## SENATE BILL REPORT

#### SB 6132

## AS REPORTED BY COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES, JANUARY 22, 1992

Brief Description: Modifying shellfish protection.

**SPONSORS:** Senators Metcalf, Owen, Oke, M. Kreidler, Snyder and Conner; by request of Puget Sound Water Quality Authority

# SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES

Majority Report: That Substitute Senate Bill No. 6132 be substituted therefor, and the substitute bill do pass.

Signed by Senators Metcalf, Chairman; Oke, Vice Chairman; Amondson, Barr, Conner, Owen, Snyder, and Sutherland.

Staff: Gary Wilburn (786-7453)

Hearing Dates: January 22, 1992

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Washington State's coastal and estuarine waters support one of the most productive oyster and clam growing areas in the world. The 1989 shellfish harvest was estimated at a wholesale value of \$52 million. Commercial shellfish growing and processing account for one in twelve jobs in Pacific County; shellfish production is the number two industry in Mason County. Additionally, it is estimated that more than 1.3 million recreational shellfish harvesting trips are taken each year in Puget Sound alone.

Shellfish feed by pumping large amounts of water through their systems, and thus retaining a concentration of harmful bacteria and viruses, estimated at levels tenfold that of the water column. For that reason they are particularly sensitive to pollutants and thus serve as an indicator species for the overall health of marine waters. Most shellfish reproduce and grow only in estuaries, where rivers empty to the sea and where wastes from upstream sources ultimately arrive.

Increasing growth and development in upland areas has increased levels of pollutants in shellfish growing waters, resulting in a significant rise in shellfish contamination in the past ten years. A 1991 report indicated that since 1981 the state Department of Health has downgraded the classification of 16,113 acres of commercial shellfish beds, restricting or prohibiting harvest from these areas. More than 40 percent of Puget Sound's commercial shellfish acreage is now closed or restricted compared to 17 percent in 1980. Fifty-seven of Puget Sound's 146 recreational shellfish beds are closed to harvest, while 35 more are threatened with nearterm closure.

Since 1980, failing on-site sewage systems and poor animal keeping practices have been identified as the primary cause of commercial harvest restrictions. Other sources of pollutants include storm water runoff, outfall from sewage treatment plants, marine mammals, and boat waste.

In 1985 the Legislature authorized local governments to create shellfish protection districts to fund programs to reduce pollutants in shellfish tidelands. However, there has not been a single such special district created under this authority. Additionally, state and local governments administer a variety of programs to address sources of pollutants to the state's waters, including shellfish growing areas. The Puget Sound Water Quality Management Plan includes several initiatives for shellfish protection, including improved data on shellfish bed conditions, enhanced public education, increased testing on toxicity, and development of a strategy to respond to existing closures of growing areas.

#### SUMMARY:

Existing laws authorizing creation of shellfish protection districts are revised and renamed watershed protection districts. Duplicative provisions of existing law are repealed. The county legislative authority may create the district on its own motion or refer the question to the voters. The legislative authority shall constitute the governing body of the district, and may appoint a local advisory council to assist in development of implementation of the district's programs. Counties are directed to cooperate with incorporated areas in establishing the districts and to coordinate with other counties where growing areas are located in more than one county.

The county legislative authority has full jurisdiction to fix, alter and control the fees, charges or rates provided under the programs. Funding for district programs may be derived through county tax revenues, fees for services performed, charges or rates, and federal, state or private grants. Counties are authorized to issue revenue bonds payable from district revenues.

Counties forming districts are to receive high priority for state water quality grants and loans for shellfish protection programs. They are encouraged within available funding to contract with conservation districts to draft plans to address animal waste pollution. A watershed protection district must be formed within 180 days after the state Department of Health has closed or downgraded a shellfish growing area because of water quality degradation.

The state Parks and Recreation Commission is directed to seek the most cost efficient and accessible facilities for boat waste pumpout. The allocation of a portion of watercraft excise tax revenues for this purpose is extended from 1995 to 1999.

12/13/02

Shellfish growing areas are added as critical areas requiring identification and local regulations for their protection under the Growth Management Act. These provisions take effect July 1, 1994.

## EFFECT OF PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE:

Where a portion of a proposed district lies within an incorporated area, the county shall allow the city or town to participate in the boundary determination and in administration of the district's program. A watershed protection district shall not be operated within an area served by a stormwater or surface water utility. Confined animal feeding operations subject to the national water discharge permit system may not be assessed fees or charges by a district.

A state watershed financial assistance program is created to provide grants and loans for district creation and operation. Criteria are specified for the award of grants and loans. Funds for the program are an allocation of a portion of available funds under: (1) the nonpoint source category of the water quality account, and (2) the state revolving fund for water quality loans.

Provisions regarding the allocation of watercraft excise taxes for boating purposes and the definition of shellfish growing areas are deleted.

Appropriation: none

Revenue: none

Fiscal Note: requested January 17, 1991

**Effective Date:** Section 9 is effective July 1, 1993, and sections 11 through 13 are effective July 1, 1994.

### TESTIMONY FOR:

The bill will improve local government authority to create local funding sources and programs, with greater flexibility to address the diffuse nature of nonpoint water pollution that are causes of the closure of shellfish beds.

## TESTIMONY AGAINST: None

TESTIFIED: PRO: Robert M. Lewis, Hansville Chamber of Commerce; Tom Armentrout, Poulsbo Marine Science Center; Jack Pederson, Russ Wohlers, restauranteurs; Stan Biles, DNR; Randy Ray; Dave McMillin, Tom Bettinger, Tim Smith, clam growers; Dan Coyne, Dairy Federation; Paul Parker, WSAC; Linda Hoffman, Thurston County; Mike Gibson, Mason County Commission; Dave Williams, Association of Washington Cities; Bob Haberman, W. Jay Gordon, WACD; Bruce Wishart, Sierra Club; Jeff Parsons, National Audubon Society