

HOUSE BILL REPORT

HB 1887

As Passed House

March 20, 1991

Title: An act relating to open space land.

Brief Description: Redefining open space land.

Sponsor(s): Representatives Fraser, Belcher, Beck, Valle, Brumsickle, Rust, Ogden, Pruitt, Jacobsen, Sprenkle, Rasmussen, Prentice, Moyer, Forner, Padden, Paris, Phillips, Miller, May, Winsley, Tate, D. Sommers and Silver.

Brief History:

Reported by House Committee on:

Natural Resources & Parks, February 27, 1991, DP;

Revenue, March 8, 1991, DP;

Passed House, March 20, 1991, 98-0.

**HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
NATURAL RESOURCES & PARKS**

Majority Report: *Do pass.* Signed by 11 members: Representatives Belcher, Chair; Scott, Vice Chair; Beck, Ranking Minority Member; Brumsickle, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Dellwo; Fraser; Hargrove; Morton; Riley; Sheldon; and Wynne.

Staff: Marilee Scarbrough (786-7196).

**HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
REVENUE**

Majority Report: *Do pass.* Signed by 12 members: Representatives Wang, Chair; Fraser, Vice Chair; Holland, Ranking Minority Member; Wynne, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Appelwick; Belcher; Brumsickle; Day; Morris; Morton; Phillips; and Silver.

Staff: Rick Peterson (786-7150).

Background: The Legislature passed the Open Space Act in 1970. The act established a policy to maintain, preserve, conserve and otherwise continue in existence adequate open space lands for their agricultural value, as well as scenic

beauty. Assessment practices are designed to permit and encourage the continued existence of open spaces.

Growth in urban areas is rapidly eliminating many of the traditional scenic corridors between developments and highways. Greenbelts which traditionally bordered highways, street corridors are also rapidly disappearing.

Summary of Bill: The definition of open space is expanded to include any land area for which preservation will preserve visual quality along highways, roads, and street corridors or scenic vistas.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Testimony For: (Natural Resources & Parks): Aesthetic lands should be preserved. The State needs to maintain scenic vistas along highways. A major attraction for the state of Washington is the visual buffers along the highways. The State needs to provide an incentive for property owners to maintain scenic corridors.

(Revenue): None.

Testimony Against: (Natural Resources & Parks): None.

(Revenue): None.

Witnesses: (Natural Resources & Parks): Ralph Munro, Secretary of State (in favor); Mary Murphy, League of Women Voters (in favor); and Dave McCandry, Washington Historical Society (in favor).

(Revenue): None.