SHB 1965 - H AMDS **176 WITHDRAWN 3-14-97**

By Representatives Radcliff and Wolfe

On page 1, beginning on line 7, strike <u>fifteen</u> members.

4 Eight- and insert <u>sixteen</u> members. ((Eight)) <u>Nine-</u>

On page 4, line 4, after (3)— insert The board may terminate a major project if it determines that the project is not meeting, or it is not expected to meet, anticipated performance standards.

<u>(4)</u>-

On page 4, after line 8, insert:

- **Sec. 3.** RCW 43.105.190 and 1996 c 137 s 15 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) The department, with the approval of the board, shall establish standards and policies governing the planning, implementation, and evaluation of major information technology projects, including those proposed by the superintendent of public instruction, in conjunction with educational service districts, or state-wide or regional providers of K-12 education information technology services. The standards and policies shall:
- (a) Establish criteria to identify projects which are subject to this section. Such criteria shall include, but not be limited to, significant anticipated cost, complexity, or state-wide significance of the project; and
- (b) Establish a model process and procedures which agencies shall follow in developing and implementing project plans. Agencies may propose, for approval by the department, a process and procedures unique to the agency. The department may accept or require modification of such agency proposals or the department may reject such agency proposals and require use of the model process and procedures established under this subsection. Any process and procedures developed under this subsection shall require (i) distinct and identifiable phases upon which funding may be based, (ii) user validation of products through system demonstrations and testing of prototypes and deliverables, and (iii) other elements identified by the board.

OPR 1«

Project plans and any agreements established under such plans shall be approved and mutually agreed upon by the director, the director of financial management, and the head of the agency proposing the project.

- ((The director may terminate a major project if the director determines that the project is not meeting or is not expected to meet anticipated performance standards.))
- (2) The office of financial management shall establish policies and standards governing the funding of projects developed under this section. The policies and standards shall provide for:
- (a) Funding of a project under terms and conditions mutually agreed to by the director, the director of financial management, and the head of the agency proposing the project. However, the office of financial management may require incremental funding of a project on a phase-by-phase basis whereby funds for a given phase of a project may be released only when the office of financial management determines, with the advice of the department, that the previous phase is satisfactorily completed;
- (b) Acceptance testing of products to assure that products perform satisfactorily before they are accepted and final payment is made; and
- (c) Other elements deemed necessary by the office of financial management.
- (3) The department shall evaluate projects at three stages of development as follows: (a) Initial needs assessment; (b) feasibility study including definition of scope, development of tasks and timelines, and estimated costs and benefits; and (c) final project implementation plan based upon available funding.

Copies of project evaluations conducted under this subsection shall be submitted to the office of financial management ((and)), the chairs, ranking minority members, and staff coordinators of the ((appropriations)) fiscal committees of the senate and house of representatives, and the policy committees of the senate and house of representatives with responsibilities for issues relating to the agency that undertakes the project.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 43.105 RCW to read as follows:

OPR 2«

1965-S AMH RADC LUND 8

- The information services board shall coordinate efforts by agencies to implement modifications to state information technology necessitated by the year 2000.—
- 4 Renumber the following section consecutively and correct the title.

EFFECT: Expands the increase in the number of members of the information services board by one to a total of sixteen members, with the additional member being an appointee of the governor. Allows the board, rather than the director, to terminate a project. Requires project evaluations to be provided to the policy committees of the senate and house of representatives with responsibilities for issues relating to the agency that undertakes the project. Requires the information services board to coordinate efforts by agencies to implement modifications to state information technology necessitated by the year 2000.

OPR 3«