S-3163.2			

SENATE BILL 6117

52nd Legislature

1992 Regular Session

By Senators A. Smith, Murray and Pelz

State of Washington

Read first time 01/16/92. Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to the relationship between franchisors and
- 2 franchisees; amending RCW 19.100.250 and 19.100.190; and adding a new
- 3 section to chapter 19.100 RCW.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 19.100.250 and 1972 ex.s. c 116 s 15 are each amended
- 6 to read as follows:
- 7 (1) The director may from time to time make, amend, and rescind
- 8 such rules, forms, and orders as are necessary or appropriate to carry
- 9 out the ((provisions)) purposes of this chapter including rules and
- 10 forms governing applications and reports and defining any terms whether
- 11 or not used in this chapter insofar as the definitions are consistent
- 12 with this chapter. The director may classify franchises, persons, and
- 13 matters within the director's jurisdiction, and prescribe different
- 14 requirements for different classes. No rule, form, or order may be

- 1 made unless the director finds that the action is necessary or
- 2 appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of franchisees
- 3 and consistent with the purposes fairly intended by the policy and
- 4 provisions of this chapter.
- 5 (2) The director in ((his)) the director's discretion may honor
- 6 requests from interested persons for interpretive opinions.
- 7 NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 19.100 RCW
- 8 to read as follows:
- 9 If the director finds with regard to any classification of
- 10 franchise established by rule that adequate regulation cannot be
- 11 achieved by the means of the registration provisions of RCW 19.100.040
- 12 through 19.100.110, for the reason that franchisees lack the customary
- 13 education, business experience, or English language skills, the
- 14 director may make, amend, or repeal such rules, forms, and orders as
- 15 are necessary or appropriate for the protection of franchisees to
- 16 require the franchisor to provide any one or more of the following:
- 17 (1) A cancellation period of up to thirty days from the date of
- 18 sale of the franchise;
- 19 (2) An offering circular as required by RCW 19.100.040 and any
- 20 addition or amendment under RCW 19.100.070 in a language other than
- 21 English;
- 22 (3) A purchaser representative including, if necessary, a
- 23 translator fluent in the language of the franchisee prior to the date
- 24 of sale of the franchise; or
- 25 (4) A limitation on the sale of the franchise requiring that the
- 26 franchisor and any person acting on its behalf shall have reasonable
- 27 grounds to believe and after making reasonable inquiry does believe
- 28 that the investment is suitable for the franchisee upon the basis of
- 29 the franchisee's financial situation and needs.

- 1 Sec. 3. RCW 19.100.190 and 1972 ex.s. c 116 s 11 are each amended
- 2 to read as follows:
- 3 (1) The commission of any unfair or deceptive acts or practices or
- 4 unfair methods of competition prohibited by RCW 19.100.180 as now or
- 5 hereafter amended shall constitute an unfair or deceptive act or
- 6 practice under the provisions of chapter 19.86 RCW.
- 7 (2) Any person who sells or offers to sell a franchise in violation
- 8 of this chapter shall be liable to the franchisee or subfranchisor who
- 9 may sue at law or in equity for damages caused thereby, for rescission,
- 10 or other relief as the court may deem appropriate. If the court finds
- 11 that the franchisee has been exploited by reason of lack of education,
- 12 <u>business experience</u>, or <u>English language skills or fluency</u>, the court
- 13 may in lieu of other relief grant rescission. In the case of a
- 14 violation of RCW 19.100.170 rescission is not available to the
- 15 plaintiff if the defendant proves that the plaintiff knew the facts
- 16 concerning the untruth or omission or that the defendant exercised
- 17 reasonable care and did not know or if he or she had exercised
- 18 reasonable care would not have known of the untruth or omission.
- 19 (3) The suit authorized under subsection (2) of this section may be
- 20 brought to recover the actual damages sustained by the plaintiff and
- 21 the court may in its discretion increase the award of damages to an
- 22 amount not to exceed three times the actual damages sustained:
- 23 PROVIDED, That the prevailing party may in the discretion of the court
- 24 recover the costs of said action including a reasonable attorneys' fee.
- 25 (4) Any person who becomes liable to make payments under this
- 26 section may recover contributions as in cases of contracts from any
- 27 persons who, if sued separately, would have been liable to make the
- 28 same payment.
- 29 (5) A final judgment, order, or decree heretofore or hereafter
- 30 rendered against a person in any civil, criminal, or administrative

- 1 proceedings under the United States anti-trust laws, under the Federal
- 2 Trade Commission Act, under the Washington State Consumer Protection
- 3 Act, or this chapter shall be regarded as evidence against such persons
- 4 in any action brought by any party against such person under
- 5 subsections (1) and (2) of this section as to all matters which said
- 6 judgment or decree would be an estoppel between the parties thereto.