SENATE BILL REPORT

SSB 6087

AS PASSED SENATE, FEBRUARY 11, 1994

Brief Description: Concerning the health and safety of farmworkers' housing.

SPONSORS: Senate Committee on Health & Human Services (originally sponsored by Senators Prentice, Winsley, Moyer, Talmadge and Pelz)

SENATE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Majority Report: That Substitute Senate Bill No. 6087 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass.

Signed by Senators Talmadge, Chairman; Wojahn, Vice Chairman; Deccio, Erwin, Franklin, Fraser, McAuliffe, McDonald, Moyer, Niemi, Prentice, Quigley and Winsley.

Staff: Don Sloma (786-7319)

Hearing Dates: January 26, 1994; February 3, 1994

BACKGROUND:

Under current law, the state Board of Health establishes rules under which the state Health Department regulates labor camps (RCW 70.54.110). These rules must include standards developed by the Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act related to sanitation and temporary labor camps.

The rules now apply to some 160 sites, housing about 8,000 persons in temporary housing. They do not apply to housing consisting of four or less units, or housing with less than ten occupants. They do not apply to year-round housing.

Board of Health rules require annual licenses, fees and inspections of temporary worker housing. A system of penalties and sanctions is authorized.

Critics of the current program say the effort lacks adequate inspections, is too lax on operators of the housing, and does not cover many of the living situations in which farmworkers sometimes find themselves.

SUMMARY:

Several terms are defined for the purposes of the Department of Health's farmworker housing regulatory program.

"Agricultural employee" is defined as under RCW 19.30.010(5). It includes any person working for an agricultural employer in the growing, producing, or harvesting of farm or nursery products, or engaged in the forestation or reforestation of

9/17/02 [1]

lands, the harvesting of Christmas trees and other related activities.

"Farmworker housing" is defined to include housing of three or more units or "permanent spaces" provided by operators on a year-round or seasonal basis.

"Operator" means the owner, grower, employer, manager, or any person who owns or controls farmworker housing. The term does not include public or private nonprofit agencies who own or manage farmworker housing publicly financed and monitored.

The Department of Health is granted authority to enter farmworker housing at any time for inspection purposes, after making reasonable efforts to locate the tenant, if any, and requesting entry.

No operator of a farmworker housing site may house agricultural employees without first obtaining a license from the state Department of Health. Time periods and general terms and conditions for licensure are outlined.

A joint legislative task force on farmworker housing is established. The task force is composed of three Senate members, appointed by the Chairman of the Senate Health and Human Services Committee and three House members, appointed by the Chairman of the House Agriculture Committee. The task force must submit draft legislation by January 30, 1995 to improve the quality, supply and affordability of farmworker housing.

Appropriation: none

Revenue: none

Fiscal Note: available

TESTIMONY FOR:

The original bill is needed to expand, strengthen and clarify the Department of Health's role in overseeing farmworker housing, to guarantee access to housing units for inspection, even if operators of the housing are resistant, to increase the frequency of inspections, to create penalties short of license revocation, and to extend control over seasonal worker housing in forest industries.

TESTIMONY AGAINST:

Recent moves by the state Department of Health to more aggressively regulate farmworker housing have resulted in some growers simply closing down their housing. Since providing housing to farmworkers is optional for growers, a punitive approach to the problem is counterproductive. While it may remove a small minority of truly substandard units, it will also increase costs and irritation of essentially responsible growers who provide housing. The state should provide

9/17/02 [2]

incentives for growers to build and maintain housing. It should not approve this legislation as originally introduced.

TESTIFIED: Rebecca Smith, Evergreen Legal Services (pro); Rogelio Rojar, Sea-Mar Community Health (pro); Randy Smith, WA State Horticultural Association (con); Leo Sax, WA Growers Clearinghouse (con); Bob Mathison, grower (con)

9/17/02 [3]