section 3 of this
- 21 act are not subject to the requirements of RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c).
- 22 **Sec. 11.** RCW 89.08.470 and 1995 c 378 s 3 are each amended to read 23 as follows:
- 24 (1) By January 1, 1996, the Washington conservation commission
- 25 shall develop, in consultation with other state agencies, tribes, and
- 26 local governments, a consolidated application process for permits for
- 27 a watershed restoration project developed by an agency or sponsored by
- 28 an agency on behalf of a volunteer organization. The consolidated
- 29 process shall include a single permit application form for use by all
- 30 responsible state and local agencies. The commission shall encourage
- 31 use of the consolidated permit application process by any federal
- 32 agency responsible for issuance of related permits. The permit
- 33 application forms to be consolidated shall include, at a minimum,
- 34 applications for: $((\frac{1}{1}))$ (a) Approvals related to water quality
- 35 standards under chapter 90.48 RCW; $((\frac{2}{2}))$ (b) hydraulic project

- approvals under chapter 75.20 RCW; and $((\frac{3}{3}))$ (c) section 401 water quality certifications under 33 U.S.C. Sec. 1341 and chapter 90.48 RCW.
- 3 (2) If a watershed restoration project is also a fish habitat
- 4 enhancement project that meets the criteria of section 3(1) of this
- 5 act, the project sponsor shall instead follow the permit review and
- 6 approval process established in section 3 of this act with regard to
- 7 <u>state and local government permitting requirements.</u> The sponsor shall
- 8 so notify state and local permitting authorities.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 12.** A new section is added to chapter 19.27 RCW 10 to read as follows:
- 11 A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of section
- 12 3(1) of this act is not subject to grading permits, inspections, or
- 13 fees and shall be reviewed according to the provisions of section 3 of
- 14 this act.
- 15 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 13.** The legislature finds that, while the
- 16 process created in this act can improve the speed with which fish
- 17 habitat enhancement projects are put into place, additional efforts can
- 18 improve the review and approval process for the future. The
- 19 legislature directs the department of fish and wildlife, the
- 20 conservation commission, local governments, fish habitat enhancement
- 21 project applicants, and other interested parties to work together to
- 22 continue to improve the permitting review and approval process.
- 23 Specific efforts shall include the following:
- 24 (1) Development of common acceptable design standards, best
- 25 management practices, and standardized hydraulic project approval
- 26 conditions for each type of fish habitat enhancement project;
- 27 (2) An evaluation of the potential for using technical evaluation
- 28 teams in evaluating specific project proposals or stream reaches;
- 29 (3) An evaluation of techniques appropriate for restoration and
- 30 enhancement of pasture and crop land adjacent to riparian areas;
- 31 (4) A review of local government shoreline master plans to identify
- 32 and correct instances where the local plan does not acknowledge
- 33 potentially beneficial instream work;
- 34 (5) An evaluation of the potential for local governments to
- 35 incorporate fish habitat enhancement projects into their comprehensive
- 36 planning process; and

- 1 (6) Continued work with the federal government agencies on federal permitting for fish habitat enhancement projects.
- The department of fish and wildlife shall coordinate this joint effort and shall report back to the legislature on the group's progress by December 1, 1998.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 14. A new section is added to chapter 75.50 RCW 7 to read as follows:
- 8 (1) The department of transportation is authorized to administer a 9 grant program to assist state agencies, local governments, private 10 landowners, tribes, and volunteer groups in identifying and removing 11 impediments to anadromous fish passage. The program shall be 12 administered consistent with the following:
- 13 (a) Eligible projects include corrective projects, inventory, 14 assessment, and prioritization efforts;

15

- (b) Projects shall be subject to a competitive application process;
- (c) Priority shall be given to projects that immediately increase access to available and improved spawning and rearing habitat for depressed, threatened, and endangered stocks. Priority shall also be given to project applications that are coordinated with other efforts within a watershed;
- 21 (d) All projects shall be reviewed and approved by the fish passage 22 barrier removal task force; and
- (e) A match of at least twenty-five percent per project shall be required. For local, private, and volunteer projects, in-kind contributions may be counted toward the match requirement.
- (2) The department of transportation shall proceed expeditiously in implementing the grant program during the 1998 summer construction season.
- 29 NEW SECTION. Sec. 15. By January 1, 1999, the fish passage barrier removal task force as specified in RCW 75.50.160 shall report 30 to the legislature on its progress in implementing the provisions in 31 32 sections 14 and 17 of this act. The report shall also include 33 recommendations on future governance and administrative structures to coordinate local, state, and private fish passage correction projects 34 35 and to administer state fish passage grants.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 16. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect immediately."

2SHB 2879 - S COMM AMD

By Committee on Natural Resources & Parks

7

5

6

On page 1, line 2 of the title, after "projects;" strike the remainder of the title and insert "amending RCW 90.58.147, 35.63.230, 35A.63.250, 36.70.992, 36.70A.460, 43.21C.0382, and 89.08.470; adding a new section to chapter 75.20 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 36.70 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 19.27 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 75.50 RCW; creating new sections; and declaring an emergency."

--- END ---